	Page
	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
_	
	EDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC ASCULAR, INC.,
	Petitioners,
	vs.
Т	ELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L.,
	Patent Owner.
_	IPR2020-00126 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
	IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
	IPR2020-00128 (Patent RE45,380 E)
	IPR2020-00129 (Patent RE45,380 E)
	IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
	IPR2020-00132 (Patent RE45,760 E)
	IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
	IPR2020-00135 (Patent RE45,776 E)
	IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
	IPR2020-00137 (Patent RE47,379 E)
	IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)
	VOLUME II
	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
	MICHEAL JONES
_	
	ATE: January 20, 2021
	'IME: 7:58 a.m. (Pacific)
Ρ	LACE: Veritext Virtual Videoconference
-	
	AGES: 1 to 163
	OB NO.: MW 4402861
R	EPORTED BY: Merilee Johnson, RDR, CRR, CRC, RSA

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

DOCKE.

888-391-3376

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 2		Page
1	A P P E A R A N C E S	1	EXHIBITS
2	(All appearing remotely via videoconference)	2	(Continued)
	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS:	3	Exhibit 1015 Baim Article: Section VII: 30
	ROBINS KAPLAN LLP BY: Christopher A. Pinahs, Esq.	4	Interventional Techniques
5	Cyrus A. Morton, Esq.	5	-
	Shelley R. Gilliss, Ph.D.	6	Publication No. 2005/0015073 A1,
6	800 LaSalle Avenue Suite 2800	7	,
7	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		Publication Date: January 20,
	Phone: (612) 349-8500	8	2005
8	Email: CMorton@RobinsKaplan.com Email: CPinahs@RobinsKaplan.com	9	
9	Email: SGilliss@RobinsKaplan.com	10	Catheterization and
10		11	Cardiovascular Interventions,
	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNERS: CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH,	12	dated November 2004
	LINDQUIST & SCHUMAN, PA	13	Exhibit 1807 Declaration of Michael Jones 6
13	BY: Joseph W. Winkels, Esq.	14	Submitted in Support of
14	Peter M. Kohlhepp, Esq. 225 South Sixth Street	15	Petitioner's Replies
	Suite 4200	16	*
15	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Phone: (612) 436-9600	17	
16	Email: JWinkels@CarlsonCaspers.com	18	
17	Email: PKohlhepp@CarlsonCaspers.com	_	
17 18	ALSO APPEARED:	19	
19	Greg Smock (Teleflex)	20	
•	Peter Keith (Teleflex)	21	
20 21	Justin Bond (Videographer)	22	
22		23	
23		24	
24 25		25	
	Page 3		Page
1	I N D E X	1	(PROCEEDINGS, 01/20/2021, 9:58 a.m.)
2		2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today
3	WITNESS: MICHEAL JONES PAGE	3	is January 20, 2021. We're on the record at
4	Examination by Mr. Winkels 5	4	7:58 a.m. Today we'll take the videotaped
	Examination by Mr. Pinahs161		deposition in Case No. IPR2020-00138.
6		6	This deposition is being held remotely.
	CAUTION OR INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER:	7	Counsel, please state your appearance and
			affiliation for the record.
8	Page 156, Line 13	8	
9		9	MR. WINKELS: Good morning. On behalf
10	EXHIBITS		of patent owner, Joe Winkels with the Carlson
11			Caspers firm. Also with me from my firm is Peter
12	EXHIBITS FIRST REFERRED TO: PAGE	12	Kohlhepp. And on the line is Greg Smock from
13	Exhibit 1001 United States Patent No. 56	13	Teleflex, as well as Pete Keith.
14	8,048,032 B@, Date of Patent:	14	MR. PINAHS: Christopher Pinahs from
15	November 1, 2011	15	the Robins Kaplan law firm on behalf of the
16	Exhibit 1007 United States Patent No. 22		petitioner, Medtronic. I'm also joined this
10			morning by my colleague Cyrus Morton and
	7.736.355 B2. Date of Patent:	1,	Shelley Gilliss.
17	7,736,355 B2, Date of Patent: June 15, 2010	18	
17 18	June 15, 2010		-
17 18 19	June 15, 2010Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No.89	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would
17 18 19 20	June 15, 2010 Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No. 89 5,439,445, Date of Patent: August	19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would you please swear the witness.
17 18 19 20 21	June 15, 2010 Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No. 89 5,439,445, Date of Patent: August 8, 1995	19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would you please swear the witness. MICHEAL JONES,
17 18 19 20 21 22	June 15, 2010 Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No. 89 5,439,445, Date of Patent: August 8, 1995 Exhibit 1010 Takahashi Brochure: 82	19 20 21 22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would you please swear the witness. MICHEAL JONES, duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
17 18 19 20 21	June 15, 2010 Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No. 89 5,439,445, Date of Patent: August 8, 1995	19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would you please swear the witness. MICHEAL JONES,
17 18 19 20 21 22	June 15, 2010 Exhibit 1009 United States Patent No. 89 5,439,445, Date of Patent: August 8, 1995 Exhibit 1010 Takahashi Brochure: 82	19 20 21 22 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would you please swear the witness. MICHEAL JONES, duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

RM

DOCKET

Δ

Δ

888-391-3376

	Page 6		Page 8
1	A. Good morning.		in that alternative combination?
2	Q. Do you have Exhibit 1807 in front of you?	2	A. Yes, I would.
3	(Exhibit No. 1807 was introduced.)	3	Q. Okay. Now, at paragraph 124 of your
4	A. Yes, I do. Hang on just a second. Let me		declaration, in that first sentence of
5			paragraph 124 you're discussing Itou and Ressemann
6	Q. All right. And Exhibit 1807 is your		And you say, "these areas can be estimated based
7	,		on the figures and dimensions reported in each
8	A. That's correct.	8	patent."
9	Q. Okay. And I'm going to try to start where	9	Correct?
	we kind of left off on Monday, and we'll keep going	10	A. Yes, I do.
11	through your declaration as we did on Monday.	11	Q. You agree it is appropriate to look at the
12	Does that sound okay?	12	figures in Itou and Ressemann to estimate relative
13	A. Yes, it does.	13	dimensions of the various portions of the device,
14	Q. All right. And, likely, I will also move	14	right?
15	the exhibits into the Exhibits folder on the	15	A. I believe when you take the figures of both
16	Exhibit Share. Do you have the Exhibit Share up,		Itou and Ressemann and then bring them scale one
17	Mr. Jones?		relative to the other to bring them to the same, in
18	A. Yes. Yes, I do.		my case, internal dimension ID of the two, or
19	Q. Okay. And just to make sure we're seeing	19	the ID of the opening, then at that point you can
20	the same things. If you go into the Marked	20	approximate the area of the openings to give a
21	Exhibits folder, do you see your declaration there	21	relative size comparison.
22	now, 1807?	22	Q. Right. And that's the only point I wanted
23	A. Yes, I do.	23	to make, is that throughout your declaration you
24	Q. Okay. Perfect. So if you could turn to	24	are looking at patent figures, and if a patent
25	page 49 of your declaration. It's around	25	figure doesn't have a specific dimension specified
	Page 7		Page 9
1			in the specification, you are using that patent
2	A. Okay.		figure and scaling that patent figure to try to
3	Q. And just to orient us: On Monday evening	3	ascertain dimensions of that structure, right?
	when we concluded, we were discussing the two	4	A. I'll re I'll try to answer your
	alternatives that you proposed for modifying the	5	question in my verbiage.
6	Itou reference with the Ressemann collar, right?	6	So where a patent had a dimension
7	A. I believe that is correct.	7	
8	Q. And the two alternatives you proposed, one	8	dimensions to the drawing to translate or place in
9	is where you take the Ressemann collar and you set	9	the drawing the appropriate dimensions that are
10	the tab portion of the collar on top of the Itou	10	specified in its patent.
	wire, and the other alternative is where you take	11	And then where there are not dimensions
12	the Ressemann collar and you situate the tab		specified from those patents, estimating, based on
			some known dimensions or some known geometric
	portion on the bottom of the Itou wire. Right?		-
13 14	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when	14	reference, what the drawing represents as the
13 14	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.	14	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally.
13 14 15 16	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the	14 15 16	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents
13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put	14 15 16 17	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou,	14 15 16 17 18	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou, you said in that combination you would remove both	14 15 16 17 18 19	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those similar internal dimensions as the basis for, say,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition.Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou, you said in that combination you would remove both	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition. Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou, you said in that combination you would remove both the Itou collar and the Itou coil; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the alternative embodiment where you put 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those similar internal dimensions as the basis for, say, the scale between the two two patents. Q. And in doing the estimation part of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition. Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou, you said in that combination you would remove both the Itou collar and the Itou coil; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the alternative embodiment where you put the Ressemann collar and the tab portion of the 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those similar internal dimensions as the basis for, say, the scale between the two two patents. Q. And in doing the estimation part of the analysis you just described, you are using the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. I believe we were at that point when we ended the deposition. Q. Yep. Perfect. And we discussed in the situation or the proposal you have where you put the Ressemann collar on top of the wire in Itou, you said in that combination you would remove both the Itou collar and the Itou coil; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the alternative embodiment where you put 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reference, what the drawing represents as the what the drawing represents dimensionally. And then in the cases where we have patents with different IDs, I'm bring scale the assembly to the same internal dimension and then run my calculations or estimations based on those similar internal dimensions as the basis for, say, the scale between the two two patents. Q. And in doing the estimation part of the

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

R M

Г

DOCKE.

Δ

Α

888-391-3376

Page 10	Page
1 A. Yes. I am using the patent drawings to aid	1 A. Mm-hmm. Yes, I see that.
2 me in that estimation.	2 Q. In the combination you show in
3 Q. Okay. Looking down to paragraph 127.	3 paragraph 121, the weld point is still present,
4 A. Okay.	4 right,
5 Q. All right. Now, in 127 you are saying that	5 A. No, I
6 using Ressemann's collar would reduce kinking	6 MR. PINAHS: Object to form.
7 because it would eliminate the weld point between	7 A think
8 the wire 25 and the proximal opening, right?	8 MR. PINAHS: You can answer, Mr. Jones.
9 A. Hold on a second. Let me read that	9 A. Now, in this schematic I basically overlaid
10 paragraph before you (Reviewing document.)	10 what the where the where I would place the
11 Okay. Okay. So, again, your question,	11 collar in relation to the Itou construction.
12 please?	12 So the wire that's shown in Itou here is
13 Q. Yeah. Are you saying that you would use	13 unmodified. It's just the Itou form that was
14 Ressemann's collar to eliminate the weld point in	14 provided, and then on top of it is placed the
15 Itou to reduce kinking?	15 Ressemann collar. And you can see it's embedded
16 MR. PINAHS: Objection. Form.	16 within the wall on the top, and there's some
17 A. Can you re-ask that question?	17 manipulation or modification I'd have to make to
18 Q. Let's just break it up. Are you saying you	18 get it fully embedded in the wall at the proximal
19 would use Ressemann's collar 2141 in your proposed	19 end of Itou.
20 combination that you discuss in paragraph 127 to	20 So the I don't my attempt is not to
21 eliminate the weld point in Itou?	21 show the weld point of Itou. My attempt is to show
22 A. The answer is yes. So I would be using the	22 where I believe the Ressemann collar would fit
23 collar in Ressemann in place or to trans in	23 within this construction.
24 place of the weld point in Itou. So the collar	24 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the figure
25 would provide an increase or the ability to	25 you show in paragraph 121 is not an accurate
Page 11 1 provide a transition in stiffness between the wire	Page 1 representation of the ultimate combination you are
2 and the opening of Itou.	2 proposing between Ressemann and Itou?
3 Q. Is it your opinion that the weld point in	3 MR. PINAHS: Objection. Form.
4 Itou is a location that may increase kinkability?	4 A. Can you rephrase that, please? Just to
5 A. Yeah. It's my it's my opinion that the	5 make sure I answer the right question.
6 weld point being essentially having sorry.	6 Q. Sure. Is it fair to say that the figure
7 It's my opinion that the transition between	7 you show in paragraph 121 is not an accurate
8 the Itou collar and the wire would have a the	8 representation of the ultimate combination you are
9 transition area where the wire has been flattened	9 proposing between Ressemann and Itou?
10 and welded to the Itou collar provides a risk of a	10 MR. PINAHS: Same objection.
11 kink forming at that joint, just due to the	11 A. It's in an it's an attempt my attempt
12 shortness of the transition.	12 to demonstrate what the combination what Itou's
13 And the fact that the flattening the	13 layout would look like with the Ressemann collar
14 wire by crushing it is going to locally result in	14 attached.
15 work hardening and a decrease in the ductility of	15 I think this is, you know, an interim view
16 the wire at that location.	16 of what would be done with the product. There are
17 Q. Right. I want to talk about that. Before	17 other changes that I would probably make in
18 we get to that, though, I have a my first	18 conjunction with this, where I would make this full
	To conjunction with this, where I would make this full
	•
19 question is: If you look at the combination you	19 change, but this is my best description, an
19 question is: If you look at the combination you20 show in paragraph 121 of your declaration.	19 change, but this is my best description, an20 interim view of the what it would look like.
19 question is: If you look at the combination you20 show in paragraph 121 of your declaration.21 A. Okay. Let me flip over to that.	19 change, but this is my best description, an20 interim view of the what it would look like.21 Q. Thank you. That's all I'm trying to
 question is: If you look at the combination you show in paragraph 121 of your declaration. A. Okay. Let me flip over to that. Q. Can you see at in paragraph 121 of your 	 19 change, but this is my best description, an 20 interim view of the what it would look like. 21 Q. Thank you. That's all I'm trying to 22 establish, is that the figure you show in
 19 question is: If you look at the combination you 20 show in paragraph 121 of your declaration. 21 A. Okay. Let me flip over to that. 22 Q. Can you see at in paragraph 121 of your 23 declaration, you show your proposed combination 	 19 change, but this is my best description, an 20 interim view of the what it would look like. 21 Q. Thank you. That's all I'm trying to 22 establish, is that the figure you show in 23 paragraph 121, you would make further modification
 question is: If you look at the combination you show in paragraph 121 of your declaration. A. Okay. Let me flip over to that. Q. Can you see at in paragraph 121 of your 	 19 change, but this is my best description, an 20 interim view of the what it would look like. 21 Q. Thank you. That's all I'm trying to 22 establish, is that the figure you show in

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

R M

Т

DOCKE.

Δ

Δ

888-391-3376

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1 Ressemann and Itou, right?	Page 14 Page 16 1 kinking, are you saying a reduction in ductility
2 A. Yeah. I make other modifications that ar	
3 routine engineering decisions to improve the	3 A. No, I don't think well, I don't think
4 transition from one to the other.	4 that's the intent or I don't believe that is
5 Q. Okay. Let's talk about that ductility	5 accurate.
6 issue you referenced. And I'll draw your attenti	
7 back to paragraph 127. That's where you talk a	
8 ductility. Let me know when you're there, plea	
	9 work-hardening due to crushing the wire and the
5	10 welding to attach the collar to the wire, we're
10 Q. Okay. In that paragraph you refer to 11 "ductility." What is ductility?	11 going to have a dis there will be a
	12 discontinuity in the stiffness of the wire. And
12 A. Ductility is essentially the the13 materials in the in relation to metal, ductility	13 that discontinuity is the place most likely to
-	14 kink.
14 is the ability for material to bend, to be	
15 reshaped. I think those would be the two featur	
16 that would are related to the ductility of a	16 transition, but immediately adjacent to it.17 Q. Okay. Are you saying that the
17 metal.18 Q. Do you agree that "ductility" refers to a	17 Q. Okay. Are you saying that the18 work-hardening that Itou does reduces the
	c
19 metal's ability to permanently deform?	19 ductility?20 A. Yes, I am.
20 A. Can you rephrase that question? It's I 21 just want to make sure we're accurate on wordin	
-	22 more able to bend and kink than something that is
22 there or my understanding of your question.23 Q. Yeah. And that's what I'm trying to	22 more able to bend and knik than something that is 23 not ductile?
24 understand, is what you mean by "ductility." D	
25 "ductility" refer to a material's ability to	25 Q. Okay.
	25 Q. Okdy:
	Page 15 Page 17
1 permanently deform?	1 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y, in 3 yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y, in 3 yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y, in yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable.
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y, in yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will 4 exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent 5 change in shape that's not recoverable. 6 A ductile wire will have in a similar
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardenin So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y, in yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardenin So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend.
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardenin So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardenin So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink?
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardenin So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will 4 exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent 5 change in shape that's not recoverable. 6 A ductile wire will have in a similar 7 circumstance, could go through a large-diameter 8 bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would 9 have have a permanent deformation happen at 10 potentially a less under a less extreme bend. 11 So 12 Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you ua believe ductility relates to in this context. My the question is: Do you agree that the more something in 15 is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? 16 A. Not necessarily. I want to I would 17 rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The 18 more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will 4 exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent 5 change in shape that's not recoverable. 6 A ductile wire will have in a similar 7 circumstance, could go through a large-diameter 8 bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would 9 have have a permanent deformation happen at 10 potentially a less under a less extreme bend. 11 So 12 Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you 13 believe ductility relates to in this context. My 14 question is: Do you agree that the more something 15 is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? 16 A. Not necessarily. I want to I would 17 rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The 18 more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend 19 and the easier it is for that bend to become
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point ris known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? A. "A reduction" Q. I just read what you said in paragraph 127 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature y yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will 4 exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent 5 change in shape that's not recoverable. 6 A ductile wire will have in a similar 7 circumstance, could go through a large-diameter 8 bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would 9 have have a permanent deformation happen at 10 potentially a less under a less extreme bend. 11 So 12 Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you 13 believe ductility relates to in this context. My 14 question is: Do you agree that the more something 15 is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? 16 A. Not necessarily. I want to I would 17 rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The 18 more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend 19 and the easier it is for that bend to become 7. 20 permanent. And similarly, the more something is
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? A. "A reduction" Q. I just read what you said in paragraph 122 A. Yes, I'm reading it. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The more something is ductile, the more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend and the easier it is for that bend to become permanent. And similarly, the more something is
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? A. "A reduction" Q. I just read what you said in paragraph 122 A. Yes, I'm reading it. Q. Okay. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend and the easier it is for that bend to become permanent. And similarly, the more something is ductile, the easier it is to unbend and restraighten.
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? A. "A reduction" Q. I just read what you said in paragraph 122 A. Yes, I'm reading it. Q. Okay. A. Yes, that's what's written. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend and the easier it is for that bend to become question, the easier it is to unbend and restraighten. The less ductile a wire is, the production
 permanently deform? A. Yes, in general, it does. In the case of stainless steels, permanently deforming them by this case a crushing action, adds work-hardening So that work-hardening reduces the ductility of metal locally. Q. Okay. And in the context of these interventional devices we're talking about, we refer to "kinking." And "kinking" implies permanent deformation, right? A. In regards to a metal, it typically does refer to a permanent deformation. Q. Okay. Okay. So in paragraph 127, if you can look at the second-to-last sentence of that paragraph. And what you say is, "A reduction ductility at a critical stiffness transition point is known in the art to be susceptible to kinking. Right? That's what you said, right? A. "A reduction" Q. I just read what you said in paragraph 122 A. Yes, I'm reading it. Q. Okay. A. Yes, that's what's written. 	 A. So if we want to use so a nonductile wire may be able to bend in a large curvature yeah, when it's forced into a small curvature will exceed its elastic limit, producing a permanent change in shape that's not recoverable. A ductile wire will have in a similar circumstance, could go through a large-diameter bend and not be affected, but a ductile wire would have have a permanent deformation happen at potentially a less under a less extreme bend. So Q. Okay. I just want to understand what you believe ductility relates to in this context. My question is: Do you agree that the more something is ductile, the more likely it is to kink? A. Not necessarily. I want to I would rephrase that statement slightly, I think. The more something is ductile, the easier it is to bend and the easier it is for that bend to become permanent. And similarly, the more something is ductile, the easier it is to unbend and restraighten.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

RM

DOCKE.

Δ

Α

888-391-3376

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.