

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

GREE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERCELL OY,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00237-JRG-RSP
Case No.: 2:19-cv-00310-JRG-RSP
Case No.: 2:19-cv-00311-JRG-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, you are to follow all the instructions I have given you in the Court’s Final Jury Instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Final Jury Instructions. You should refer to and consider the Final Jury Instructions as you answer the questions in this Verdict Form.

As used herein, the following terms have the following meanings:

- “GREE” or “Plaintiff” refers to GREE, Inc.
- “Supercell” or “Defendant” refers to Supercell Oy
- The “’346 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,328,346
- The “’689 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,335,689
- The “’708 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,076,708
- The “’832 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,413,832
- The “’107 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,079,107
- The “’439 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,561,439
- The “Asserted Claims” refers collectively to Claim 3 of the ’346 Patent; Claim 8 of the ’689 Patent; Claim 1 of the ’708 Patent; Claims 2 and 3 of the ’832 Patent; Claims 1 and 6 of the ’107 Patent; and Claims 1 and 5 of the ’439 Patent.

**IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT YOU FOLLOW THE
INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THIS VERDICT FORM.**

**READ THEM CAREFULLY AND
ENSURE YOUR VERDICT COMPLIES WITH THEM.**

QUESTION 1 – INFRINGEMENT

Did GREE prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Supercell infringed **ANY** of the Asserted Claims?

Yes: No:

You MUST answer Question 2A REGARDLESS of your answer to Question No. 1. If your answer to Question No. 1 was YES or if it was NO, you must still answer Question No. 2A.

QUESTION 2A – VALIDITY OF THE '346 and '689 PATENTS

Did Supercell prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following Asserted Claims are invalid?

Answer “Yes” or “No” for each Asserted Claim listed below:

'346 Patent

Claim 3: Yes: _____ No: ✓ _____

'689 Patent

Claim 8: Yes: _____ No: ✓ _____

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.