- 1	
1	PROCEEDINGS:
2	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO. IPR2020-01267, U.S. PATENT NO. 10,028,026
3	
4	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO. IPR2020-01268, U.S. PATENT NO. 10,028,026
5	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO. IPR2020-1280, U.S. PATENT NO. 9,998,791
6	: DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO.
7	IPR2020-1281, U.S. PATENT NO. 9,998,791
8	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO. IPR2020-1332, U.S. PATENT NO. 10,506,269
9	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO.
10	IPR2020-1333, U.S. PATENT NO. 10,506,269
11	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO.
12	IPR2020-1359, U.S. PATENT NO. 9,648,388
13	DISH NETWORK, L.L.C. BROADBAND iTV, INC., CASE NO. IPR2020-1360, U.S. PATENT NO. 9,648,388
14	IPR2020-1300, U.S. PAIENI NO. 9,040,300
15	Wednesday, November 25, 2020
16	3:00 p.m.
17	BEFORE:
18	JUDGES: ARBIS, SMITH AND GALLIGAN
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	BBiTV EX2030 Dish Network v. Broadband iTV
	 ·



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER
4	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
5	BY: PATRICK HERMAN, ESQUIRE
6	ALYSSA CARIDIS, ESQUIRE
7	
8	ATTORNEYS FOR PATENT
9	STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, LLC BY: MICHAEL D. SPECHT, ESQUIRE
10	RICHARD M. BEMBEN, ESQUIRE
11	RICHARD M. BEMBEN, EDQUIRE
12	
13	REPORTED BY: JOSEPH HENRY
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ARBIS: Good
2	afternoon. This is Judge Arbis of the patent
3	trial and appeal board. I have with me on the
4	line, Judge Smith and Judge Galligan. This is
5	a conference call in a series of eight cases,
6	IPR2020-1267 through 1360. Do we have counsel
7	for petitioner on the line?
8	MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. This is
9	Patrick Herman from Orrick, Herrington &
10	Sutcliffe, here on behalf of petitioner. And
11	also on the line with me is Alyssa Caridis,
12	also from Orrick.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ARBIS: Okay.
14	Thank you. And counsel for patent owner?
15	MR. SPECHT: Yes, Your Honor. This is
16	Mike Specht. I'm with Sterne Kessler, counsel
17	for patent owner. And also with me is Richard
18	Bemben, who's also with Sterne Kessler, and
19	counsel for patent owner. I believe there also
20	should be a court reporter on. We arranged for
21	one.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ARBIS: Sure,
23	that's fine. And, Counsel, if you can please
24	file a copy of the transcript as an exhibit in
25	all eight proceedings once it's available.



ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ARBIS: Okay.

MR. SPECHT: We will do that.

I believe the call today was requested by petitioner to seek authorization to file a reply in these cases. So, counsel for petitioner, would you like to explain the basis for your request?

Yes, Your Honor. MR. HERMAN: In all eight of the IPR proceedings, patent owner has filed a preliminary response that dedicates a substantial amount of space to Section 314, discretionary denial issue, and petitioner would like to file a short reply addressing an aspect of the arguments that patent owner is making. In particular, though, it's relating to the scheduled trial date in the co-pending Western District of Texas litigation. And it's petitioner's view that good cause for a reply that, because in the middle of November, the federal circuit issues a decision that in petitioner's view has bearing on the viability of the currently scheduled trial date, and whether trial will occur at all in the current venue. And that that decision did not become available until this November. It's not



1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something that petitioner could have addressed in the petition when they were originally filed. I'm happy to go more into what we'd like to say, but I just don't want to stray too far into the substance, unless Your Honors would like to hear that.

ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ARBIS: Yes, actually, if you can give us a little bit more detail as to why you believe that decision impacts the potential trial date or the viability of having a trial in that venue.

MR. HERMAN: Sure. So, with respect to the viability of the trial date, it's petitioner's view that the decision essentially held that it was error for the district court to consider its early scheduled trial date in determining whether it was appropriate to transfer to another jurisdiction. And instead, it should have been looking at the average time to trial in that particular jurisdiction.

Particularly because that particular judge in that particular jurisdiction does not have a significant amount of experience in actually making it to trial, so the more relevant metric, according to the federal circuit, is



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

