

(12) **United States Patent**
Wilson et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,504,530 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 7, 2003**

(54) **TOUCH CONFIRMING TOUCHSCREEN UTILIZING PLURAL TOUCH SENSORS**

(75) Inventors: **Geoffrey D. Wilson**, Oxnard, CA (US); **Victor E. Borgnis**, Fremont, CA (US); **Joel Kent**, Fremont, CA (US); **Mike Lewis**, Oakland, CA (US); **Drew Loucks**, San Jose, CA (US); **James Roney**, Fremont, CA (US); **Michael Bruno Patti**, San Francisco, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **ELO Touchsystems, Inc.**, Fremont, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/390,207**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 7, 1999**

(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **G09G 5/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **345/173; 345/174; 345/175; 345/177**

(58) **Field of Search** **345/173, 168, 345/174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,103,252 A	7/1978	Bobick
4,145,748 A	3/1979	Eichelberger et al.
4,264,903 A	4/1981	Bigelow

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	93 16 194.8	3/1995	G06F/3/037
EP	0 372 964 A2	6/1990	G06K/11/08
EP	0 727 875 A1	8/1996		
EP	0 795 837 A1	9/1997	G06K/11/18
GB	2 295 017 A	5/1996		
WO	WO 88/05577	7/1988		
WO	WO 95/27334	10/1995		
WO	WO 96/15464	5/1996		

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 017, No. 529 (P-1618), Sep. 22, 1993 & JP 05 143226 A (Sharp Corp), Jun. 11, 1993. "Combining Laser and Piezoelectric Touchscreens", IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 31, No. 2 Jul. 1988, p. 137 XP-002168304, IBM Corp., New York. PCT Search Report, PCT/US00/29784, filed Oct. 17, 2000.


Primary Examiner—Steven Saras

Assistant Examiner—William C. Spencer

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method and apparatus for discriminating against false touches in a touchscreen system is provided. The system is designed to confirm a touch registered by one touch sensor with another touch sensor, preferably of a different sensor type, prior to acting upon the touch (i.e., sending touch coordinates to the operating system). If the touch registered by the first touch sensor is not confirmed by the second touch sensor, the touch is invalidated. Thus the strengths of one type of sensor are used to overcome the deficiencies of another type of sensor. In one aspect, the secondary touch sensor comprises a force sensor to discriminate between true and false touches on other types of touch sensors, such as contaminants on optical and surface acoustic wave sensors, noise or weak signals on capacitive sensors, etc. The force sensor may be a simple one-element system that merely indicates that a touch has occurred or a multi-element system that can provide confirming or supplementary coordinate data. In another aspect, a capacitive sensor is used to confirm or veto touch data from optical, surface acoustic wave, and force sensors. As is the case with the secondary force sensor, a secondary capacitive sensor may be a simple discrete type or capable of providing touch coordinates in its own right. In a specific embodiment, one in which no touch overlay is used on a CRT monitor, the secondary touch sensor may employ the resistive coating on the surface of the CRT in combination with a current monitoring circuit that measures the amplitude of the electromagnetic noise signal coupled to the resistive coating. In this application when the screen is touched by a grounded object, the detected signal amplitude change exceeds a preset threshold thus indicating a valid touch.

8 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,644,100 A	2/1987	Brenner et al.	5,543,589 A	8/1996	Buchana et al.	
4,686,332 A	8/1987	Greanias et al.	5,650,597 A	7/1997	Redmayne	
4,700,176 A	10/1987	Adler	5,670,755 A	9/1997	Kwon	
4,778,951 A	10/1988	Pepper, Jr. et al.	5,708,461 A	1/1998	Kent	
RE33,151 E	1/1990	Adler	5,742,119 A	4/1998	Aben et al.	
4,924,222 A	5/1990	Antikidis et al.	5,777,607 A	7/1998	Koolen	
4,954,823 A	9/1990	Binstead	5,790,106 A	8/1998	Hirano et al.	
5,117,071 A	5/1992	Greenias et al.	5,801,682 A	9/1998	Coni et al.	
5,231,381 A	7/1993	Duwaer	5,844,506 A	12/1998	Binstead	341/34
5,270,711 A	12/1993	Knapp	5,854,450 A	12/1998	Kent	
5,332,238 A	7/1994	Borucki	6,281,888 B1 *	8/2001	Hoffman et al.	345/179
5,510,813 A	4/1996	Makinwa	6,297,811 B1	10/2001	Kent et al.	345/173

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1





FIG. 2






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.