

Case IPR2020-01492
U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
Petitioner

v.

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-01492

U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Overview Of The '134 Patent.....	2
A. Technical Background.....	3
1. SRAM/DRAM	3
2. DRAM Activate Operations	4
3. DRAM Precharge Operations	6
B. Advantages Of The '134 Patent.	11
1. Advantages Over The Prior Art	13
III. Qualcomm's Prior Art References Differ From The Teachings Of The '134 Patent.	14
A. Schaefer Is Directed To Reducing The Amount Of Time Necessary To Complete DRAM Precharge And Activate Operations In Between Bursts.....	14
1. Schaefer Recognizes That DRAM Precharge And Activate Operations Take A Given Amount Of Time To Complete, Resulting In Wasted Cycles In Between Bursts.....	14
2. Schaefer's Purported Invention Allows ACTIVE and PRECHARGE Command Operations To Be Initiated One Cycle Early.	19
IV. Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.	21
V. Claim Construction	22
A. All Challenged Claims: "non-interruptible".	22
B. Claim 16: "means for reading data ... / means for generating a predetermined number of said internal address signals in	

response to (i) an external address signal, (ii) a clock signal and (iii) one or more control signals”	22
VI. Mr. Murphy’s Declaration Should Be Given Little Or No Weight	23
VII. Ground 1: Claims 11-5, 7, 9-10, 12-18, 20, And 21 Are Not Anticipated By Schaefer	29
A. Schaefer Does Not Disclose “ <i>wherein said generation of said predetermined number of internal address signals is non-interruptible</i> ”	29
1. Schaefer Discloses Interrupting Bursts	30
a. Schaefer Discloses Multiple Means For Interrupting Bursts Before Completion	30
b. Schaefer Does Not Describe Non-Interruptible Bursts Because It Is Directed To Preventing Wasted Cycles In Between Bursts	32
c. Qualcomm’s Expert Agrees That Interrupting Bursts Is Advantageous And Prevents Wasting Cycles In Between Bursts	34
2. A POSITA Would Have Understood Schaefer’s Prohibition On User Commands To Apply Only To The Precharge Period T_{RP}	36
a. Schaefer Is Explicitly Directed To Reducing The Time Necessary To Activate and Precharge A Row By Initiating ACTIVE and PRECHARGE Command Operations Early	38
b. Initiating PRECHARGE Command Operations Early Requires Prohibiting Interruptions During The Time Period Necessary To Complete The Precharge Command Operation In Between Bursts	40
c. Schaefer’s AUTO-PRECHARGE Command Operation Necessitates Preventing Interruptions Of The Precharge Period t_{RP}	43

d.	Schaefer’s No Operation (NOP) Command Does Not Disclose Preventing User Commands Throughout The Burst Transfer Period	45
VIII.	Ground 1B: Claims 1-7, 9-10, and 12-21 Are Not Obvious Over Schaefer In Combination With Fujioka.....	52
IX.	Ground 2A: Claim 11 Is Not Obvious Over Schaefer In Combination With Lysinger.	53
X.	Ground 2B: Claim 11 Is Not Obvious Over Schaefer In Combination With Lysinger And Fujioka.....	53
XI.	Conclusion	54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Cont'l Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	29
<i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	52, 53
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Biscotti, Inc.</i> , 878 F.3d 1052 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	29
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	29
<i>PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	29
<i>UltraTec, Inc. v. CaptionCall, LLC</i> , 872 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	28
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	52

All emphases are added unless otherwise indicated.

This paper includes color illustrations and should be viewed in color.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.