Filed: July 20, 2021

Filed on behalf of:
Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Shannon H. Lam (Reg. No. 65,614)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1526-994@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01526
U.S. Patent 6,771,994

DECLARATION OF VIJAY K. MADISETTI, PH.D.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

I. QUALIFICATIONS 1

II. MATERIALS CONSIDERED 8

III. UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW 10

A. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art 10

B. Claim Construction 11

C. Obviousness 12

IV. BACKGROUND 13

A. The Importance of Pulse Oximeters 13

B. How Oximetry Works 14

C. The ’994 Patent 17

D. Introduction to Claim 15 of the ’994 Patent 19

V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 19

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 25

VII. THE PETITION’S PROPOSED GROUNDS 26

VIII. OVERVIEW OF ASSERTED REFERENCES 27

A. Diab (EX1006) 27

B. Benjamin (EX1007) 30

C. Melby (EX1008) 32

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(*cont'd*)

Page No.

D. Webster (EX1010) 33

E. Fine (EX1009) 35

IX. THE PETITION'S PROPOSED COMBINATIONS 38

A. GROUND 1: THE COMBINATION OF DIAB, BENJAMIN, AND MELBY DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED INVENTION 38

1. Apple's combination would undermine Diab's invention 38

2. Apple's unexplained modification would cause Diab to perform worse 44

3. The proposed modifications would not have yielded predictable results 48

4. Apple fails to provide a credible motivation to add louvers 50

B. GROUND 2: THE COMBINATION OF WEBSTER AND MELBY DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED INVENTION 53

1. Apple conflates Webster's wavelength filter and Webster's light impervious barriers 53

2. Melby does not disclose a plurality of louvers positioned over a light sensitive detector 57

3. A POSITA would not have been motivated to modify Webster to include Melby's light control film over the photodiode 57

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(*cont'd*)

Page No.

C. GROUND 3: FINE DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED INVENTION 60

1. A POSITA would not have considered Fine 60

2. Optical fibers are not louvers 61

D. GROUND 4: THE COMBINATION OF FINE, BENJAMIN, AND MELBY DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED INVENTION 65

1. Dr. Anthony fails to explain how a light control Film could be incorporated into Fine 65

2. Apple's motivations to combine Fine, Benjamin, and Melby are conclusory and unsupported 73

X. OATH 75

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I, Vijay K Madisetti, Ph.D, declare as follows:

1. I have been retained by counsel for Patent Owner Masimo Corporation ("Masimo") as an independent expert witness in this proceeding. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding the Petition in this action and the declaration offered by Brian W. Anthony, Ph.D., (EX1003) challenging the patentability of Claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,771,994 ("the '994 Patent"). I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spend working on this proceeding, and my compensation is not affected by its outcome.

I. QUALIFICATIONS

2. My qualifications are set forth in my curriculum vitae, a copy of which is included as Exhibit 2002. A summary of my qualifications follows.

3. I am a professor in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology ("Georgia Tech"). I have worked in the area of digital signal processing, wireless communications, computer engineering, integrated circuit design, and software engineering for over 25 years, and have authored, co-authored, or edited several books and numerous peer-reviewed technical papers in these area.

4. I obtained my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the University of California, Berkeley, in 1989. While there, I received the Demetri Angelakos Outstanding Graduate Student Award and the IEEE/ACM Ira M. Kay Memorial Paper Price.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.