IPR2020-01265 U.S. Patent No. 7,110,444 PO's Preliminary Response

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK	K OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL	BOARD

Intel Corporation Petitioner

v.

ParkerVision, Inc.
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,110,444
Issue Date: September 19, 2006
Title: WIRELESS LOCAL AREA NETWORK (WLAN) USING UNIVERSAL FREQUENCY TRANSLATION TECHNOLOGY INCLUDING MULTI-PHASE EMBODIMENTS AND CIRCUIT IMPLEMENTATIONS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2020-01265

PATENT OWNER'S AMENDED PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,110,444





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE OF	AUTHORITIES iii	
PATE	ENT O	WNER'S EXHIBIT LISTiv	
I.	Introd	luction1	
II.		Board Should Exercise its Discretion and Deny Institution Under 35 C. § 314(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)	
	A.	Factor 1—The District Court Has Not Granted A Stay, And There Is No Evidence That The District Court Will Grant A Stay Even If A Proceeding Is Instituted	
	B.	Factor 2—Because The Texas Cases Will Be Tried <i>Before</i> The Board's Projected Statutory Deadline For A Final Written Decision, The Board Should Deny Institution	
	C.	Factor 3—The Parties And The Court Have Invested Significant Resources In The Texas Cases, Favoring Discretionary Denial8	
	D.	Factor 4—Significant Overlap Between Issues Raised In The Petition And In The Texas Cases Supports Denial	
	Е.	Factor 5—The Petitioner And The Defendant In The Parallel Proceeding Are The Same Party, Supporting Denial14	
	F.	Factor 6—Other Circumstances That Impact The Board's Exercise Of Discretion Show That Denial Is Appropriate At This Time	
III.	Addit	tional Grounds For Denying Institution16	
	A.	Inter Partes Review Should Not Be Instituted Because Of Constitutional Issues Under The Appointments Clause Of Article II 16	
IV.	Conc	Conclusion	



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc. IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)1,	3, 11, 14
Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	17
Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., S.Ct. No. 19-1458	16
E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp., IPR2019-00161, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2019)	8
E-One, IPR2019-00162, Paper 16	11
Fintiv, IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (March 20, 2020)	11, 13
Google LLC v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC, IPR2020-00720, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 31, 2020)	3
Google LLC, v. Uniloc 2017, IPR2020-00115, Paper 8	4, 11
Intel Corp. v. VLSI Tech. LLC, IPR2020-00106, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. May 5, 2020)	8
Intercollegiate Broad. Sys, Inc. v. Copyright Royalty Bd., 684 F.3d 1332 (D.C. Cir. 2012)	17
Kerr Machine Co. d/b/a Kerr Pumps v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC 6-20-cv-00200 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2020)	6.7



#### IPR2020-1265 (Patent No. 7,110,444) PO's Preliminary Response

Multimedia Content Mgmt. LLC v. Dish Network Corp., No. 6:18-CV-00207-ADA, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 198875 (W.D.
Tex. Jan. 10, 2019)
NetApp, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, IPR2017-01195, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 12, 2017)
Next Caller, Inc. v. TrustID, Inc., IPR2019-00961, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019)
NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018)
Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Kingston Tech. Co., S.Ct. No. 19-1459 (certiorari granted October 13, 2020)
Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc., S.Ct. No. 19-1452
Supercell Oy v. Gree, Inc., IPR2020-00513, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. June 24, 2020)
Vizio, Inc. v. Polaris PowerLED Techs., LLC, IPR2020-00043, Paper 30 (P.T.A.B. May 4, 2020)
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 313
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)
35 II S C 8 316(b)



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

