

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.

Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.À.R.L.,

Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2020-01344
U.S. Patent No. RE46,116

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE46,116**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Preliminary Statement	1
II. Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	5
A. Real Party-in-Interest	5
B. Related Matters.....	5
C. Lead and Backup Counsel.....	6
D. Service Information.....	7
III. Requirements for Inter Partes Review.....	7
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	7
B. Precise Relief Requested and Asserted Grounds	8
IV. Background.....	8
A. Overview of the Technology.....	8
B. Overview of the '116 Patent.....	10
V. Person of ordinary skill in the art	11
VI. Claim Construction.....	12
A. “flexural modulus”	13
VII. The Board should not decline to institute under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	13
VIII. GROUND I: Kontos renders claims 52-53 obvious in view of Ressemann and/or the knowledge of a POSITA	15
A. Claim 52	15
1. [52.a]	15
2. [52.b]	16
3. [52.c]	17
4. [52.d]	25
5. [52.e]	26
6. [52.f].....	26
B. Claim 53	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
IX. GROUND II: Kontos renders claims 25-40, 42, and 44-48 obvious in view of Ressemann, Takahashi and/or the knowledge of a POSITA	32
A. Claim 25	32
1. [25.a]	32
2. [25.b]	33
3. [25.c]	33
4. [25.d]	33
5. [25.e]	34
6. [25.f]	36
7. [25.g]	36
B. Claim 26	37
C. Claim 27	38
D. Claim 28.	39
E. Claim 29.	39
F. Claim 30	40
G. Claim 31	41
H. Claim 32	41
I. Claim 33	42
J. Claim 34.	43
K. Claim 35.	43
L. Claim 36.	45
M. Claim 37	45
N. Claim 38.	47
O. Claim 39	48
P. Claim 40.	48
Q. Claim 42.	49

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
R. Claim 44	50
S. Claim 45	51
T. Claim 46	53
U. Claim 47.	54
V. Claim 48.	56
II. GROUND III: Kontos renders claim 45 obvious in view of Ressemann, Takahashi, Kataishi, and/or the common knowledge of a POSITA	58
X. The Challenged Claims are not entitled to claim priority to May 6, 2006	62
A. The Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims is no Earlier than January 28, 2012.....	62
1. The Challenged Claims Recite a Side Opening Outside of the Substantially Rigid Segment.....	62
2. The Priority Applications Provide no Written Description Support for a Segment Defining a Side Opening Outside of the Substantially Rigid Segment	65
B. The Effective Filing Date of Claims 45-46 are no Earlier than November 1, 2013	69
XI. GROUND IV: Root and the knowledge of a POSITA renders claims 25-55 obvious	69
A. Root (Ex-1512).....	70
B. Root and the Knowledge of a POSITA Renders Claims 25-55 Obvious	70
XII. GROUND V: Claims 45-46 are rendered obvious by Kontos in view of Ressemann, Takahashi, Root, and/or the knowledge of a POSITA	76
XIII. Secondary Considerations	76
XIV. Conclusion	78

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Arctic Cat, Inc. v. Polaris Industries Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00433, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. July 5, 2017).....	77
<i>D Three Enters., LLC v. SunModo Corp.</i> , 890 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	62
<i>Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equip., Inc.</i> , 527 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	64
<i>In re Barker</i> , 559 F.2d 588 (C.C.P.A. 1977).....	69
<i>In re Wertheim</i> , 541 F.2d 257 (C.C.P.A. 1976)	69
<i>KSR Int’l v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	65
<i>Lowe’s, Cos., Inc. v. Nichia Corp.</i> , IPR2017-02011, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 12, 2018).....	77
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	63
<i>Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.</i> , 563 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	65
<i>ZUP, LLC v. Nash Mfg., Inc.</i> , 896 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	77
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	65

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.