Paper No. 36 Filed: August 18, 2021

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD INTEL CORPORATION, Petitioner v. FG SRC LLC, Patent Owner CASE NO.: IPR2020-01449 PATENT NO. 7,149,867

PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO AMEND

Mail Stop **PATENT BOARD**Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
I.	IN	TRO	ODUCTION	1	
II.	BA	CK	GROUND ON RELEVANT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	2	
III.	AR	RGU	JMENT	3	
	A.	Pro	oposed substitute claim 20 should be denied	3	
			The data movement amendment enlarges the original claim scope		
		2.	The data movement amendment does not relate to unpatentability	6	
		3.	Proposed claim 20 is unpatentable over the prior art	7	
			a. The prior art discloses the data movement amendment	7	
			b. The prior art discloses the data computation amendment	8	
			c. Trimberger also discloses the data computation amendment	13	
	B.	B. Proposed substitute claim 28 should be denied			
			The data movement amendment enlarges the original claim scope		
		2.	The data movement amendment does not relate to unpatentability	20	
		3.	The additional "wherein configured" limitation is duplicative	20	
		4.	The proposed claim 28 is unpatentable over the prior art	20	
			a. The prior art discloses the data movement amendment	20	
			b. The prior art discloses the "configured" amendment	21	
			c. The prior art discloses the data computation amendment	21	
	C.	Pro	oposed substitute claim 32 should be denied	21	
		1.	The data movement amendment enlarges the original claim scope		
			1	· · · · — -	



IPR2020-01449 U.S. Patent No. 7,149,867

2.	The data movement amendment does not relate to		
	unpatentability	22	
3.	The proposed claim 32 is unpatentable over the prior art	23	
	a. The prior art discloses the data movement amendment	23	
	b. The prior art discloses the data computation amendment	23	
IV CONO	CLUSION	24	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Aqua Prods., Inc. v. Matal, 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	3
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs.</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	passim
Idle Free Sys., Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc., IPR2012-00027, Paper 26, 5 (PTAB June 11, 2013)	4, 5, 19, 22
Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Google LLC, et al., 948 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2020), (ii)	11
Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc., IPR2018-01129, Paper 15 (Feb. 25, 2019)	7
Realtime Data, LLC, v. Iancu, 912 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	10
Statutes and Codes	
United States Code Title 35 § 102(a) Title 35 § 102(b) Title 35 § 316(d) Title 35 § 316(d)(3)	
Rules and Regulations	
Code of Federal Regulations Title 37 § 42.121(a)(2)(i) Title 37 § 42.121(a)(2)(ii) Title 37 § 42.121(d)(1)	20, 22



TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,867 to Daniel Poznanovic, <i>et al.</i> , filed June 16, 2004, and issued on December 12, 2006 (the "'867 patent").
Exhibit 1002	Prosecution history of the '867 patent.
Exhibit 1003	X. Zhang et al., Architectural Adaptation of Application-Specific Locality Optimizations, IEEE (1997) ("Zhang").
Exhibit 1004	R. Gupta, Architectural Adaptation in AMRM Machines, IEEE (2000) ("Gupta").
Exhibit 1005	A. Chien and R. Gupta, MORPH: A System Architecture for Robust Higher Performance Using Customization," IEEE (1996) ("Chien").
Exhibit 1006	Declaration of Stanley Shanfield, Ph.D.
Exhibit 1007	RESERVED
Exhibit 1008	RESERVED
Exhibit 1009	RESERVED
Exhibit 1010	Declaration of Rajesh K. Gupta
Exhibit 1011	Chien et al., Safe and Protected Execution for the Morph/AMRM Reconfigurable Processor, IEEE (1999).
Exhibit 1012	Declaration of Jacob Munford
Exhibit 1013	RESERVED
Exhibit 1014	Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case by Judge Alan D Albright, filed on June 30, 2020 in FG SRC LLC v. Intel Corporation, No. 6:20-cv-00315-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
Exhibit 1015	Scheduling Order by Judge Alan D Albright, filed on August 1, 2020 in <i>FG SRC LLC v. Intel Corporation</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00315-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
Exhibit 1016	Plaintiffs SRC Labs, LLC & Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe's Opening Claim Construction Brief, filed on November 5, 2018 in <i>SRC Labs, LLC et al. v. Amazon Web Services, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:18-cv-00317-JLP (W.D. Was.)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

