UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION and XILINX, INC.,¹,

Petitioners

v.

FG SRC LLC,

Patent Owner

CASE NO.: IPR2020-01449 PATENT NO. 7,149,867

DECLARATION OF STANLEY SHANFIELD, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S REVISED MOTION TO AMEND

Mail Stop **PATENT BOARD** Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RM

¹ Xilinx, Inc. filed a motion for joinder and petition in IPR2021-00633, which were granted, and, therefore, has been joined as petitioner in this proceeding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION		
	А.	Educational and Work Background	1
	B.	Materials Considered	2
II.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART		3
III.	THE ART RENDERS OBVIOUS A RECONFIGURABLE PROCESSOR THAT OPERATES INDEPENDENT OF AND IN PARALLEL WITH A CONVENTIONAL		
	MICROPROCESSOR		3
IV.	RES	SERVATION OF RIGHTS	9
V.	CON	NCLUSION	9

Declaration in Support of Intel's Response to Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Amend

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Stanley Shanfield Ph.D., and I am a Technical Director at Draper Laboratory in Cambridge, Massachusetts. I have been retained to prepare this declaration as an expert witness on behalf of Petitioner Intel Corporation ("Intel" or "Petitioner"). In this report, I provide my opinions concerning the scope and patentability of the amended claims submitted in the Patent Owner's motion to amend the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,155,867 ("'867 patent"). I also provide herein the technical bases for these opinions, as appropriate. This declaration contains statements of my opinions formed to date, and the bases and rationale for these opinions. I may offer additional opinions based on further review of materials presented throughout the course of this proceeding, including any additional opinions and/or testimony of Patent Owner's expert witnesses.

2. For my efforts in connection with the preparation of this declaration, I have been compensated at my usual and customary rate for this type of consulting activity. My compensation is in no way contingent on the substance of my opinions or the results of this or any other proceedings relating to the '867 patent.

A. Educational and Work Background

3. My educational background and qualifications are set forth generally in my prior declaration supporting Intel's Petition for IPR (*see* EX1006 ¶¶ 3-16) and in my *curriculum vitae* which was submitted as <u>Attachment A</u> thereto.

Declaration in Support of Intel's Response to Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Amend

B. Materials Considered

4. I have considered information in forming my opinions in this declaration:

- The '867 patent and its prosecution file history (EX1001, EX1002);
- Intel's Petition for IPR (Paper No. 1);
- X. Zhang et al., Architectural Adaptation of Application-Specific

Locality Optimizations, IEEE (1997) (EX1003);

• R. Gupta, Architectural Adaptation in AMRM Machines, IEEE (2000) (EX1004);

• Chien and R. Gupta, MORPH: A System for Robust Higher

Performance Using Customization," IEEE (1996) (EX1005);

- My initial declaration submitted with Intel's Petition (EX1006);
- The Board's Institution Decision in this proceeding (Paper 13);
- Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Amend the Claims (Paper 41);
- Declaration of William Mangione-Smith, Ph.D., in Support of Patent

Owner's Revised Motion to Amend the Claims (EX2030);

• U.S. Patent No. 7,155,602 to Poznanovic ("Poznanovic" – EX1046);

and

• Any other materials referenced in this declaration.

Declaration in Support of Intel's Response to Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Amend

II. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART

5. My opinions in this declaration are based on the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSA") at the time of the '867 patent. My determination of the level of ordinary skill in the art is set forth in my prior declaration supporting Intel's Petition. *See* EX1006 ¶¶ 66-67.

III. THE ART RENDERS OBVIOUS A RECONFIGURABLE PROCESSOR THAT OPERATES INDEPENDENT OF AND IN PARALLEL WITH A CONVENTIONAL MICROPROCESSOR

6. My testimony in this declaration is limited to only addressing the obviousness of the new "wherein the reconfigurable processor operates independent of and in parallel with a conventional microprocessor" amendments proposed in the Patent Owner's revised motion to amend the claims in this proceeding, and even then only to the extent expressly addressed below. In this declaration I do not opine or offer testimony concerning any other claim limitation, or aspects or features of the '867 patent or the prior art, which I have addressed in prior declarations in this proceeding.

7. I understand that the Patent Owner has submitted proposed amended claims 20, 21, 28 and 32 that each recite "wherein the reconfigurable processor operates independent of and in parallel with a conventional microprocessor," or similar language, and that the Petitioner asserts this limitation is not supported anywhere in the '867 patent specification. I have reviewed the '867 patent

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.