UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCELL OY, Petitioner,

v.

GREE, INC., Patent Owner.

PGR2020-00046 (Patent 10,328,347 B2) PGR2020-00088 (Patent 10,518,177 B2) PGR2021-00014 (Patent 10,583,362 B2) PGR2021-00034 (Patent 10,610,771 B2) PGR2021-00041 (Patent 10,625,149 B2) IPR2020-00993 (Patent 10,286,318 B2) IPR2020-01553 (Patent 10,076,708 B2) IPR2020-01619 (Patent 10,413,832 B2)

Before LYNNE H. BROWNE, HYUN J. JUNG, AMANDA F. WIEKER, and RICHARD H. MARSCHALL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.¹

WIEKER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKF

¹ This is not an expanded panel; a three judge panel is assigned to each captioned case. This caption is used for the Board's convenience and may not be used by the parties without permission.

ORDER

Granting Motions to Expunge 37 C.F.R. § 42.56

The Board denied the petitions requesting *inter partes* or post-grant review in each captioned proceeding, except in IPR2020-00993, which was terminated prior to a decision on institution. *See, e.g.*, PGR2021-00041, Paper 10;² IPR2020-00993, Paper 11. "After denial of a petition to institute a trial or after final judgment in a trial, a party may file a motion to expunge confidential information from the record." *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

On October 18, 2021, Petitioner filed motions to expunge certain exhibits from these proceedings. *See, e.g.*, PGR2021-00041, Paper 13 (moving to expunge Ex. 1007). Patent Owner does not oppose the motions. Ex. 3001.

A strong public policy exists for maintaining public access to all information filed in these administrative proceedings. Only "confidential information" is protected from disclosure. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7). Moreover, our "rules aim to strike a balance between the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties' interest in protecting truly sensitive information." Accordingly, a party seeking expungement of material from the record must show good cause by

² Similar papers appear in each captioned proceeding.

demonstrating that "any information sought to be expunged constitutes confidential information, and that Petitioner's interest in expunging it outweighs the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable history of this [proceeding]." *Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.*, IPR2013-00453, Paper 97 at 2 (PTAB Apr. 15, 2015).

We are persuaded that expunging the identified exhibits would protect confidential information without harming the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See, e.g.*, Mot. 2–3. The Board did not rely upon the identified exhibits in its decisions denying institution or in the decision terminating the IPR2020-00993 proceeding. *See, e.g.*, PGR2021-00041, Paper 10; IPR2020-00993, Paper 11. Thus, we determine that the confidential information is not necessary to present a complete and understandable file history.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner's unopposed Motion is *granted* in each proceeding, and the exhibits identified below shall be expunded from the record:

PGR2020-00046, Exhibit 1026; PGR2020-00088, Exhibit 1007; PGR2021-00014, Exhibit 1007; PGR2021-00034, Exhibit 1007;

> PGR2021-00041, Exhibit 1007; IPR2020-00993, Exhibit 1030; IPR2020-01553, Exhibit 1034; IPR2020-01619, Exhibit 1029.

PETITIONER:

Brian M. Hoffman bhoffman@fenwick.com

Jennifer Bush jbush-ptab@fenwick.com

Kevin X. McGann kmcgann-ptab@fenwick.com

Eric Zhou ezhou@fenwick.com

Gregory Hopewell ghopewell@fenwick.com

PATENT OWNER:

John C. Alemanni jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com

Andrew Rinehart arinehart@kilpatricktownsend.com

Joshua Lee jlee@kilpatricktownsend.com

Scott McKeown scott.mckeown@ropesgray.com

DOCKET