

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB; AND  
OXYSALES, UAB, Petitioners,

v.

LUMINATI NETWORKS LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01506  
Patent No. 10,469,614

---

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,469,614**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	STATUTORY PREDICATES .....	1
	A. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8) .....	1
	1. Real Parties-In-Interest .....	1
	2. Related Matters .....	1
	3. Lead and Back-Up Counsel .....	3
	4. Service Information .....	3
	B. Payment of Fees (37 CFR § 42.103) .....	4
	C. Certification of Standing (37 CFR § 42.104(a)) .....	4
	D. Identification of Challenges (37 CFR § 42.104(b)(1)-(2)) .....	4
III.	INSTITUTION SHOULD BE GRANTED (35 U.S.C. § 314(a)) .....	5
	A. Factor 1 .....	5
	B. Factor 2 .....	6
	C. Factor 3 .....	7
	D. Factor 4 .....	7
	E. Factor 5 .....	7
	F. Factor 6 .....	8
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE PATENT .....	8
	A. Claims .....	8
	B. Specification .....	9
	C. Priority Date .....	9
	D. Alleged Benefit of the Patent .....	9
V.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART .....	10
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 CFR § 42.104(b)(3)) .....	10
VII.	OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART REFERENCES .....	11
	A. Mithyantha .....	11
	B. MorphMix .....	11
	C. RFC 2616 .....	12
VIII.	FOUNDATIONS FOR INVALIDITY (37 CFR § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)) .....	12

A. GROUND 1: ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1-2, 4-13, 15-20, 22-23, 25-26, 29 BY MITHYANTHA.....	12
1. Claim 1 .....	15
a) Preamble .....	15
b) Claim step (a) (“initiating, by the client device, communication with the first server over the Internet in response to connecting to the Internet, the communication comprises sending, by the client device, the first identifier to the first server over the Internet”).....	16
c) Claim step (b) (“when connected to the Internet, periodically or continuously determining whether the resource utilization satisfies the criterion”).....	18
d) Claim step (c) (“responsive to the determining that the utilization of the resource satisfies the criterion, shifting to the first state or staying in the first state”) .....	19
e) Claim step (d) (“responsive to the determining that the utilization of the resource does not satisfy the criterion, shifting to the second state or staying in the second state”).....	20
f) Claim step (e) (“responsive to being in the first state, receiving, by the client device, a request from the first server”).....	21
g) Claim step (f) (“performing a task, by the client device, in response to the receiving of the request from the first server”).	21
h) Claim step (g) (“wherein the method is further configured for fetching over the Internet a first content identified by a first content identifier from a web server that is distinct from the first server, and the task comprising”) .....	21
i) Claim step (h) (“receiving, by the client device, the first content identifier from the first server”).....	22
j) Claim step (i) (“sending, by the client device, the first content identifier to the web server”) .....	24
k) Claim step (j) (“receiving, by the client device, the first content from the web server in response to the sending of the first content identifier”) .....	25
l) Claim step (k) (sending, by the client device, the received first content to the first server”).....	26

2.	Claim 2.....	27
3.	Claim 4.....	28
4.	Claim 5.....	29
5.	Claim 6.....	29
6.	Claim 7.....	29
7.	Claim 8.....	30
8.	Claims 9-12.....	31
9.	Claim 13.....	31
10.	Claims 15-16.....	31
11.	Claim 17.....	32
12.	Claims 18-20, 22-23 .....	32
13.	Claims 25-26.....	33
14.	Claim 29.....	33
B.	GROUND 2: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-2, 4-13, 15-20, 22-23, 25-26, 28-29 BY MITHYANTHA + RFC 2616 + GENERAL KNOWLEDGE .....	34
1.	Claim 1.....	36
2.	Claim 2.....	38
3.	Claim 5.....	39
4.	Claims 18-20, 22-23 .....	39
5.	Claim 28.....	40
6.	Claims 4, 6-13, 15-17, 25-26, 29 .....	42
C.	GROUND 3: ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, 13, 17-20, 22-23, 25-26, 29 BY MORPHMIX .....	43
1.	Claim 1.....	44
	a) Preamble .....	44
	b) Claim step (a) (“initiating, by the client device, communication with the first server over the Internet in response to connecting to the Internet, the communication comprises sending, by the client device, the first identifier to the first server over the Internet”).....	45

c) Claim step (b) (“when connected to the Internet, periodically or continuously determining whether the resource utilization satisfies the criterion”)	47
d) Claim step (c) (“responsive to the determining that the utilization of the resource satisfies the criterion, shifting to the first state or staying in the first state”)	49
e) Claim step (d) (“responsive to the determining that the utilization of the resource does not satisfy the criterion, shifting to the second state or staying in the second state”)	50
f) Claim step (e) (“responsive to being in the first state, receiving, by the client device, a request from the first server”)	50
g) Claim step (f) (“performing a task, by the client device, in response to the receiving of the request from the first server”)	51
h) Claim step (g) (“wherein the method is further configured for fetching over the Internet a first content identified by a first content identifier from a web server that is distinct from the first server, and the task comprising”)	51
i) Claim step (h) (“receiving, by the client device, the first content identifier from the first server”)	52
j) Claim step (i) (“sending, by the client device, the first content identifier to the web server”)	54
k) Claim step (j) (“receiving, by the client device, the first content from the web server in response to the sending of the first content identifier”)	55
l) Claim step (k) (sending, by the client device, the received first content to the first server”)	56
2. Claim 2	57
3. Claim 4	57
4. Claim 5	58
5. Claim 7	58
6. Claim 8	59
7. Claims 9-10	59
8. Claim 13	59
9. Claim 17	60

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.