UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

V.

MASIMO CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01520 Patent 10,258,265

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE



Case No. IPR2020-01520 Attorney Docket: 50095-0006IP1

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner, Apple Inc. hereby submits the following objections to evidence in Patent Owner's Response of May 28, 2021.

Evidence	<u>Objections</u>
Exhibit 2004	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2004 under
	FRE 702 and 703, because it contains opinions that are
	conclusory, do not disclose supporting facts or data, are
	based on unreliable facts, data, or methods, and/or include
	testimony outside the scope of Dr. Madisetti's specialized
	knowledge (to the extent he has any such knowledge) that
	will not assist the trier of fact. As an example, Dr.
	Madisetti possesses no experience or training relevant to
	his opinion that "a POSITA considering the impact of a
	convex surface on the direction of light would have applied
	the basic understanding that a convex lens directs light
	toward the center" Exhibit 2004 at ¶ 70; see also id. at
	¶¶ 47, 52, 67, 74, 104, 107, 110. Accordingly, at least part
	of Dr. Madisetti's declaration is unreliable in so much as it
	relies on his understanding of how a convex lens works.
	Patent Owner also objects to Exhibit 2004 as containing
	opinions that are irrelevant, confusing, and presenting the
	danger of unfair prejudice under FRE 401, 402, and 403.
Exhibit 2010	Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2010 under FRE 901, as Patent
	Owner has not submitted evidence that the document is
	authentic, nor that the document is self-authenticating. Of
	note, there is insufficient support in the Exhibit 2010 to



Case No. IPR2020-01520 Attorney Docket: 50095-0006IP1

show that the document was publically available before the priority date of the pate nt. *See Microsoft Corp. v. Corel Software, LLC*, IPR2016-01300 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 4, 2017) (Denial of Institution) (finding that a Copyright Notice sheds virtually no light on public accessibility as of that date); *see also ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, IPR2015-00716, Paper No. 13 at 2-3, 10-18 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 26, 2015). Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2010 under FRE 801 and 802 as inadmissible hearsay.

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2004 and 2010. Petitioner reserves the right to move to exclude Exhibits 2004 and 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: _	June 7, 2021	/Hyun Jin In/
		W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265
		Roberto J. Devoto, Reg. No. 55,108
		Hyun Iin In Dog No. 70 014

Hyun Jin In, Reg. No. 70,014 Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: 202-783-5553



Case No. IPR2020-01520 Attorney Docket: 50095-0006IP1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.*, the undersigned certifies that on June 7, 2021, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by electronic mail to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence e-mail address of record as follows:

Joseph R. Re
Stephen W. Larson
Jarom D. Kesler
Jacob L. Peterson
Knobbe, Martens, Olson, & Bear, LLP
2040 Main St., 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614

Email: AppleIPR2020-1520-265@knobbe.com

/Edward G. Faeth/ Edward G. Faeth Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (202) 626-6420

