

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00787
Patent 7,237,634

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S.
Patent No. 7,237,634**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND 2

III. THE '634 PATENT 3

 A. Background of the '634 Patent 3

 B. Claim Construction 6

 1. “setpoint (SP)” 7

 2. “monitor patterns of vehicle operation over time” 13

IV. ARGUMENT 17

 A. The Board Should Exercise its Discretion to Reject Ford’s Eighth Bite at the Apple 17

 1. The Petition is Ford’s Eighth Bite at the Apple 21

 2. Ford Advances Substantially the Same Prior Art and Substantially the Same Arguments 26

 3. Estoppel Considerations Support Rejecting Ford’s Petition 29

 B. The Petition is Procedurally Improper 32

 1. The Petition Improperly Incorporates by Reference 32

 2. The Petition Creates an Overly Voluminous Record 37

 C. Ground 1 is Deficient 38

 1. Claim 33 42

 2. Additional Claims 48

PUBLIC - REDACTED VERSION

Patent No. 7,237,634
Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

Case IPR2015-00787
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0015IP7

D. Ground 2 is Deficient50

 1. Claim 5350

 2. Additional Claims52

E. Ground 3 is Deficient53

 1. Claim 5453

 2. Additional Claims54

V. CONCLUSION.....54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	11
<i>Apple, Inc., v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00356, Paper 9 (PTAB Jun. 26, 2015)	38
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00448, Paper 9 (PTAB Jul. 10, 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>ASUSTeK Computer Inc. v. Exotablet, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00041, Paper 6 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015)	20
<i>Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc.</i> , 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	40
<i>Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. v. Cordis Corp.</i> , 554 F.3d 982 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	41, 45
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014)	19, 21, 22, 30
<i>Cisco v. C-Cation Technologies</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)	33
<i>Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. Procter & Gamble Company</i> , IPR2014-00628, Paper 23 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2015)	20, 29, 31
<i>Continental Automotive Sys., Inc. v. Wasica Finance GMBH</i> , IPR2014-01454, Paper 14 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2015)	28
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	7, 11

...

PUBLIC - REDACTED VERSION

Patent No. 7,237,634
Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

Case IPR2015-00787
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0015IP7

In re Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC,
778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015), *reh'g denied*, ___ F.3d ___ (Fed. Cir.
Jul. 8, 2015).....6

CustomPlay, LLC v. ClearPlay, Inc.,
IPR2014-00783, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2014).....30

eBay Inc. v. MoneyCat Ltd.,
CBM2015-00008, Paper 9 (PTAB May 1, 2015).....30

Fidelity National v. DataTreasury,
IPR2014-00491, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2014).....33, 49

Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 11

In re Giannelli,
739 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014)46

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....39, 40

Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.,
512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)51, 54

Ex Parte James R. Bosserdet Jr.,
Appeal 2012-001420, 2014 WL 5590704 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2014)45

In re Kahn,
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)43

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....39, 43, 47

MasterImage 3D, Inc. v. Reald Inc.,
IPR2015-00033, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2015)46, 52

MaxLinear, Inc. v. Cresta Technology Corp.,
IPR2015-00591, Paper 9 (PTAB Jun. 15, 2015)25

Micro Motion, Inc. v. Invensys Systems, Inc.,
IPR2014-0393, Paper 16 (PTAB Aug. 4, 2014).....33

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.