

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.  
Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L.  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01343  
Patent RE46,116

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner hereby submits its notice of objections to certain evidence that Petitioner submitted in connection with IPR2020-01343.

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Objections</b>
1005	<p>Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1005 to the extent Dr. Brecker has not disclosed materials considered other than those referenced in his declaration. <i>See</i> 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.65(a) and (b).</p> <p><b><u>FRE 702, 703, 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.65:</u></b></p> <p>¶¶ 17-18, 21, 28, 31, 37, 48, 50-54, 89, 92-95, 97, 101-109, 112-114, 117, 119, 121, 126-129, 139, 153, 158, 161, 164-166, 172-174, 176-181, 184-185, 188, 190, 192-194, 201, 205-208, 212-214, 220, 222, 226-232, 234-238, 240-243, 245-246, 250-258, 262, 266, 269, 271-274, 276, 279-284, 287-294, 297-298, 301-306, 308-316, 318-322 are not based on sufficient facts and data and do not reliably apply facts and data using scientific principles.</p> <p><b><u>FRE 401, 402, 403:</u></b></p> <p>Sections IX.B and X.B-C are not relevant because the Itou reference is not prior art; to the extent Sections IX.B and X.B-C are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues.</p> <p>¶¶ 37, 45, and 49 are not relevant; to the extent they are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and improperly characterize the understanding of a POSITA.</p> <p>¶¶ 104-106 are not relevant; to the extent they are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because they lack support for the</p>

	<p>contentions for which they are cited and improperly characterize the teachings of Kontos.</p> <p>¶ 109 contains irrelevant statements; to the extent such statements are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and improperly characterize the teachings of Ressemann.</p> <p>¶¶ 113-117 are not relevant; to the extent they are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and improperly characterize the teachings of Kataishi.</p> <p>¶ 118 is not relevant; to the extent it is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and improperly characterizes the teachings of Enger.</p> <p>¶¶ 37, 126-129, 139 contain irrelevant statements; to the extent they are relevant, their probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice and confusing the issues because they lack support and/or context for the contentions for which they are cited and improperly characterize the teachings of the '116 and/or '850 patents.</p> <p><b><u>FRE 702, 703, 704:</u></b></p> <p>¶¶ 17-18, 21, 31, 179-180, 192-193, 205-207, 227, 231, 238, 242-243, 245-246, 252-256, 258, 272, 274, 279-283, 288, 294, 298, 303-304, 309, 314-316, 318-319, 321-322 and Headings X, X.A-C state improper legal conclusions.</p> <p>Patent Owner additionally objects to Exhibit 1005 under FRE 802 (hearsay) to the extent that Patent Owner does not have the opportunity to cross-examine Dr. Brecker regarding his declaration.</p>
1007	35 U.S.C. § 102: The exhibit is not prior art.

	FRE 403: To the extent this document may be relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.
1011	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. The exhibit is not cited in the Petition or either expert declaration (Exs. 1005, 1042).  FRE 106: This document is incomplete.
1016	FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. The exhibit is not cited in the Petition or either expert declaration (Exs. 1005, 1042).
1017	FRE 403: To the extent this document may be relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.
1021	FRE 106: This document is incomplete.
1022	FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.  35 U.S.C. § 311: This document does not qualify as a printed publication.  FRE 802: This document is hearsay
1023	FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.

	<p>35 U.S.C. § 311: This document does not qualify as a printed publication.</p> <p>FRE 802: This document is hearsay</p>
1024	<p>FRE 901, 902: This document has not been authenticated.</p> <p>35 U.S.C. § 311: This document does not qualify as a printed publication.</p> <p>FRE 802: This document is hearsay</p>
1026	<p>FRE 403: To the extent this document may be relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.</p>
1027	<p>FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. The exhibit is not cited in the Petition or either expert declaration (Exs. 1005, 1042).</p>
1030	<p>FRE 403: To the extent this document may be relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403.</p>
1031	<p>FRE 401, 402, 403: This exhibit is not relevant. To the extent this document is relevant, its probative value is outweighed by the danger of causing unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, causing undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence, and therefore the document is inadmissible under Rule 403. The exhibit is not cited in the Petition or either expert declaration (Exs. 1005, 1042).</p> <p>FRE 802: This document is hearsay.</p>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.