UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB; AND OXYSALES, UAB, Petitioners v. LUMINATI NETWORKS LTD., Patent Owner
Case IPR2020-01266 Patent 10,257,319

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §42.107

PUBLIC VERSION

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THE PARA DISTRICT COURT LITIGATION, WHICH ASSERTS THE SA PRIOR ART AS THIS PETITION, BEGINS JURY SELECTIO MAY 3, 2021, OVER SEVEN MONTHS BEFORE A FINAL DETERMINATION WOULD BE EXPECTED IN THIS IPR	AME N ON
	A. FACTOR 1. B. FACTOR 2. C. FACTOR 3. D. FACTOR 4. E. FACTOR 5. F.FACTOR 6	6 8 10
III.		
	A. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMSB. PRIORITY DATE	
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
VI.	THE ART CITED IN THE ALLEGED GROUNDS	25
	A. CROWDS B. BORDER C. MORPHMIX	28
VII.	THE FAILED GROUNDS OF ALLEGED INVALIDITY	34
	 A. GROUND 1: FAILURE OF CROWDS TO ANTICIPATE CLA B. GROUND 2: FAILURE OF CROWDS + RFC 2616 + GENER KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1 C. GROUND 3: FAILURE OF BORDER TO ANTICIPATE CLA D. GROUND 4: FAILURE OF CROWDS + RFC 2616 + GENER KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1 	AIM 1.35 AL 37 AIM 138 AL



VIII. CONCLUSION	46
KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1	45
F.GROUND 6 FAILURE OF MORPHMIX + RFC 2616 + GENERAL	
42	
E. GROUND 5 FAILURE OF MORPHMIX TO ANTICIPATE CLAIR	M 1



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

AGENCY DECISIONS

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20, 2020) (precedential, designated May 5, 2020)
Google LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. Personalized Media Communications, LLC, IPR2020-00719, Paper 16 (PTAB August 31, 2020)
CASES
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc. 550 U.S. 398, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 167 L. Ed. 2d 705 (2007)
RULES AND RULEMAKING
PTAB Office Trial Practice Guide, July 2019 Update



EXHIBIT LIST		
2001		
2001	Oxylabs' Motion To Stay Pending <i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews	
	(public-redacted) in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D.	
2002	Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 131	
2002	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement support	
	in Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No.	
2002	2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 105-1	
2003	Plaintiff's Markman opening brief in Luminati v. Teso Lt	
	<i>UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG No. 126	
2004	Defendants' Markman response brief in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt</i>	
2004	UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF	
	No. 138	
2005	Plaintiff's Markman reply brief in the <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt</i>	
2003	UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF	
	No. 145	
2006	SEALED Excerpts of Defendants' Amended Invalidity	
	Contentions served on August 31, 2020 in <i>Luminati v. Teso</i>	
	Lt UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG	
2007	Oxysales' Answer (public-redacted) in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt</i>	
	UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG, ECF.	
	No. 95	
2008	October 18, 2018 response during'319 prosecution history	
	LUM- at LUM-00149133-34	
2009	Dr. Thomas Rhyne Markman Declaration in <i>Luminati</i> v.	
	Teso Lt UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-	
	JRG, ECF No. 126-5	
2010	Sur-reply on Motion to Dismiss in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB</i>	
2011	et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 47	
2011	Order on Motion to Dismiss in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et</i>	
2012	al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 85	
2012	Declaration of Dr. Thomas Rhyne	
2013	Amended Rule 7.1 disclosure statement from Luminati v.	
	Teso Lt UAB et al., E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-	
	JRG ECF No. 55	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

