

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | C.A. No. |
| |) | |
| v. |) | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| |) | |
| HP INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff SynKloud Technologies, LLC (“SynKloud” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against HP Inc. (“HP” or “Defendant”) alleges the following:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement of United States Patent Nos. 9,098,526 (“the ’526 Patent”) and 10,015,254 (“the ’254 Patent” and collectively with the ’526 Patent, the “Patents-in-Suit”) arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, seeking damages and other relief under 35 U.S.C. § 281 *et seq.*

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 124 Broadkill Road, Suite 415, Milton, DE 19968.

3. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304. The Delaware Division of Corporations identifies Defendant’s registered agent as the Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

4. On information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services throughout the United States, including in this District, and introduces products and services into the stream of commerce and that incorporate infringing technology knowing that it would be sold in this District and elsewhere in the United States.

5. On information and belief, Defendant conducts a significant amount of business in this District through online sales and advertisements directly to consumers and through product sales by HP's distributors and resellers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and/or 1400(b).

On information and belief, Defendant has placed, and is continuing to place, infringing products into the stream of commerce, via an established distribution channel, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such products are sold in this District. Defendant, directly or through intermediaries, conducts business in this District, and at least a portion of the acts of infringement and claims alleged in this Complaint have taken place and are continuing to take place in this District.

9. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is incorporated in Delaware and has purposefully availed itself of the privileges and benefits of the laws of the State of Delaware. Further, Defendant is subject to this Court's general and specific personal jurisdiction because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts within the State of Delaware, pursuant to due process and/or the Delaware Long Arm Statute,

because Defendant purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Delaware, and because Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Delaware, including regularly doing or soliciting business and deriving substantial revenue from products and services provided to individuals in this District. The exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

10. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit asserted in this action and has the exclusive right to sue and collect remedies for past, present, and future infringement of the patent.

COUNT 1
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,098,526

11. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 10 are incorporated by reference into this claim for relief.

12. On August 4, 2015, the '526 Patent, entitled "Method and System for Wireless Device Access to External Storage," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '526 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

13. The '526 Patent issued from United States Patent Application No. 14/150,106 ("the '106 Application"), filed on January 8, 2014. The '106 Application is a Continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 14/079,831, filed on November 14, 2013, now U.S. Patent No. 8,868,690, which is a Continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/726,897 filed on December 4, 2003, now U.S. Patent No. 8,606,880.

14. Plaintiff is the assignee and owner of the right, title, and interest in and to the '526 Patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under the '526 Patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of the '526 Patent.

15. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '526 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, infringing products without authorization (hereafter "Infringing Instrumentalities"). At a minimum, Infringing Instrumentalities include all HP Laptops and 2-in-1s (*e.g.*, Business, Premium, Gaming, Laptops, ZBook Workstations, Convertibles and Detachables), Desktops (*e.g.*, Business, Immersive, Gaming, Towers, Z Workstations, All-in-Ones), and/or the HP Products identified in Exhibit 5 that use cloud services like Microsoft One Drive ("Cloud Services"). This includes products like the HP 14" Laptop ("HP Laptop") that use Cloud Services.

16. Defendant directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claim 1¹ of the '526 Patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing and/or providing and causing to be used the HP Laptop which satisfies, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each and every claim limitation of claim 1 of the '526 Patent. The correspondence between the limitations of claim 1 of the '526 Patent and the HP Laptop is shown in the claim chart attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The claim chart is incorporated by reference as if set forth herein. Additional details relating to the HP Laptop and their infringement are within the possession, custody or control of Defendant.

¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to identify additional asserted claims and accused products as this litigation proceeds. For example, Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to identify additional asserted claims and accused products in its infringement contentions to be served during the discovery process.

17. Defendant provides users with support material for the HP Laptop with instructions about their use of Cloud Services that practice at least claim 1 of the '526 Patent.

18. On information and belief, the identified structures and functionalities of the HP Laptop shown in the claim chart are representative of the structure and functionality present in all Infringing Instrumentalities including but not limited to HP families of products with the following designations or trade names: EliteBook laptops, ZBook laptops, ProBook laptops, Essential laptops, Spectre Folio, Omen laptops, Omen desktops, Pavilion laptop, ENVY laptop, Chromebook, ZBook, Spectre laptop, ENVY towers, Pavilion desktops, Thin Client, ENVY desktops, Slimline desktop, EliteDesk Workstation, Z Workstation, HP All-in-One, ENVY All-in-One Desktop, Sprout Pro, All-in-One Zero Client, and/or the HP Products identified in Exhibit

5. On information and belief, any other product of HP that uses Cloud Services is also an Infringing Instrumentality. Additional details relating to Infringing Instrumentalities and their infringement are within the possession, custody or control of Defendant.

19. Plaintiff offers this preliminary identification and description of infringement without the benefit of discovery or claim construction in this action, and expressly reserves the right to augment, supplement, and revise its identification and description of infringement based on additional information obtained through discovery or otherwise.

20. On information and belief, Defendant had knowledge and became aware that its products that use Cloud Services infringe the '526 Patent prior to the filing of this Complaint. By way of example, prior to the filing of this Complaint, HP received a letter from SynKloud in June 2019 stating that the '526 Patent was being practiced in cloud service-enabled products that were being used, offered for sale and sold by HP. Discovery in this matter may reveal that Defendant has induced others to infringe the '526 Patent.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.