

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MEDTRONIC, INC. AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TELEFLEX LIFE SCIENCES LIMITED,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-01341 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01342 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01343 (Patent RE46,116 E)  
IPR2020-01344 (Patent RE46,116 E)

---

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, JAMES A. TARTAL, and  
CHRISTOPHER G. PAULRAJ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.<sup>1</sup>

SNEDDEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission  
of Joseph W. Winkels and Alexander S. Rinn  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses issues that are identical in each of the above-captioned proceedings. We therefore exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The proceedings have not been consolidated, and the Parties are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2020-01341 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01342 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01343 (Patent RE46,116 E)  
IPR2020-01344 (Patent RE46,116 E)

Teleflex Life Sciences Limited (“Patent Owner”) filed Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Joseph W. Winkels and Alexander S. Rinn in each of the above-captioned proceedings. Papers 15, 16.<sup>2</sup> Patent Owner states in each Motion that “[t]he parties have conferred, and the Petitioner does not oppose this Motion.” Paper 15, 2; Paper 16, 2.<sup>3</sup> The Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* Paper 4, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”)) (“Notice”).

Patent Owner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Joseph W. Winkels and Alexander S. Rinn *pro hac vice* during these proceedings because each “has developed an intimate familiarity with the patents at issue and the Petitioner’s validity challenges, and the Patent Owner wishes to have [them] continue representing it in this matter before the Board.” Paper 15, 3; Paper 16, 3. Patent Owner explains that Mr.

---

<sup>2</sup> We cite to Papers and Exhibits in IPR2020-01341. Similar items were filed in IPR2020-01342, IPR2020-01343, and IPR2020-01344.

<sup>3</sup> Patent Owner’s Motions do not include page numbers. For the sake of reference, we designate the cover page of each Motion as page 1 and number the pages consecutively therefrom.

IPR2020-01341 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01342 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01343 (Patent RE46,116 E)  
IPR2020-01344 (Patent RE46,116 E)

Winkels and Mr. Rinn have “represented Teleflex in a related patent infringement action in the District of Minnesota (Civil Action. No. 19-cv-1760 (PJS/TNL), filed July 2, 2019) involving the same parties and the same patent at issue in this proceeding” and have “also assisted the lead counsel, Mr. Vandenburg, representing the Patent Owner in this IPR, and [in a number of] related IPRs.” Paper 15, 2–3; Paper 16, 2–3. Patent Owner states further that it “has invested significant financial resources in the related proceedings described above, in which [Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn have] served as counsel,” and “[i]f this motion was denied, the Patent Owner would be prejudiced because it would have to undertake the burdensome and costly task of educating another attorney regarding the patent at issue in this proceeding, and the related evidence.” Paper 15, 3; Paper 16, 3. The Motions are supported by Declarations of Mr. Winkels (Ex. 2087) and Mr. Rinn (Ex. 2216) that attest to the statements above and comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice. *See* Ex. 2087 ¶¶ 1–12; Ex. 2216 ¶¶ 1–12.

Upon consideration, Patent Owner has demonstrated that Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn each have sufficient legal and technical qualifications and familiarity with the subject matter at issue, and that there is a need for Patent Owner to have counsel with their experience. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 2087 ¶¶ 2, 9–12; Ex. 2216 ¶¶ 2, 9–12; Paper 15, 2–3; Paper 16, 2–3. Patent Owner therefore has established good cause for admitting Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn *pro hac vice* in each of the above-captioned proceedings.

IPR2020-01341 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01342 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01343 (Patent RE46,116 E)  
IPR2020-01344 (Patent RE46,116 E)

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Joseph W. Winkels and Alexander S. Rinn in the above-captioned proceedings are *granted*; Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn are authorized to act as back-up counsel in these proceedings only;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn as back-up counsel in each of the above-captioned proceedings in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn shall comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, 84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Winkels and Mr. Rinn are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

IPR2020-01341 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01342 (Patent 8,142,413 B2)  
IPR2020-01343 (Patent RE46,116 E)  
IPR2020-01344 (Patent RE46,116 E)

FOR PETITIONER:

Cyrus A. Morton  
Sharon Roberg-Perez  
Christopher A. Pinahs  
Robins Kaplan LLP  
cmorton@robinskaplan.com  
sroberg-perez@robinskaplan.com  
cpinahs@robinskaplan.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

J. Derek Vandenburg  
Dennis C. Bremer  
Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburg & Lindquist, P.A.  
dvandenburg@carlsoncaspers.com  
dbremer@carlsoncaspers.com