

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and HP INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2020-01269
U.S. Patent No. 9,219,780

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING
WITH RESPECT TO PETITIONER MICROSOFT
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.74**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Microsoft Corporation and Patent Owner Syncloud Technologies LLC jointly request to terminate Microsoft’s participation in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,219,780 (“the ’780 Patent”), Case No. IPR2020-01269. For the avoidance of doubt, only Microsoft is requesting to be terminated from this proceeding pursuant to this joint motion—Petitioner HP still remains a party to the proceeding. Microsoft and Syncloud are also jointly requesting termination of Microsoft from the following related proceedings, each of which are pending following institution: IPR2020-01031, IPR2020-01032, IPR2020-01270, IPR2020-01271.

I. Background

On November 2, 2021, the parties entered into a settlement agreement with regard to the patents at issue in these proceedings. Microsoft and SynKloud now desire that Microsoft withdraw from this review and request its termination as to Microsoft. On November 5, 2021, the parties jointly requested authorization to file a motion to terminate and to file a request to treat the settlement agreement as confidential information. On the November 8, 2021, the Board granted authority to file a Joint Motion to Terminate and Joint Request that the Settlement Agreement be Treated as Business Confidential Information pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.

II. Termination of Microsoft is Appropriate

Termination is appropriate because Petitioner Microsoft desires to abandon this contest, and Patent Owner does not oppose termination of the proceeding with respect to Microsoft. 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (“An inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner”). The parties have also filed a true copy of the written settlement agreement made in connection with the request for termination, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Finally, termination of Microsoft from this proceeding encourages settlement consistent with judicial economy and preference.

The settlement agreement between Microsoft and Syncloud is in writing and a true and correct copy of the agreement as Exhibit 1042, is filed concurrently with the present motion as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), a Joint Request that the Settlement Agreement be Treated as Business Confidential Information is submitted herewith.

Congress and the federal courts have expressed a strong interest in encouraging settlement in litigation. *See, e.g., Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August*, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981) (“The purpose of [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure] 68 is to encourage the settlement of litigation.”); *Bergh v. Dept. of Transp.*, 794 F.2d 1575, 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (“The law favors settlement of cases.”). The Federal Circuit

also places a particularly strong emphasis on settlement. *See Flex-Foot, Inc. v. CRP, Inc.*, 238 F.3d 1362, 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (“Upholding the terms of settlement agreements encourages patent owners to agree to settlements and promotes judicial economy.”); *Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe v. U.S.*, 806 F.2d 1046, 1050 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (noting that the law favors settlement to reduce antagonism and hostility between parties).

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the parties jointly and respectfully request termination of the proceeding with respect to Petitioner Microsoft in Case No. IPR2020-01269.

Dated: November 11, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/Joseph A. Micallef/
Joseph A. Micallef
Reg. No. 39,772
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorney for Petitioners

By: /s/ Gregory J. Gonsalves
Dr. Gregory Gonsalves
Reg. No. 43,639
Capitol IP Law Group, PLLC
1918 18th St, Unit 4, NW
Washington, DC 20009
Phone: 571-419-7252
Email: gonsalves@capitoliplaw.com

Yeasun Yoon
Reg. No: 73,663
Capitol IP Law Group, PLLC
1918 18th St, Unit 4, NW
Washington, DC 20009
Attorneys for Patent Owner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.