

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

RIMFROST AS

Petitioner

v.

AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS

Patent Owner

---

Case No.: IPR2020-01533

**U.S. Patent No. 9,816,046**

Issue Date: November 14, 2017

Title: Bioeffective Krill Oil Compositions

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 *ET SEQ.***

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. INTRODUCTION .....1
- II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....3
  - A. Real parties-in-interest .....3
  - B. Related matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) .....3
  - C. Counsel (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a)) .....7
  - D. Service information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....8
- III. PATENT OFFICE FEES ..... 9
- IV. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW .....9
  - A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)) .....9
  - B. Level or Ordinary Skill in the Art ..... 9
  - C. Identification of Challenge and Relief Requested  
(37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1)) ..... 10
    - 1. Claims for which Inter Partes Review is Requested  
(37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2))..... 10
    - 2. Specific Statutory Grounds on which the Challenge is  
Based (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)) .....10
    - 3. Earliest Effective Priority Date .....11
    - 4. Prior Art References .....11
  - D. Claim Construction – Ordinary and Customary Meaning  
(37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)) .....13
  - E. 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) Does Not Preclude This Petition .....14

V. SUMMARY OF THE ‘046 PATENT .....15

A. State of the Art .....15

B. Prior Art .....19

    1. Breivik II (Exhibit 1037) .....19

    2. Yoshitomi (Exhibit 1033) ..... 21

    3. Budziński (Exhibit 1008)..... 22

    4. Fricke (Exhibit 1010)..... 23

    5. Bottino II (Exhibit 1038) .....24

    6. Randolph (Exhibit 1011)..... 26

    7. Sampalis I (Exhibit 1012) .....27

C. Background of the ‘046 Patent .....28

D. Prosecution History of the ‘046 Patent .....29

E. Construction of the ‘046 Patent Claim Terms .....32

    1. Claims 1 and 13 – “krill oil” .....33

    2. Claims 1 and 13 – “polar solvent” .....33

    3. Claims 1 and 13 – “astaxanthin ester” .....34

    4. Claims 1 and 13 – “krill meal” ..... 35

    5. Claims 1 and 13 – “destroy the activity of lipases and  
        phospholipases” .....37

- VI. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL PRECLUDES PATENT OWNER FROM ADVANCING THE SAME PATENTABILITY ARGUMENTS REJECTED IN 877 FWD, 905 FWD, 765 FWD, 453 FWD AND 752 FWD .....38
  
- VII. EACH GROUND PROVIDES MORE THAN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT EACH CLAIM OF THE ‘046 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE .....40
  - A. Ground 1: § 103(a) – Breivik II, Yoshitomi, Budziński, Fricke, Bottino II, Sampalis I [Claims 1-10] .....41
    - 1. Claim 1 .....41
      - (a) The method steps of claim 1 .....42
        - (i) Obtaining krill meal by treating krill to destroy the activity of lipases and phospholipases .....42
        - (ii) Storing krill meal .....44
        - (iii) Extracting krill oil with a polar solvent .....45
      - (b) Krill oil with greater than 30% phosphatidylcholine and astaxanthin esters .....45
    - 2. Claim 2 .....46
    - 3. Claim 3 .....47
    - 4. Claim 4 .....47
    - 5. Claim 5 .....48
    - 6. Claim 6 .....48
    - 7. Claim 7 .....49
    - 8. Claim 8 .....49

9. Claim 9 .....50

10. Claim 10 .....50

B. Ground 2: § 103(a) – Breivik II, Yoshitomi, Budziński, Fricke, Bottino II and Randolph [Claims 11-12] .....57

1. Claims 11-12 .....57

C. Ground 3: § 103(a) – Breivik II, Budziński, Fricke, Yoshitomi, Bottino II, Randolph and Sampalis I [Claims 13-19] .....60

1. Claim 13 .....60

(a) The method steps of claim 12..... 61

(i) Obtaining *Euphausia superba* krill meal by treating to destroy the activity of lipases and phospholipases .....61

(ii) Storing krill meal .....62

(iii) Extracting krill oil with a polar solvent .....62

2. Claim 14 .....64

3. Claim 15 .....64

4. Claim 16 .....65

5. Claim 17 .....65

6. Claim 18 .....65

7. Claim 19 .....66

D. CLAIM CHART .....69

VIII. CONCLUSION .....89

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.