Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Vascular, Inc. Teleflex Innovations S.A.R.L.

Patent Owner's Hearing Demonstratives on CRTP



Petitioner Bears the Burden of Persuasion on

In an *inter partes* review, 35 U.S.C. § 316(e) imposes the ultimate burded persuasion to "prove unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence the petitioner. This burden never shifts to the patent owner. *Dynamic Drinkware*, *LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc.*, 800 F.3d 1375, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2d. However, when the patent owner attempts to antedate the prior art, "[a] and distinct burden, the burden of production" can shift between the pet and the patentee. *Id.* at 1379; *see In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.*, 829 1364, 1375–76 (Fed. Cir. 2016). Specifically, the patent owner "bears the burden of establishing that its claimed invention is entitled to an earlier patent than an asserted prior art reference." *Magnum Oil Tools*, 829 F.3d 1375–76. Once the patent owner establishes it is entitled to an earlier patent, the burden of production then shifts back to the petitioner "to convocurt that [the patent owner] is not entitled to the benefit" of the earlier patent. *Dynamic Drinkware*, 800 F.3d at 1379 (citing *Tech. Licensing Corp. Videotek, Inc.*, 545 F.3d 1316, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2008)).

Medtronic, Inc. v. Teleflex Innovations S.A.R.L., IPR2020
Paper 127 at 16 (June 27, 2021): Sur-Reply at 1



Rule of Reason

"In the final analysis, each corroboration case must be decided on its own with a view to deciding whether the evidence as a whole is persuasive." v. Gottstein, 618 F.2d 771, 776 (CCPA 1980).... "Even the most credible testimony is a fortiori required to be corroborated by independent evidence which may consist of documentary evidence as well as the testimony of inventors." [Medichem, 437 F.3d] at 1171–72.

Medtronic v. Teleflex IPR2020-00126, Paper 127 at 15; Sur-Re

"In order to corroborate a reduction to practice, it is not necessary to practual over-the-shoulder observer. Rather, sufficient circumstantial evidant independent nature can satisfy the corroboration requirement." Coop F.3d at 1330.... Put another way, the law 'does not require that evidence source independent of the inventors on every aspect of conception and reduction to practice; such a standard is the antithesis of the rule of readu Pont De Nemours & Co. v. Unifrax I LLC, 921 F.3d 1060, 1077 (Fed. 2019) (internal quotation omitted)."

Medtronic v. Teleflex IPR2020-00126, Paper 127 at 48; Sur-Re



Issues for CRTP

- Petitioner Does Not Challenge Prior Concept
- For Actual RTP, Petitioner Challenges:
 - Assembly of Prototypes Resolved in Prior IPRs
 - Testing Sufficient to Show Prototypes Would Work for Inte Purpose – Resolved in Prior IPRs
 - Performance of the Claimed Method in vivo
- For Constructive RTP, Petitioner Challenges
 Diligence Resolved in Prior IPRs



Summary of Reduction to Practice Eviden

Testimony

- Root Declaration and Deposition Testimony (Inventor)
- Sutton Declaration (Inventor)
- Erb Declaration and Deposition Testimony (VSI Technician)
- Schmalz Declaration (Former VSI Regulatory Executive)
- Goemer Declaration (Vendor)
- O'Neil Declaration (Vendor)
- Keith Declaration (Expert)

<u>Documents – Near</u> <u>Exhibits, Includia</u>

- Purchase orders, invoice certificates of completice prototype components
- Assembly drawings for prototype proximal and portions (April and July)
- August engineering dra
- Sales presentation with of coronary model with Guideliner
- Draft regulatory docume
- Other company memor



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

