	ed States Paten	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR	TMENT OF COMMERCE
Contraction of Contract			United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/984,273	12/30/2015	Howard C. Root	2005.86USREI7	5700
24113 PATTERSON THUENTE PEDERSEN, P.A. 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET 4800 IDS CENTER			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3993	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2018	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	14/984,273	ROOT ET AL.
Applicant-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS	3993
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):	
(1) <u>CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS</u> .	(3) <u>Cary Wehner</u> .	
(2) <u>Paul Onderick</u> .	(4) <u>Eileen Lillis</u> .	
Date of Interview: <u>10 January 2018</u> .		
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🔲 Video Conference 🗌 Personal [copy given to: 🗌 applicant	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	🛛 No.	
Issues Discussed $\square 101 \boxtimes 112 \boxtimes 102 \boxtimes 103 \boxtimes Oth$ (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and deta		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25 and 38</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Adams, Kraus, Solar.		
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argunt See Continuation Sheet.		
Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview su interview Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the su substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	applicant is given a non-extendable per ummary form, whichever is later, to file a bstance of any interview of record. A co for complete and proper recordation in of any other pertinent matters discussed	iod of the longer of one month a statement of the substance of mplete and proper recordation cluding the identification of the d regarding patentability and th
Attachment		
/Catherine S. Williams/	/cew/ /EDL/	
CKET LARM Find authenticated court docume	f	

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview

DOCKE

- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Onderick began by discussing the recapture rejections and indicating that the surrendered subject matter would be written back into the claims. Additionally, he indicated that a Terminal Disclaimer would be filed once allowable subject matter was indicated and if still applicable. Further, the 112 rejections would be addressed through discussion of the figures and indication of disclosure therein. Finally, the 102 and 103 rejections were discussed with respect to the prior art. Specifically, the side opening with respect to the coaxial lumen was discussed. Mr. Onderick indicated that new/amended claim language would be persued with respect to the side opening being coaxial with the lumen of the reinforced segment. Ex. Williams expressed concern as to how a side opening could be both on the side and coaxial with the segment and drew attention to Kraus teaching a side opening in fig. 5. Mr. Onderick drew attention to fig. 4 of the instant patent as showing the side lumen being coaxial unlike Kraus. Additionally, attention was drawn to col. 6, II. 50-68 of the subject patent as disclosing the structure of the side opening. Ex. Lillis indicated that the first mention of a side open in the patent specification occurred in claim 3. Ex. Williams indicated that the language of col. 6 would be most helpful in defining the invnetion over the prior art. Other formal matters were discussed including the ADS and Consent of Assignee. No indication of allowability was given .