

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

LUMINATI NETWORKS LTD.

Plaintiff,

v.

UAB TESONET,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-299-JRG

**LUMINATI'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF
(LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-5(a))**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND 1

A. The Patents-in-Suit..... 1

B. The Asserted Claims 2

III. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 4

IV. LEVEL OF ONE OR ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 7

V. AGREED UPON TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION..... 7

VI. DISPUTED TERMS FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 8

A. Preamble 8

B. Device 10

C. Identifier..... 11

D. Content..... 13

E. Content Identifier 14

F. “Simultaneous” and “Concurrently” 15

G. Past Activities 19

H. The timing of an event 20

I. Group Device Identifier 21

J. Content Slice..... 21

K. Content Slice Identifier 22

L. Partitioning..... 22

M. Constructing..... 24

N. Client Device 25

O. Part of the content is included in two or more content slices 25

VII. THE “INDEFINITENESS” ARGUMENTS MADE BY DEFENDANTS DO NOT REALLY RELATE TO INDEFINITENESS AND ARE NOT PROPER FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 26

A. Claim terms “by a first device,” “from a second server,” “via a second device,” and “using a first server” are not indefinite. 28

B. “Past activities” is not indefinite..... 29

C. “Partitioning the content” and “constructing the content” are not indefinite. 29

VIII. CONCLUSION 30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

3-D Matrix, Inc. v. Menicon Co., Civil Action No. 14-cv-10205-IT, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3096 (D. Mass. Jan. 11, 2016)..... 27

Acceleration Bay, LLC v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., 908 F.3d 765 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 8

AIA Eng’g Ltd. v. Magotteaux Int’l S/A, 657 F.3d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 17

Allen Eng’g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 8

Aloft Media, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., No. 6:08-CV-50, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24124 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 24, 2009)..... 11

Am. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biolitec, Inc., 618 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 12, 13

Arctic Cat Inc. v. GEP Power Prods., 919 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 9

Astute Tech., LLC v. Learners Digest Int’l LLC, No. 2:12-CV-689-JRG, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 45526 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 2, 2014) 5, 15

Bancorp Servs. L.L.C. v. Hartford Life Ins. Co., 359 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 27

Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen GmbH & Co. KG v. Biocorp, Inc., 249 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 5, 18, 19

Briggs & Stratton Corp. v. Chongqing Rato Power Co., No. 5:13-CV-0316 (LEK/ATB), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 138088 (N.D.N.Y. Sep. 30, 2014)..... 26

Catalina Mktg. Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 8

Cheetah Omni LLC v. Alcatel-Lucent Inc., 939 F. Supp. 2d 649 (E.D. Tex. April 11, 2013)..... 6

Cox Commc’ns, Inc. v. Sprint Commc’n Co. LP, 838 F.3d 1224 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 29

Danco, Inc. v. Fluidmaster, Inc., No. 5:16-cv-73-JRG-CMC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 155936 (E.D. Tex. Sep. 22, 2017)..... 7

EPOS Techs. Ltd. v. Pegasus Techs. Ltd., 766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 20, 23

Exxon Research & Eng’g Co. v. United States, 265 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 27

GE Lighting Sols., LLC v. AgiLight, Inc., 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 11, 13, 20

Gilead Scis. v. Mylan Inc., No. 1:14CV99, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 44558 (N.D.W. Va. Apr. 6, 2015)..... 27

Huawei Techs. Co. v. T-Mobile US, Inc., No. 2:16-CV-00057-JRG-RSP, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 96097 (E.D. Tex. June 21, 2017) 5, 15

In re Johnson, 558 F.2d 1008 (C.C.P.A. 1977) 29

In re Miller, 441 F.2d 689 (C.C.P.A. 1971)..... 29

Markman v. Westview Instr., Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996)..... 4

Marrin v. Griffin, 599 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 9

MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co., 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 6, 7

Mentor H/S, Inc. v. Medical Device Alliance, Inc., 244 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 5

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014) 26

Novo Indus., L.P. v. Micro Molds Corp., 350 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... 27

O2 Micro Int’l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co., 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 4, 5

On-Line Tech. v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer, 386 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 7

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) 4, 5, 6, 18

Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems, Inc., 739 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 8

Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' Per Azioni, 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 5

Searfoss v. Pioneer Consol. Corp., 374 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 17

Star Sci., Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 537 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 27

Tandon Corp. v. U.S. Int’l Trade Comm’n, 831 F.2d 1017 (Fed. Cir. 1987) 6

Tate Access Floors, Inc. v. Interface Architectural Res., Inc. 279 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2002) ... 28

Tech. Licensing Corp. v. Videotek, Inc., 545 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 19

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA v. Sandoz, 135 S.Ct. 831 (2015) 4

TomTom Inc. v. Adolph, 790 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 9

Traxcell Techs., LLC v. Huawei Techs. USA, Inc., No. 2:17-cv-00042-RWS-RSP, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2130 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 4, 2019) 8

Traxxas LP v. Hobby Prods. Int'l, No. 2:14-CV-945-JRG-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114148 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2015)..... 26

Trilogy Communs., Inc. v. Times Fiber Communs., Inc., 109 F.3d 739 (Fed. Cir. 1997) 17

Trs. of Columbia Univ. in City of New York v. Symantec Corp., 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 12, 13

VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed Cir. 2014)..... 7

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptoronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 6, 7

X One, Inc. v. Uber Techs., Inc., No. 16-CV-06050-LHK, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 132746 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2017)..... 5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.