

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SONY CORPORATION, AND
SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS INC.,
Petitioners

v.

NEODRON LTD.
Patent Owner.

**DECLARATION OF DR. BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,749,251**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	2
III.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED	11
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS.....	12
	A. Claim Interpretation.....	12
	B. Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	12
	C. Anticipation.....	13
	D. Obviousness	13
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	16
VI.	SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS	17
VII.	THE CHALLENGED PATENT	18
VIII.	PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	20
IX.	PRIORITY DATE.....	20
X.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	21
	A. “key touch on the touch screen” (claims 1, 10, 16)	21
XI.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART.....	22
	A. Mese.....	22
	B. Oh.....	24
	C. Chaudhri.....	26
XII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS	28
	A. Claims 6 and 15 are rendered obvious by Mese in view of Oh	28

Declaration of Dr. Benjamin B. Bederson
U.S. Patent No. 8,749,251

1.	Claim 1	28
2.	Claim 6	35
3.	Claim 10	37
4.	Claim 15	38
5.	Motivation to Combine Mese and Oh	39
B.	Claims 6 and 15 are rendered obvious by Mese in view of Oh in further view of Chaudhri.....	40
1.	Claim 1	40
2.	Claim 6	44
3.	Claim 10	45
4.	Claim 15	46
5.	Motivation to Combine Mese, Chaudhri, and Oh	46
XIII.	CONCLUSION	49

1. I, Dr. Benjamin B. Bederson, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

2. I have been retained by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Sony Corp., and Sony Mobile Communications Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”) as an independent expert consultant in this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”).

3. I have been asked by Petitioners’ counsel (“Counsel”) to consider whether certain references teach or suggest the features recited in Claims 6 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,749,251 (“the ’251 Patent”) (Ex-1001)¹. My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below.

4. I am being compensated at my ordinary and customary consulting rate for my work, which is \$600 per hour. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other financial interest in this proceeding.

¹ Where appropriate, I refer to exhibits that I understand are attached to the petition for IPR of the ’251 Patent.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. All of my opinions stated in this declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In forming my opinions, I have relied on my knowledge and experience in designing, developing, researching, and teaching the technology referenced in this declaration.

6. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein. I understand that a copy of my current curriculum vitae, which details my education and professional and academic experience, is being submitted as Ex-1003. The following provides a brief overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this declaration.

7. I am currently Professor Emeritus of Computer Science at the University of Maryland (“UMD”). From 2014 to 2018, I was the Associate Provost of Learning Initiatives and Executive Director of the Teaching and Learning Transformation Center at the UMD. I am a member and previous director of the Human-Computer Interaction Lab (“HCIL”), the oldest and one of the best known Human-Computer Interaction (“HCI”) research groups in the country. I was also co-founder and Chief Scientist of Zumobi, Inc. from 2006 to 2014, a Seattle-based startup that is a publisher of content applications and advertising platforms for smartphones. I am also co-founder and co-director of the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.