

Filed: July 20, 2021

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation  
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)  
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)  
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)  
Shannon H. Lam (Reg. No. 65,614)  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP  
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614  
Tel.: (949) 760-0404  
Fax: (949) 760-9502  
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1526-994@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01526

U.S. Patent 6,771,994

---

**PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	4
A. The Importance of Pulse Oximeters.....	4
B. How Oximetry Works .....	5
C. The '994 Patent .....	7
D. Challenged Claim 15.....	8
E. Summary of File History.....	9
III. OVERVIEW OF ALLEGED PRIOR ART .....	9
A. Diab (EX1006) .....	9
B. Benjamin (EX1007) .....	13
C. Melby (EX1008).....	15
D. Webster (EX1010).....	16
E. Fine (EX1009).....	18
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	21
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	21
VI. APPLE FAILS TO ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM 15 .....	26
A. Legal Background .....	26

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
B. Ground 1: Apple Fails to Establish Obviousness Based on Diab, Benjamin, and Melby .....	28
1. Apple's combination would undermine Diab's invention.....	28
2. Apple's unexplained modification would cause Diab to perform worse .....	34
3. Apple's proposed modifications would not have yielded predictable results.....	37
4. Apple's remaining Ground 1 arguments fail to support Apple's combination.....	38
C. Ground 2: Apple Fails to Establish Obviousness Based on Webster and Melby.....	42
1. Apple conflates Webster's wavelength filter and Webster's light impervious barriers .....	42
2. A POSITA would not have been motivated to modify Webster to include Melby's light control film.....	44
D. Ground 3: Apple Fails to Establish Obviousness Based on Fine .....	46
1. A POSITA would not have considered Fine .....	46
2. Optical fibers are not louvers.....	47
E. Ground 4: Apple Fails to Establish Obviousness Based on Fine, Benjamin, and Melby .....	50

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
1. Apple's argued motivations to combine Fine, Benjamin, and Melby are conclusory and unsupported.....	50
2. Apple fails to explain how a light control film would be incorporated into Fine .....	52
VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.....	57
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	57

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc 'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	25
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	20
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int'l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	24
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .....	25, 31
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	37, 44
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	25
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	23
<i>Masimo Corp. v. Philips Elec. N. Am. Corp.</i> , C.A. No. 09–80–LPS, 2015 WL 2379485 (D. Del. May 18, 2015).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	25
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> , 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	25
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	20, 23, 24
<i>Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 F. App'x 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	31

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.