

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**Luminati Networks Ltd.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Teso LT, UAB, Oxysales, UAB, and  
Metacluster LT, UAB,**

**Defendants.**

**Civil Action No.  
2:19-cv-00395-JRG**

**FILED UNDER SEAL**

**OXYLABS' MOTION TO STAY  
PENDING *INTER PARTES* REVIEWS**

**SIEBMAN, FORREST,  
BURG & SMITH LLP**

MICHAEL C. SMITH

**CHARHON CALLAHAN  
ROBSON & GARZA, PLLC**

STEVEN CALLAHAN  
CRAIG TOLLIVER  
GEORGE T. "JORDE" SCOTT  
MITCHELL SIBLEY

*Counsel for Teso LT, UAB, Oxysales, UAB,  
and Metacluster LT, UAB*

September 29, 2020

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Background..... 2

III. Legal Standard ..... 4

IV. The Court Should Stay The Case..... 4

    A. The Simplification Factor Favors A Stay ..... 4

    B. The Undue Prejudice Factor Favors A Stay ..... 6

    C. The Stage Of Litigation Factor Favors A Stay ..... 8

    D. If The Court Waits To Make A Stay Decision Until The PTAB  
    Decides Whether To Institute The IPRs, The Court Should  
    Evaluate The Stay Factors As Of The Date Oxylabs Filed This Motion..... 9

V. Conclusion ..... 9

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>CASES</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	5
<i>Clinton v. Jones</i> , 520 U.S. 681 (1997).....	3
<i>e-Watch Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 13-CV-1061, 2015 WL 12915668 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2015).....	8
<i>Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg</i> , 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	3
<i>Huawei Techs. Co. v. T-Mobile US, Inc.</i> , 16-CV-00052, 2017 WL 4385567 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2017).....	5
<i>Infernal Tech., LLC v. Elec. Arts Inc.</i> , 15-CV-01523, 2016 WL 9000458 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 21, 2016).....	5
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. BITCO Gen. Ins. Corp.</i> , 15-CV-00059, 2016 WL 4394485 (E.D. Tex. May 12, 2016).....	4
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. U.S. Bancorp</i> , 13-CV-2071, 2014 WL 5369386 (D. Minn. Aug. 7, 2014).....	6
<i>NFC Tech. LLC v. HTC Am., Inc.</i> , 13-CV-1058, 2015 WL 1069111 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2015).....	4, 6
<i>Norman IP Holdings, LLC v. TP-Link Techs., Co.</i> , 13-CV-384, 2014 WL 5035718 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 8, 2014).....	8
<i>PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , 13-CV-01317, 2020 WL 520618 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2020).....	2
<i>Solas OLED Ltd. v. Samsung Display Co.</i> , 19-CV-00152, 2020 WL 4040716 (E.D. Tex. July 17, 2020).....	4, 8
<i>Stingray Music USA, Inc. v. Music Choice</i> , 16-CV-00586, 2017 WL 9885167 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 12, 2017).....	5, 6
<i>Stragent, LLC v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , 16-CV-446, 2017 WL 2839260 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 20, 2017).....	6
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc.</i> , 16-CV-638, 2017 WL 9885168 (E.D. Tex. June 13, 2017).....	4

*Versata Software, Inc. v. Callidus Software, Inc.*,  
771 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2014), *vacated*, 780 F.3d 1134 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....8

*VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc.*,  
759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....6, 8

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 314(b) .....3

35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).....4, 1

35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....3

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 42.107(b) .....3

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....2

Fed. R. Evid. 201(c).....2

Defendants Teso LT, UAB, Oxysales, UAB, and Metacluster LT, UAB (collectively, “Oxylabs”) file this Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Reviews (the “Motion”), and respectfully show as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Oxylabs moves to stay this case pending completion of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“PTAB”) *inter partes* reviews (“IPRs”) of the three patents-in-suit. Oxylabs recently filed three petitions with the PTAB directed to the three patents-in-suit. Oxylabs’ petitions are strong, and the petitions challenge the patentability of all of the asserted claims in this case based on anticipatory prior-art references and combinations that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office did not consider during prosecution.

The stay factors favor Oxylabs. *First*, resolution of the pending IPRs will significantly simplify the present litigation. If instituted, the petitions are likely to result in the invalidation of some, if not all, of the asserted claims.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, any statements Luminati makes in the IPRs will be relevant to issues here, including issues of claim construction, which the Court has not yet addressed. And, if the IPRs are instituted and the patents survive, Section 315(e)(2) estoppel will apply, thus narrowing the case as well.

*Second*, Luminati faces no undue prejudice by a stay because any delay associated with a stay can be compensated by monetary damages. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

<sup>1</sup> See PTAB’s *Trial Statistics* (Jan. 2020) at 10, available at [https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/trial\\_statistics\\_20200131.pdf](https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/trial_statistics_20200131.pdf) (81% of instituted petitions result in some or all claims being held invalid).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.