

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	C.A. No. 19-cv-2083-CFC
v.	)	
	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,	)	
QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and	)	
QUALCOMM CDMA TECHNOLOGIES	)	
ASIA-PACIFIC PTE LTD.,	)	
	)	

Defendants.

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Monterey Research, LLC (“Monterey”), for its First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendants Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm Inc.”), Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. (“QTI”), and Qualcomm CDMA Technologies Asia-Pacific Pte Ltd. (“QCTAP”) (collectively, “Qualcomm” or “Qualcomm Defendants”) alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Monterey is an intellectual property and technology licensing company. Monterey’s patent portfolio comprises over 2,700 active and pending patents worldwide, including approximately 2,000 active United States patents. Monterey’s patent portfolio stems from technology developed from a number of leading high-technology companies, including Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, Advanced Micro Devices, Fujitsu, NVX Corporation, Ramtron, and Spansion. Those companies developed key innovations that have greatly enhanced the capabilities of computer systems, increased electronic device processing power, and reduced electronic device power consumption. Among other things, those inventions produced significant technological advances, including smaller, faster, and more efficient semiconductors and integrated circuits.

Qualcomm Incorporated

2. The Qualcomm Defendants, jointly and severally, have infringed and continue to infringe Monterey's patents. Moreover, despite Monterey notifying them of infringement, the Qualcomm Defendants have thus far refused to license those patents and, instead, have continued to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import Monterey's intellectual property within the United States without Monterey's permission.

### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

3. This action arises under 35 U.S.C. § 271 for Qualcomm's infringement of Monterey's United States Patent Nos. 6,459,625 ("the '625 patent"); 6,534,805 ("the '805 patent"); 6,642,573 ("the '573 patent"); 6,651,134 ("the '134 patent"); 6,680,516 ("the '516 patent"); 6,765,407 ("the '407 patent"); 7,572,727 ("the '727 patent"); and 7,977,797 ("the '797 patent") (collectively, "the Patents-in-Suit").

### **THE PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff Monterey is a Delaware limited liability company with offices in New Jersey and California. Monterey maintains a registered agent for service in Delaware: Intertrust Corporate Services Delaware Ltd. located at 200 Bellevue Parkway, Suite 210, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

5. Defendant Qualcomm Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 5775 Morehouse Dr., San Diego, California, 92121. Qualcomm Inc. is a publicly traded company and is the parent corporation of defendants QTI and QCTAP. Qualcomm Inc. may be served through its registered agent for service, The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc., 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

6. Defendant QTI is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 5775 Morehouse Dr., San Diego, California, 92121. QTI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Qualcomm Inc. Qualcomm Inc.'s semiconductor research and engineering business is conducted wholly or

in part through the actions of QTI. Qualcomm Inc. controls and directs the actions of QTI, and therefore both directs QTI to infringe and itself infringes Monterey's patents. QTI may be served through its registered agent for service, Corporation Service Company, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

7. Defendant QCTAP is a corporation organized under the laws of Singapore, with corporate offices at 6 Serangoon North Avenue 5, #03-04, Singapore 554910, Singapore. Defendant QCTAP is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Qualcomm Inc. QCTAP is responsible, among other things, for accepting orders and sending invoices to certain customers in the United States for Qualcomm products.

8. Qualcomm Inc. exercises control over QTI and QCTAP, and acts collectively with QTI and QCTAP to infringe Monterey's patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing products (including importing products made by a patented process) throughout the United States, including within this District. Qualcomm's customers incorporate those products into downstream products that are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported throughout the United States, including within this District. Those downstream products include, but are not limited to, smartphones, tablets, televisions, smartwatches, and other products that include Qualcomm semiconductor devices and integrated circuits.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) at least because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.*

10. Personal jurisdiction exists over each Qualcomm Defendant.

11. Personal jurisdiction exists over Qualcomm Inc. and QTI at least because each is a Delaware corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. Each also has a

registered agent for service of process in Delaware. In addition, Qualcomm Inc. and QTI have each committed, aided, abetted, contributed to and/or participated in the commission of acts of infringement giving rise to this action within the State of Delaware by, *inter alia*, directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale, importing products and/or practicing methods that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit. Furthermore, Qualcomm Inc. and QTI have transacted and conducted business in the State of Delaware and with Delaware residents by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing (including importing products made by a patented process) products and instrumentalities that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit. Among other things, Qualcomm Inc. and QTI, directly and/or through intermediaries, use, sell, ship, distribute, import into, offer for sale, and/or advertise or otherwise promote their products throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware. *See, e.g.*, [www.qualcomm.com](http://www.qualcomm.com). At least for those reasons, Qualcomm Inc. and QTI have the requisite minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Qualcomm Inc. and QTI would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

12. Personal jurisdiction exists over QCTAP at least because it has committed, aided, abetted, contributed to and/or participated in the commission of acts of infringement giving rise to this action within the State of Delaware by, *inter alia*, directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale, importing products and/or practicing methods that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit. Furthermore, QCTAP transacted and conducted business in the State of Delaware and with Delaware residents with respect to the products and instrumentalities accused of infringing the Patents-in-Suit. Among other things, QCTAP, directly and/or through intermediaries, uses, sells, ships, distributes, imports into, offers for sale, and/or advertises or otherwise promotes its products throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware.

*See, e.g.,* [www.qualcomm.com](http://www.qualcomm.com). For example, QCTAP develops products for sale in the United States, including in the State of Delaware, and tests and verifies products developed in the United States before selling them in the United States, including in the State of Delaware. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.qualcomm.com/news/releases/2008/10/30/qualcomm-opens-asia-pacific-test-center-excellence-singapore>. As an additional example, QCTAP contracts with and is responsible for accepting orders and sending invoices to customers in the United States. *See, e.g., Tessera Inc. v. Motorola, Inc. et al*, No. 12-cv-692, slip op. at 3 (N.D. Ca. Aug. 7, 2013). At least for those reasons, QCTAP has the requisite minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over QCTAP would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b). Qualcomm Inc. and QTI reside in this district and have committed acts of infringement in this district. Venue is proper with respect to QCTAP at least because QCTAP is a foreign corporation, has committed acts of infringement in this district, and venue is proper in any district in which QCTAP is subject to personal jurisdiction. Venue is further proper based on the facts alleged in the preceding paragraphs, which Monterey incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein.

### **THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

14. Monterey incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

#### **A. U.S. Patent No. 6,459,625**

15. The '625 patent, titled "Three Metal Process for Optimizing Layout Density," was duly and properly issued by the USPTO on October 1, 2002. A true and correct copy of the '625 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

16. Monterey is the owner and assignee of the '625 patent; owns all right, title, and interest in the '625 patent; and holds the right to sue for and recover damages for infringement

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.