

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT & BMW
OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,**
Petitioners

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owners

Inter Partes Review No.: To Be Assigned

U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634 K2

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,237,634
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction and Procedural Background.....	1
II. Overview of the '634 Patent	3
A. The Specification, Challenged Claims, and Relevant Prior IPRs.....	3
B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSA”).....	7
III. Legal Standards	7
A. Claim Construction	7
B. Issue Preclusion	7
IV. Terms Already Construed by the Board	8
V. Statutory Grounds of Challenge	11
VI. Technology Background	13
VII. Detailed Explanation of the Challenge	14
A. Issue Preclusion Applies to Limitations of Previously Cancelled Claims.....	14
B. Ground 1: Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Nii.....	16
1. Claim 33	16
2. Rationale for Combination.....	20
C. Ground 2: Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Quigley	23
1. Claim 33	23
2. Rationale for Combination.....	26
D. Ground 3: Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Graf	29
1. Claim 33	29
2. Rationale for Combination.....	33
E. Ground 4: Claims 34-38, 42-44, 46, 50, 52, 55 and 68 Are Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley or (c) Graf	35
1. Claim 34	35

2.	Claim 35	35
3.	Claim 36	36
4.	Claim 37	38
5.	Claim 38	39
6.	Claim 42	39
7.	Claim 43	40
8.	Claim 44	42
9.	Claim 46	43
10.	Claim 50	44
11.	Claim 52	44
12.	Claim 55	45
13.	Claim 68	46
F.	Ground 5: Claims 39 and 40 Are Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley, or (c) Graf, and Further in View of Frank	46
1.	Claim 39	47
2.	Claim 40	49
3.	Rationale for Combination	50
G.	Ground 6: Claim 41 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley, or (c) Graf, and Further in View of Lateur	51
1.	Claim 41	52
2.	Rationale for Combination	53
H.	Ground 7: Claim 49 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley, or (c) Graf, and Further in View of Ma	55
1.	Claim 49	55
2.	Rationale for Combination	57
I.	Ground 8: Claim 53 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley, or (c) Graf, and Further in View of Vittone	59

1.	Claim 53	59
2.	Rationale for Combination.....	62
J.	Ground 9: Claim 54 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Any of (a) Nii, (b) Quigley, or (c) Graf, and Further in View of Yamaguchi.....	64
1.	Claim 54	64
2.	Rationale for Combination.....	65
K.	Ground 10: Claim 105 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Frank and Ma.....	66
L.	Ground 11: Claims 188-189, 199-203, 205-206, 208, 211 and 213 Are Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Ma	67
1.	Claim 188	67
2.	Claim 189	67
3.	Claim 199	68
4.	Claim 200	68
5.	Claim 201	68
6.	Claim 202	68
7.	Claim 203	68
8.	Claim 205	68
9.	Claim 206	68
10.	Claim 208	69
11.	Claim 211	69
12.	Claim 213	69
M.	Ground 12: Claim 204 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Ma and Lateur.....	69
N.	Ground 13: Claim 212 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Ma and Yamaguchi.....	70
O.	Ground 14: Claim 214 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Ma and Suga	70
1.	Claim 214	71
2.	Rationale for Combination.....	71

P.	Ground 15: Claim 242 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Vittone	74
Q.	Ground 16: Claim 268 Is Obvious Over Severinsky in View of Yamaguchi.....	75
VIII.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8	75
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest.....	75
B.	Related Matters	75
C.	Identification of Counsel and Service Information	77
IX.	Grounds for Standing and Procedural Statement (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a))	77
X.	Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §§42.103 and 42.15(a)(1))	78
XI.	Conclusion.....	78
	Claim Appendix of Challenged Claims	80
	Certification of Word Count	100
	Certificate of Service	101

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.