UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and HP INC. Petitioners,

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Patent Owner.

Patent No. 9,219,780 Issued: December 22, 2015 Filed: February 16, 2015

Inventor: Sheng Tai Tsao

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR WIRELESS DEVICE ACCESS TO EXTERNAL STORAGE

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2020-01270

PETITION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 9,219,780



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTI	RODUCTION1			
II.	INTER PARTES REVIEW COMPLIANCE				
	A.	Certification (37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (a))	2		
	B.	Mandatory Notices (§ 42.8(b))	2		
	C.	No Basis Exists for Discretionary Denial Under Section 314 (a)	3		
III.	IDE	NTIFICATION OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS	4		
IV.	THE CONTESTED PATENT4				
	A.	Effective Filing Date4			
	B.	Level of Ordinary Skill4			
	C.	Overview of 780 Patent			
	D.	Claim Construction	7		
		1. "cache storage"	7		
		2. "utilizing download information"	10		
		3. "folder structure"	12		
		4. "pool of a plurality of storage spaces"	12		
V.	THE PRIOR ART (EX1005)				
	A.	McCown (EX1005)	13		
	B.	Dutta (EX1006)14			
	C.	Coates (EX1007)	15		

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,219,780

VI.	REA	SONS	FOR REQUESTED RELIEF16	5
	A.	The	Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Over McCown in View of	
		Dutt	a10	5
		1.	Claim 910	5
		2.	Claim 1054	4
		3.	Claim 1258	3
		4.	Claim 13)
		5.	Claim 15	1
	B.	The	Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Over McCown in View of	
		Dutt	a, in Further View of Coates62	2
		1.	Claim 962	2
		2.	Claim 10)
		3.	Claim 11	1
		4.	Claim 12	2
		5.	Claim 13	2
		6.	Claim 14	2
		7.	Claim 15	4
711	CON			1

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 9,219,780 ("the 780 Patent") claims a system and method for a wireless device to interact with a remote storage server for remote storage of files. McCown, a PCT application published before the priority date of the 780 Patent, describes such a system and method. In particular, McCown discloses a user site, which can be an enhanced cellular telephone, that can manipulate a remote site and a storage site in order to cause a file to be downloaded from the remote site and thereby stored in the storage site.

While the 780 Patent mentions a "cache" only once, its claims have several limitations directed to "cache storage." While a Skilled Artisan would understand McCown's Internet-based system to employ a cache storage, to remove any doubt and to simplify the issues, this petition is based on the obvious combination of McCown and Dutta, a prior art published patent application directed to the capture and subsequent remote storage of web content using a web cache.

Finally, several dependent claims are drawn to certain low-level functionality for manipulating files stored remotely, such as moving, copying or deleting. A prior art patent to <u>Coates</u> discloses exactly that functionality in great detail. As demonstrated below and in the exhibits filed herewith, the combination of these prior art references renders claims 9-15 of the 780 Patent unpatentable for obviousness.



II. INTER PARTES REVIEW COMPLIANCE

A. <u>Certification (37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (a))</u>

Petitioners certify that the 780 Patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review and Petitioners are not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of the 780 Patent (EX1001) on the grounds identified in this Petition. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (a). Petitioners also certify this petition for *inter partes* review is not being filed more than one year from the date of service of a complaint on Petitioners alleging infringement of a patent. Petitioners also certify that they have not filed a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of the 780 Patent.

B. Mandatory Notices (§ 42.8(b))

The real parties-in-interest of this petition are Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), located at One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052, and HP Inc. ("HP"), located at 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304.

Lead counsel and backup lead counsel are as follows:

<u>Lead Counsel</u>	Backup Lead Counsel
Joseph A. Micallef	Scott M. Border
Reg. No. 39,772	Reg. No. 77,744
jmicallef@sidley.com	sborder@sidley.com
(202) 736-8492	(202) 736-8818



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

