

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C.,
Petitioner

v.

BROADBAND ITV, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-01267
U.S. Patent No. 10,028,026

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. GONDER IS NOT PRIOR ART TO THE '026 PATENT 1

A. Conception of Claims 1-9 2

1. Claim 1..... 2

a) 1. Preamble 3

b) Limitation 1.[a] 8

c) Limitation 1.[b]15

d) Limitation 1.[c]21

e) Limitation 1.[d]24

f) Limitation 1.[e]27

g) Limitation 1.[f].....28

2. Claim 2.....30

3. Claim 3.....32

4. Claim 4.....36

5. Claim 5.....38

6. Claim 6.....38

7. Claim 7.....43

8. Claim 8.....48

9. Claim 9.....49

B. Inventor Was Diligent In Constructively Reducing to Practice50

C. Filing of the '192 Application Is a Constructive Reduction to Practice57

II. SUMMARY OF THE ASSERTED PRIOR-ART REFERENCES.....59

A. Gonder.....59

B. Son60

C. Kelts61

III. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW THAT GONDER DISCLOSES A TEMPLATIZED VIDEO-ON-DEMAND DISPLAY HAVING THE THREE LAYERS RECITED IN CLAIM 1.....61

A. Petitioner fails to show that Gonder includes both the first layer and the third layer of claim 1, where the third layer includes reserved area content generated using images.....62

1. Figure 4 shows a menu generated using a single video segment, which cannot be used to show both a first background layer and a third layer comprising reserved area information generated using images.....65

2. Petitioner misinterprets Figure 6, which also shows a menu generated using a single video segment and cannot be mapped to both the first and third layers.....69

3. <u>Figure 7 does not show a third layer is generated using any images, or otherwise fails to show a first layer.</u>	71
B. <u>It would not have been obvious to modify Gonder to generate any layer separate from the video segment using images.</u>	75
<u>IV. THERE IS NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE GONDER AND SON TO SHOW “THE RECEIVED VIDEO CONTENT WAS UPLOADED TO A WEB-BASED CONTENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM . . . ALONG WITH ASSOCIATED METADATA . . .”</u>	76
A. <u>If a POSA combined Gonder and Son in a reasonable manner, it would not result in modifying Gonder’s video-on-demand system for a cable television system.</u>	76
B. <u>Mere similarity in data does not mean Gonder and Son should be combined in the manner suggested in the Petition.</u>	78
C. <u>Incorporating the HTTP server from Son into Gonder would create a system with unpredictable and undesirable results.</u>	79
<u>V. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW HOW CERTAIN DEPENDENT CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS IN LIGHT OF GONDER, SON, AND KELTS. ...</u>	79
A. <u>Claim 6</u>	79
B. <u>Claims 11 and 12</u>	82
1. <u>Kelts fails to disclose displaying video-on-demand content on digital phones or PDAs.</u>	82
2. <u>A POSA would not combine Gonder and Kelts because they embody different principles of operation.</u>	84
C. <u>Claim 15</u>	85
<u>VI. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS WEIGH IN FAVOR OF A FINDING OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS.</u>	86

PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Complaint for Patent Infringement, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), December 19, 2019.
2002	Transcript of Telephonic Discovery Hearing Before the Honorable Alan D. Albright, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), August 31, 2020.
2003	“The last thing anyone should think about WDTX is that it is patent plaintiff friendly, says Albright,” (IAM, Apr. 7, 2020), https://www.iam-media.com/law-policy/albright-the-last-thing-anyone-should-think-about-venue-it-plaintiff-friendly (accessed Sept. 14, 2020).
2004	Order Denying Motion to Stay Case, <i>Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking, LLC v. Sand Revolution, LLC</i> , Case No. 7:18-cv-00147 (W.D. Tex.), July 22, 2020.
2005	Order Denying Motion to Stay Case, <i>Kerr Machine Co. v. Vulcan Indus. Holdings, LLC.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00200 (W.D. Tex.), August 18, 2020.
2006	Divisional Standing Order Regarding Trials in Waco, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, August 18, 2020.
2007	Divisional Standing Order Regarding Trials in Waco, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, September 23, 2020.
2008	Divisional Standing Order Regarding Trials in Waco, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 24, 2020.
2009	Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00712 (W.D. Tex.), April 15, 2020.
2010	Minute Entry for Proceedings held before Judge Alan D. Albright, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716 (W.D. Tex.), August 31, 2020.
2011	“Roku Tells WDTX Patent Jury Its Tech's Web Access Is Key” <i>Law360</i> , October 5, 2020, https://www.law360.com/articles/1302893/print?section=ip (accessed October 20, 2020).

Exhibit No.	Description
2012	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), July 25, 2020.
2013	Dish Network LLC's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), June 25, 2020.
2014	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
2015	Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-716, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, dated September 10, 2020.
2016	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
2017	U.S. Patent Appl. Publ. No. 2002/00104099 to Novak ("Novak")
2018	"Roku Beats \$41M Infringement Claim In Texas Trial" <i>Law360</i> , October 14, 2020, https://www.law360.com/articles/1319005/print?section=ip (accessed October 21, 2020).
2019	"3 Things To Know After Busy WDTX Patent Judge's 1st Trial" <i>Law360</i> , October 16, 2020, https://www.law360.com/articles/1320360/print?section=ip (accessed October 21, 2020).
2020	Transcript of Telephonic Discovery Hearing Before the Honorable Alan D. Albright, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), March 26, 2020.
2021-2025	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
2026	BBitV Court's Claim Constructions Final At Hearing 11/13/20, <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-716, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, dated November 13, 2020.
2027-2029	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
2030	Teleconference Transcript, November 25, 2020.
2031	DISH Final Invalidity Contentions and Example Claim Charts
2032	AT&T Final Invalidity Contentions and Example Claim Charts
2033	Civil Docket for <i>Broadband iTV, Inc. v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:16-cv-00716-ADA (W.D. Tex.), February 2, 2021.
2034	Teleconference Transcript, February 2, 2021.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.