

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT and
BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,
Petitioners

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00994
Patent 7,104,347

**DECLARATION OF MAHDI SHAHBAKHTI PH.D.
IN SUPPORT OF THE PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

BMW v. Paice, IPR2020-01299
BMW1102

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION5

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.....7

III. LEGAL UNDERSTANDING15

IV. DEFINITION OF A PERSON OF SKILL IN THE ART17

V. THE '347 PATENT.....18

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS33

VII. ANALYSIS AND OPINIONS34

A. Grounds 1b and 2b: The proposed combination of Severinsky and Ma does not render obvious claim 33 and the proposed combination of Severinsky, Ehsani, and Ma does not render obvious claim 11.....34

1. **Dr. Davis does not identify a valid reason to add a turbocharger to Severinsky’s parallel hybrid.....35**

2. **The prior art does not disclose any reason to add a turbocharger to Severinsky’s parallel hybrid48**

3. **The disadvantages of adding a turbocharger to Severinsky would deter a person of skill in the art from combining Severinsky and Ma55**

4. **No reasonable expectation of success68**

B. Grounds 3a and 3b: The proposed combination of Severinsky and Nii does not render obvious claim 24 and the proposed combination of Severinsky, Ehsani, and Nii does not render obvious claim 2.....69

1. **Neither Severinsky nor Nii vary a setpoint69**

2.	Severinsky’s parallel hybrid architecture vs. Nii’s series hybrid architecture	83
3.	Nii’s use of vehicle patterns has no applicability to Severinsky’s parallel hybrid control system and varying the alleged “setpoint”	94
C.	Ground 4b: The proposed combination of the Bumby References and Ma does not render obvious claims 11 and 33	114
D.	Grounds 4c: The Bumby References in view of Ehsani do not render obvious claim 38	117
1.	Overview of Bumby V’s “free-wheel unit”	118
2.	Bumby V’s disclosure of synchronizing output shafts to “within 45 rev/min” does not satisfy the “substantially equal” requirement.....	122

DECLARATION EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Name
Ex. 2017	Curriculum Vitae of Mahdi Shahbakhti, Ph.D.
Ex. 2018	Bosch Gasoline-engine Management
Ex. 2019	Selected Pages From John Heywood, Internal Combustion Engines Fundamentals
Ex. 2020	Selected Pages From Merhdad Ehsani et al, Modern Electric, Hybrid Electric, and Fuel Cell Vehicles
Ex. 2021	Matthew Cuddy et al., Analysis of the Fuel Economy Benefit of Drivetrain Hybridization
Ex. 2022	Selected Pages From Draft Technical Assessment Report: Midterm Evaluation of Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards and Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards for Model Years 2022-2025
Ex. 2023	Selected Pages From Assessment of Fuel Economy of Fuel Economy Technologies for Light Duty Vehicles
Ex. 2024	Selected Pages From Richard Stone, Introduction to Internal Combustion Engines
Ex. 2025	Heinz Heisler, Advanced Vehicle Technology, SAE
Ex. 2026	Hitoshi Inoue et al., A Performance Improvement in Idle-Speed Control System with Feedforward Compensation for the Alternator Load Current, SAE
Ex. 2027	Satoru Watanabe, Development of Model-Following Idle Speed Control System Incorporating Engine Torque Models, SAE
Ex. 2028	Guzzella et al., Introduction to Modeling Control of Internal Combustion Engine Systems

I, Mahdi Shahbakhti, hereby declare the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by counsel for Paice LLC and the Abell Foundation (collectively, “Paice” or “Patent Owner”) to investigate and analyze certain issues relating to the validity of claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 (“the ’347 patent”).

2. For purposes of this declaration, I have been asked to analyze the arguments made by Bayerische Motoren Werke Aktiengesellschaft and BMW of North America, LLC (“BMW” or “Petitioners”) related to Grounds 1b, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4b, and 4c (claim 38) in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of the ’347 patent, Case No. IPR2020-00994, as shown in the table below.

Grounds 1b, 2b	Severinsky/Ma	Claims 33 and 11
Grounds 3a, 3b	Severinsky/Nii	Claims 24 and 2
Ground 4b	Bumby/Ma	Claims 33 and 11
Ground 4c	Bumby/Eshani	Claim 38

In addition to the grounds and noted claims above, I have also reviewed the petition as well as the declaration of BMW’s expert, Dr. Davis (and the documents cited therein) pertaining to these grounds. I have also reviewed the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“the Board”) decision to institute regarding these grounds, as well

BMW v. Paice, IPR2020-01299
BMW1102

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.