

IPR2020-01343  
Patent RE 46,116

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

TELEFLEX LIFE SCIENCES LIMITED,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01343  
U.S. Patent No. RE 46,116

---

**PETITIONER'S REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	GROUND 1: TELEFLEX DOES NOT DISPUTE THAT RESSEMANN ANTICIPATES CHALLENGED CLAIMS 52 AND 53. ....	2
III.	ITOU IS PRIOR ART. ....	2
A.	Teleflex must prove prior invention. ....	3
B.	Teleflex failed to prove reduction to practice before Itou.....	3
1.	Teleflex failed to show that VSI assembled prototypes.....	4
2.	Teleflex failed to show that VSI performed the claimed methods. ....	7
3.	Teleflex failed to show that VSI determined that its inventions would work for their intended purpose. ....	11
C.	Teleflex has not shown diligence. ....	16
D.	Evidence shows that VSI did <i>not</i> actually or constructively reduce to practice before Itou.....	19
IV.	GROUND 2: THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF ITOU AND RESSEMANN AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA.....	21
A.	Independent claim 25 is obvious in view of Itou and Ressemann and the knowledge of a POSITA.....	21

1.	A POSITA had the motivation to advance a balloon catheter or stent through Itou’s catheter 2. ....	22
2.	A POSITA had a reasonable expectation of success because catheter 2 is inherently capable of receiving a “balloon catheter or stent [that is advanced]...through the side opening and through the tubular structure” when the suction catheter is in the guide catheter. ....	25
B.	The shape of the opening claimed in claim 45 was not inventive. ....	27
1.	Ressemann’s collar 2141 has at least two inclined slopes.....	28
2.	Itou in view of Ressemann renders claim 45 obvious.....	31
V.	GROUND 4: ITOU IN VIEW OF KATAISHI RENDERS CLAIM 45 OBVIOUS. ....	34
VI.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS DO NOT OVERCOME OBVIOUSNESS.....	37
A.	Teleflex is not entitled to a presumption of nexus. ....	37
B.	Teleflex’s secondary consideration evidence all relates to prior art features and functionality. ....	39
1.	Teleflex’s alleged evidence of copying is actually copying of the prior art. ....	41
2.	Medtronic did not copy GuideLiner.....	42
VII.	CONCLUSION .....	43

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Allied Erecting &amp; Dismantling Co. v. Genesis Attachments, LLC</i> , 825 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	31
<i>Arctic Cat v. GEP Power Prods.</i> , 919 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	19
<i>ATI Techs. ULC v. Iancu</i> , 920 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	3, 16
<i>Bos. Sci. Corp. v. Johnson &amp; Johnson</i> , 481 F. Supp. 2d 1018 (N.D. Cal. 2007) .....	12
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	38
<i>Gen. Access Sols., Ltd. v. Sprint Spectrum L.P.</i> , 811 F. App’x 654 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	3
<i>Google LLC v. Lee</i> , 759 F. App’x 992 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	31
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	32
<i>In re Kao</i> , 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	41
<i>In re Magna Elecs., Inc.</i> , 611 F. App’x 969 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	28
<i>In re Meyer Mfg. Corp.</i> , 411 F. App’x 316 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	18
<i>In re Steed</i> , 802 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3, 7, 9

IPR2020-01343	
Patent RE 46,116	
<i>In re Stempel,</i>	
241 F.2d 755 (C.C.P.A. 1957).....	11
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.,</i>	
392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	41, 42
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,</i>	
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	1, 22, 27
<i>Lacks Indus., Inc. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components USA, Inc.,</i>	
322 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.,</i>	
No. 02-cv-2060-B(CAB), 2007 WL 2070346 (S.D. Cal. July 12, 2007).....	7
<i>Mahurkar v. C.R. Bard, Inc.,</i>	
79 F.3d 1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	10, 12
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.,</i>	
437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3, 10
<i>Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc. v. Olympus Am., Inc.,</i>	
841 F.3d 1004 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<i>Scott v. Finney,</i>	
34 F.3d 1058 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	8, 15
<i>Slip Track Sys., Inc. v. Metal-Lite, Inc.,</i>	
304 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	15
<i>Taskett v. Dentlinger,</i>	
344 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	8
<i>TC Tech. LLC v. Sprint Corp.,</i>	
379 F. Supp. 3d 305 (D. Del. 2019).....	8
<i>Toshiba Memory Corp. v. Anza Tech., Inc.,</i>	
IPR2018-01597, 2020 WL 1229855 (PTAB Mar. 12, 2020).....	18
<i>Tyco Healthcare Grp. LP v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.,</i>	
774 F.3d 968 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	23

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.