UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC. Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L.
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-01343

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner hereby submits its notice of objections to certain evidence that Petitioner submitted in connection with IPR2020-01343, including evidence submitted in connection with Petitioner's briefing relating to conception and reduction to practice.

Patent Owner notes that certain exhibits were cited in one or more of the above-referenced IPR proceedings but do not appear to have been filed (either at all or only in some of the IPRs); Patent Owner reserves the right to file supplemental and/or additional objections and/or move to strike those exhibits.¹

In addition, the depositions of Patent Owner's experts Mr. Keith and Dr. Graham in the eleven earlier-instituted IPRs each occurred over two days. It appears that Petitioner only filed certain days of deposition transcripts in certain IPRs and not in others. As noted below, Patent Owner objects to this practice on the basis of incompleteness (see FRE 106). In addition, the parties' practice in these IPRs has been to file deposition transcripts in each of the eleven instituted IPRs.

Exhibit Number	Objections
	FRE 401, 402, 403: This document is not relevant to the proposition for which it is cited. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly

¹ By way of example, it appears that Petitioner cited but did not file Ex-1794.



1

	presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.
1123	FRE 401, 402, 403: This document is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.
1127	FRE 401, 402, 403: This document is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. FRE 802: This document is hearsay. FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.
	35 U.S.C. § 311: This document does not qualify as a printed publication.
1755	Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1755 to the extent Dr. Zalesky has not disclosed materials considered other than those referenced in his declaration. <i>See</i> 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.65(a) and (b).
	FRE 702, 703, 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.65:
	§§ VIII-XIV and ¶¶ 17-18, 30, 37, 70, 77, 89, 90-91, 148, 153, 161, 164-165, 227, and 257-58 as well as introductory paragraphs 7-10 are not based on sufficient facts and data and do not reliably apply facts and data using scientific principles.
	FRE 401, 402, 403:
	§§ VIII and IX and ¶¶ 90-91, 94, 99, 104, 110-116, 120-134, 143-145, 150, 158-160, 165-167, 170-176, 179, 191,



	193-194, 196-198, 201-230, 234, 241, 244, 249, 251-253, and 256-257 are not relevant; to the extent they are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues.
	<u>FRE 702, 703, 704</u> :
	¶¶ 17-18, 77, 89, 258 and introductory paragraphs 8-10 state improper legal conclusions.
	<u>FRE 602</u> :
	¶¶ 37, 70-73, 116, 121, 123, 125-130, 132-134, 148, 182, 198, 201, 203, 223, 251, 253, 257, and 258 are not based on personal knowledge.
	Patent Owner additionally objects to Exhibit 1755 under FRE 802 (hearsay) to the extent that Patent Owner does not have the opportunity to cross-examine Dr. Zalesky regarding his declaration.
1762	FRE 401, 402, 403: Portions of this document are not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, the probative value of certain portions is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore those portions are inadmissible under Rule 403. Patent Owner reasserts and reserves all of its objections under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a) made during the November 13, 2020 deposition of Howard Root.
1764	FRE 401, 402, 403: Portions of this exhibit are not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. Patent Owner reasserts and reserves all of



	its objections under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a) made during the December 1, 2020 deposition of Peter Keith.
1765	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.
	FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.
1766	FRE 401, 402, 403: Portions of this exhibit are not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. Patent Owner reasserts and reserves all of its objections under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a) made during the November 12, 2020 deposition of Deborah Schmalz.
1767	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.
1769	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.
1770	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

