UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
Petitioner

v.

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-01492

U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL C. BROGIOLI, PH.D.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. Introduction....1
II. Bases for Opinions....1
III. Materials reviewed....2
IV. Education and Experience....2
A. Overview....3
B. Experience with Burst Mode Accesses In Memory Devices....6
V. Legal standards....7
A. Burden of Proof....7
B. Anticipation and Obviousness....8
1. Anticipation....8
2. Obviousness....9
C. Independent Claims and Dependent Claims....12
D. Claim Construction....13
VI. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art....15
VII. Technical Background....17
A. SRAM/DRAM Memory Devices....17
1. DRAM Activate Operations....18
2. DRAM Precharge Operations....20
VIII. The '134 Patent....24
A. Priority Date of the '134 Patent....24
B. Overview of the '134 Patent....24

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

IX. Overview of Schaefer 35

X. Overview of Secondary References 41

A. Fujioka 41

B. Lysinger 43

XI. Claim Construction 44

A. All Challenged Claims: "non-interruptible". 44

B. Claim 16: "means for reading data . . . / means for generating a predetermined number of said internal address signals in response to (i) an external address signal, (ii) a clock signal and (iii) one or more control signals". 44

XII. Summary of Opinions 45

XIII. Opinions About the Challenged Claims 46

A. Schaefer Does Not Disclose All Elements Of Claims 1-5, 7, 9-10, 12-18, 20, And 21 (Ground 1) 46

1. Schaefer Does Not Disclose "wherein said generation of said predetermined number of internal address signals is non-interruptible 46

2. Schaefer Discloses Interrupting Bursts 47

a. Schaefer Discloses Multiple Means For Interrupting Bursts Before Completion 47

b. Schaefer Does Not Describe Non-Interruptible Bursts Because It Is Directed To Preventing Wasted Cycles In Between Bursts 49

c. Qualcomm's Expert Agrees That Interrupting Bursts Is Advantageous And Prevents Wasting Cycles In Between Bursts 51

3. A POSITA Would Have Understood Schaefer's Prohibition On User Commands To Apply Only To The Precharge Period T_{RP} 52

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

a. Schaefer Is Explicitly Directed To Reducing The Time Necessary To Activate and Precharge A Row By Initiating ACTIVE and PRECHARGE Command Operations Early 55

b. Initiating PRECHARGE Command Operations Early Requires Prohibiting Interruptions During The Time Period Necessary To Complete The Precharge Command Operation In Between Bursts.....57

c. Schaefer's AUTO-PRECHARGE Command Operation Necessitates Preventing Interruptions Of The Precharge Period t_{RP} 59

d. Schaefer's No Operation (NOP) Command Does Not Disclose Preventing User Commands Throughout The Burst Transfer Period 61

XIV. Claims 1-7, 9-10, and 12-21 Are Not Obvious Over Schaefer In Combination With Fujioka (Ground 1b). 68

XV. Claim 11 is not obvious over Schaefer in combination with Lysinger (Ground 2a).....68

XVI. Claim 11 is not obvious over Schaefer in combination with Lysinger and Fujioka (Ground 2b) 69

XVII. Conclusion 69

XVIII. Availability for Cross-Examination 69

XIX. Right to Supplement 70

XX. Jurat.....70

XXI. Appendix A: The Challenged Claims Of the '134 Patent 71

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I. INTRODUCTION

I, Dr. Michael C. Brogioli, Ph.D., a resident of Austin, Texas, over 18 years of age, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of all of the matters about which I testify in this declaration.[1]

2. Desmarais LLP retained me on behalf of Monterey Research, LLC ("Monterey") to provide my technical opinions and testimony about the petition for *inter partes* review ("Petition") filed by Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") against Claims 1-7 and 9-21 (the "Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134 ("the '134 Patent"). I refer to those claims as the "challenged claims." The full text of the challenged claims appears in **Appendix A** to my declaration.

3. For my work in this matter, I am being compensated at my usual consulting rate of $700/hour, and I am receiving reimbursement for expenses incurred in the course of my work. My compensation is not contingent in any way on either the opinions I have reached or the outcome of this case.

II. BASES FOR OPINIONS

4. I have reviewed and considered the documents and other materials listed below in Section III in light of my specialized knowledge provided by my education, training, research, and experience, as summarized in Section IV and

[1] All emphases in quotations in this declaration are added unless otherwise specified.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.