

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01523

U.S. Patent 8,457,703

DECLARATION OF VIJAY K. MADISETTI, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	TOPICS OF OPINIONS.....	8
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	8
IV.	UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW	10
	A. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	10
	B. Claim Construction	10
	C. Obviousness.....	11
V.	INTRODUCTION TO THE '703 PATENT	13
	A. The '703 Patent	13
	B. Introduction To The Independent Claims Of The '703 Patent	14
	C. Prosecution Of The '703 Patent	16
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	16
VII.	THE PROPOSED CITED ART COMBINATIONS	17
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
	A. “Reducing/Reduce Activation Of An Attached Sensor”	18
	B. “Processing Characteristics”	22
IX.	THE COMBINATION OF DIAB AND AMANO DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS	25
	A. Overview Of Diab	26
	B. Overview Of Amano	33

C.	The Proposed Combination of Diab and Amano Does Not Disclose Or Suggest Operating At A “Lower Power Consumption Level” And “Higher Power Consumption Level”	38
1.	Diab’s Motion Artifact Suppression Module Is Not Suspended And A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Suspend It.....	38
2.	Amano Would Not Have Motivated A POSITA To Suspend Diab’s Motion Artifact Suppression Module.....	41
3.	Dr. Anthony Does Not Show That Suspension Of Diab’s Motion Artifact Suppression Module Would Result In A “Lower Power Consumption Level”	43
D.	The Combination Of Diab And Amano Does Not Disclose Or Suggest Comparing “Processing Characteristics To A Predetermined Threshold”	45
E.	The Combination Of Diab And Amano Does Not Disclose Or Suggest “Reducing/Reduce An Amount Of Processing By A Signal Processor”	47
X.	THE COMBINATION OF DIAB, AMANO, AND EDGAR DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS.....	50
XI.	THE COMBINATION OF DIAB, AMANO, AND TURCOTT DO NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS.....	52
A.	Overview Of Turcott	53
B.	Dr. Anthony Does Not Show That The Combination Of Diab, Amano, And Turcott Discloses Or Suggests “Reducing/Reduce Activation Of An Attached Sensor”	55

C.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Diab, Amano, And Turcott To Arrive At The Claimed Inventions	57
XII.	THE COMBINATION OF DIAB AND THE GENERAL KNOWLEDGE OF POSITA AND FURTHER IN VIEW OF EDGAR OR TURCOTT DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS	62
XIII.	AMANO OR AMANO COMBINED WITH TURCOTT DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS.....	64
A.	Dr. Anthony Fails To Show That The Cited Portions Of Amano Disclose “Determine Measurement Values For One Or More Physiological Parameters”	65
1.	Dr. Anthony Does Not Fully Address This Limitation.....	65
2.	Amano Does Not Determine Measurement Values.....	65
B.	Dr. Anthony Admits That Amano Does Not Compare “Processing Characteristics To A Predetermined Threshold” Under The Correct Construction.....	67
C.	Dr. Anthony Does Not Show That The Combination Of Amano And Turcott Discloses Or Suggests “Reducing/Reduce Activation Of An Attached Sensor”	68
XIV.	OATH	74

I, Vijay K. Madiseti, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. I have been retained by counsel for Patent Owner Masimo Corporation (“Masimo”) as an independent expert witness in this proceeding. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding Petitioner Apple Inc.’s (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of United States Patent No. 8,457,703 (“the ’703 patent”) in this action and the supporting declaration of Brian W. Anthony, Ph.D. (Ex. 1003). I understand the Petition challenges the patentability of claims 1-7, 9-18, and 20-24 of the ’703 patent. I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spend working on this proceeding, and my compensation is not affected by its outcome.

I. QUALIFICATIONS

2. My qualifications are set forth in my curriculum vitae, a copy of which is included as Exhibit 2002. A summary of my qualifications follows.

3. I am a professor in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology (“Georgia Tech”). I have worked in the area of digital signal processing, wireless communications, computer engineering, integrated circuit design, and software engineering for over 25 years, and have authored, co-authored, or edited several books and numerous peer-reviewed technical papers in these area.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.