Paper 71 Entered: December 6, 2021

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C., AT&T SERVICES, INC., and DIRECTV, LLC,¹ Petitioner.

v.

BROADBAND iTV, INC., Patent Owner.

IPR2020-01267 Patent 10,028,026 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: November 1, 2021

Before JEFFREY S. SMITH, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

¹ AT&T Services, Inc. and DIRECTV, LLC filed a motion for joinder and a petition in Case IPR2021-00556, which were granted, and, therefore, have been joined as petitioners in this proceeding.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

CLEMENT ROBERTS, ESQ.
K. PATRICK HERMAN, ESQ.
of: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
United States
415-773-5700
croberts@orrick.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

HONG LIN, ESQ.
RUSSELL TONKOVICH, ESQ.
of: Feinberg Day Kramer Alberti Lim Tonkovich & Belloli LLP
577 Airport Boulevard
Suite 250
Burlingame, California 94010
650-825-4300
hlin@feinday.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, November 1, 2021, commencing at 10:00 a.m. EDT, via Video Teleconference.



1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:00 a.m.
3	JUDGE ARBES: Thank you. Good morning, everyone. This is the
4	oral hearing in Case IRP2020-01267, involving Patent 10,028,026. Can
5	Counsel please state your names for the record? Petitioner, Dish.
6	MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honors. This is Clem
7	Roberts from Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, for the Petitioner. And with
8	me, also speaking today, will be Patrick Herman, who is also on the video.
9	JUDGE ARBES: Thank you. And do we have anyone on the line
10	for the joined Petitioners AT&T and DIRECTV?
11	Okay. Counsel for Patent Owner?
12	MR. LIN: My name is Hong Lin with the law firm of Feinberg Day.
13	I'm going to be joined today by Russell Tonkovich, also with Feinberg Day.
14	For Patent Owner.
15	JUDGE ARBES: Great, thank you. Per the Trial Hearing Order,
16	each party will have 60 minutes of total time to present arguments today.
17	Because some material has been filed under seal, the hearing will be divided
18	into two portions.
19	A public portion, where the public may be present, and then a
20	confidential portion involving only the parties and the Board. Per the party's
21	requests, Petitioner will have 35 minutes for the public portion and 25
22	minutes for the confidential portion.
23	And Patent Owner will have 30 minutes for the public portion and
24	30 minutes for the confidential portion.



In each portion the order of presentation will be, first, Petitioner will		
present its case regarding the challenged claims and may reserve time for		
rebuttal. Patent Owner may than respond to Petitioner's presentation and		
may reserve time for sur-rebuttal.		
Petitioner than may use any remaining time to respond to Patent		
Owner's presentation. And finally, Patent Owner may use any of its		
remaining time for a brief sur-rebuttal responding to Petitioner's rebuttal		
arguments only.		
A few reminders before we begin. We have received the parties'		
demonstratives and are able to view them on our screen. To ensure that the		
transcript is clear and everyone can follow along, please refer to your		
demonstratives by slide number.		
Please also keep your microphone muted when you are not speaking.		
When it is your turn to argue, please speak slowly. And if you hear another		
voice, please stop so that we don't talk over each other.		
Also, if either party believes that the other party is presenting an		
improper argument, we would ask you to please raise that during your		
presentation rather than interrupting the other side at the time.		
Finally, the parties should not refer to any sealed material during the		
initial public portion of the hearing today. Any questions from the parties		
before we begin?		
MR. ROBERTS: No questions from Petitioner.		



JUDGE ARBES: Okay. Counsel for Petitioner, you may proceed.

MR. LIN: No questions from Patent Owner.

And would you like to reserve time for rebuttal?

1	MR. ROBERTS: Yes, thank you so much, Your Honor. This is
2	Clem Roberts, I'll be arguing the 026 today. And if we're going to do them
3	in two sections, I assume I'm reserving just for the public portion initially.
4	JUDGE ARBES: It would be. Yes.
5	MR. ROBERTS: Okay. So of the 35 minutes I'd like to reserve ten
6	minutes for rebuttal, if that would be all right.
7	JUDGE ARBES: Okay.
8	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honors. Okay. So on my slides
9	we're going to start with the public portion. Why don't we turn to Slide 40,
10	which provides an overview of what the claim mapping disputes are at issue
11	between the parties?
12	So rather than walk through every limitation, I thought what I would
13	try to do is focus on what the disputes are between the parties. And there is
14	really one dispute as to the independent claim, which deals with whether or
15	not the three layer template of Claim Limitation 1(b) is taught. And then
16	there are also some disputes with respect to some of the dependent claims, in
17	particular, 6, 11, 12 and 15.
18	If at any time the Board has any questions at all, it would be
19	extremely helpful to get them, I very much would like to respond to any
20	questions or issues you have. Hearing myself talk is not one of my chief
21	joys in life.

Okay. So if we can turn to Slide 42 please. The limitation that is really in dispute in the independent claim is Limitation 1(b). And Limitation 1(b) has three elements to it. An (a), a (b) and a (c).



22

23

24

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

