

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.

Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.À.R.L.,

Patent Owner

---

Case No.: IPR2020-01342  
U.S. Patent No. 8,142,413

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,142,413**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	4
A. Real Party-in-Interest .....	4
B. Related Matters.....	4
C. Lead and Backup Counsel.....	6
D. Service Information.....	6
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW .....	7
A. Grounds for Standing .....	7
B. Precise Relief Requested and Asserted Grounds .....	7
IV. BACKGROUND .....	8
A. Overview of the Technology.....	8
B. Overview of the '413 Patent.....	9
V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	11
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
A. “interventional cardiology device(s)” .....	13
B. “standard guide catheter” .....	14
C. “placed in a branch artery” .....	15
VII. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT DECLINE TO INSTITUTE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A).....	16
VIII. GROUND 1: KONTOS RENDERS CLAIMS 1-2, 4-5, 7-12, 14 OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF ADAMS AND/OR THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA. ....	18
A. Prior Art.....	18
1. Kontos .....	18
2. Adams .....	20
B. Claim 1 .....	21
1. [1.pre.I].....	21

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
2. [1.pre.II] .....	25
3. [1.pre.III] .....	28
4. [1.pre.IV].....	31
5. [1.a] .....	34
6. [1.b] .....	36
7. [1.c] .....	36
8. [1.d] .....	38
9. [1.e] .....	45
10. [1.f].....	48
C. Claim 2 .....	52
D. Claim 4 .....	54
E. Claim 5 .....	60
F. Claim 7 .....	62
G. Claim 8. ....	63
H. Claim 9. ....	64
I. Claim 10: .....	66
1. [10.a] .....	66
2. [10.b].....	66
J. Claim 11 .....	67
K. Claim 12 .....	70
L. Claim 14 .....	71
IX. GROUND 2: KONTOS RENDERS CLAIM 13 OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF ADAMS AND TAKAHASHI.....	72
A. Takahashi.....	72
B. Claim 13. ....	73
X. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	75
XI. CONCLUSION.....	76

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Aerospace, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01275, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 31, 2017).....	75
<i>Arctic Cat, Inc. v. Polaris Industries Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00433, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. July 5, 2017).....	75, 76
<i>Boston Scientific Corp. v. Vascular Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00759 (P.T.A.B., terminated Aug. 13, 2014).....	6
<i>Fed. Land Bank of St. Paul v. Bismarck Lumber Co.</i> , 314 U.S. 95 (1941).....	26
<i>Google LLC v. Pers. Audio, LLC</i> , 743 F. App'x 978 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	68
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Laryngeal Mask Co. v. Ambu, A/S</i> , 618 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	17
<i>Lowe's, Cos., Inc. v. Nichia Corp.</i> , IPR2017-02011, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 12, 2018).....	75
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Parallel Networks Licensing LLC</i> , IPR2015-00483, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. July 15, 2015).....	21
<i>QXMedical, LLC v. Vascular Solutions, LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-01969 (D. Minn., filed June 8, 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Rowe v. Dror</i> , 112 F.3d 473 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	22
<i>Synaptic Medical Inc. v. Karl Storz-Endoscopy-America, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00462, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. July 16, 2018).....	21

IPR2020-01342  
Patent No. 8,142,413

*Vascular Solutions, Inc. v. Boston Scientific Corp.*,  
No. 13-cv-01172 (D. Minn., filed May 16, 2013).....5

*Vascular Solutions LLC, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 19-cv-01760 (D. Minn., filed July 2, 2019).....5

*Zip-Top LLC v. Stasher, Inc.*,  
IPR2018-01216, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 17, 2019) .....20

*ZUP, LLC v. Nash Mfg., Inc.*,  
896 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....76

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 314(A) .....17

35 U.S.C. § 325(d) .....20, 21, 72

**Other Authorities**

37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....6

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....7

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....13

37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....7, 8, 9, 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.