
# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD MICROSOFT CORPORATION and HP INC., Petitioners, V. SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Patent Owner. U.S. Patent No. 9,239,686 Inventor: Sheng Tai Tsao SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR WIRELESS DEVICE ACCESS TO EXTERNAL STORAGE Inter Partes Review No. IPR2020-01271

PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF

Title:

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.  | INT]      | RODUCTION1  |   |  |
|-----|-----------|---|---|--|
| II. | ARGUMENT1 |   |   |  |
|     | A.        | Synkloud's Claim Construction Is Legally Erroneous1 |   |  |
|     |           | 1.  | Utilizing download information1                             |  |
|     |           | 2.  | Cached2   |  |
|     |           | 3.  | Allocating exclusively3                                     |  |
|     | B.        | The   | Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable4                         |  |
|     |           | 1.  | McCown/Dutta Satisfy the "download a file from a remote     |  |
|     |           |   | server" Claim Language4                                     |  |
|     |           | 2.  | McCown/Dutta Satisfy the "transmitting" Claim Language12    |  |
|     |           | 3.  | A Skilled Artisan Would Have Been Motivated to Combine      |  |
|     |           |   | McCown and Dutta14  |  |
|     |           | 4.  | McCown/Dutta Satisfies the "Allocating Exclusively" Claim   |  |
|     |           |   | Language17  |  |
|     |           | 5.  | The Petition Demonstrated The "Allocating Exclusively"      |  |
|     |           |   | Language Would Have Been Obvious19                          |  |
|     | C.        | Synl  | kloud Has Failed to Prove Any Relevant Objective Indicia of |  |
|     |           | Non-Obviousness                                     |   |  |
|     |           | 1   | Commercial Success  |  |



# Petitioners' Reply in IPR2020-01271

|   | 2.      | Licensing | 24 |
|---|---------|-----------|----|
| Ш | CONCLUS | ION       | 25 |



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|   | Page(s)   |
|---|-----------|
| Cases   |           |
| Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.,<br>314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)   | 17        |
| Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc., et al.,<br>832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)        | 8         |
| Caterpillar Inc. v. Wirtgen Am., Inc., IPR2017-02186, Paper 10                  | 15        |
| Custom Accessories v. Jeffrey-Allan Indus.,<br>807 F.2d 955 (Fed. Cir. 1986)    | 21        |
| Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC,<br>890 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018) | 1, 11, 18 |
| Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM,<br>LLC, 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)               | 23        |
| KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,<br>127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007)                      | 8         |
| Merck & Cie v. Gnosis S.P.A.,<br>808 F.3d 829 (2015)                            | 25        |
| <i>In re Rouffet</i> ,<br>149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)                        | 26        |
| Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp., 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983)              | 26        |
| Tokai Corp. v. Easton Enters., Inc.,<br>632 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2011)          | 22, 25    |
| Transocean Offshore Deepwater v. Maersk Drilling,                               | 22 23     |



# Petitioners' Reply in IPR2020-01271

| C | ta | 41 | ıte | • |
|---|----|----|-----|---|
| O | ιa | ιι | เเษ | 3 |

| 35 U.S.C. §102(e)   | 21 |
|---------------------|----|
| Regulations         |    |
| 37 C.F.R. §42.65(a) | 1  |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

