Docket No.: 0107131.00696US1 Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation By: Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 663-6000 Tel: (617) 526-6000 Email: grant.rowan@wilmerhale.com haixia.lin@wilmerhale.com

DOCKE

ALARM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Intel Corporation Petitioner

v.

ParkerVision, Inc. Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-01265

DECLARATION OF VIVEK SUBRAMANIAN, PH.D. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,110,444 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 3, 5



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1					
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE					
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED					
IV.	V. LEGAL PRINCIPLES					
	A.	Obviousness	6			
	B.	B. Interpretation of "Means-Plus-Function" Claim Elements				
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART					
VI.	TECI	HNICAL BACKGROUND	11			
	A.	Types of Data Signals Used in Wireless Communication	11			
	B.	"Modulating" Signals for Wireless Communication	14			
		1. Amplitude modulation	15			
		2. Phase Modulation	16			
	C.	"Up-Conversion" and "Down-Conversion"	17			
	D.	Circuitry Components Used in Wireless Devices	17			
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '444 PATENT					
	A.	The Alleged Problem in the Art	20			
	B.	The Alleged Invention of the '444 Patent	21			
	C.	Patent Owner Added the Last Limitations to Claims 1 and 3 To Obtain the Challenged Claims	32			
VIII.	CLA	IM CONSTRUCTION	36			
	A.	"frequency down-conversion module" (Claim 1)	37			
	B.	"frequency down-conversion module" (Claim 3)	40			
	C.	"subtractor module" (Claims 1, 3)	40			
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES					
	A.	Tayloe	42			
	B.	TI Datasheet	52			
	C.	Kawada	55			
	D.	Motivation to Combine	57			
Х.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION					

	А.	Ground I: Claims 1, 3, and 5 Are Obvious Over Tayloe in View of TI Datasheet			
		1.	Independent Claim 1	61	
		2.	Independent Claim 3		
		3.	Dependent Claim 5		
	В.	Grou View	nd II: Claims 1, 3, and 5 Are Obvious Over Tayloe in of Kawada	104	
XI.	AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS EXAMINATION				
XII.	RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT				
XIII.	JURA	ΔT			

I, Vivek Subramanian, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Vivek Subramanian. I am a Professor of Microtechnology at the École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) (also known as the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne) in Switzerland. Until recently, I was also a professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley. As of July 1, 2020, I have become an adjunct professor at UC Berkeley upon completion of my move to EPFL.

2. I have been retained as an expert in this proceeding by counsel for Intel Corporation. I have been asked for my expert conclusions regarding the validity of claims 1, 3, and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,110,444 (the "'444 Patent'') (Ex. 1001-'444). For the reasons set forth below, it is my conclusion that claims 1, 3, and 5 of the '444 patent are invalid.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

3. My qualifications are stated more fully in my curriculum vitae, which is attached as Exhibit A. Below is a summary of my education, work experience, and other qualifications.

4. I received a bachelor's degree *summa cum laude* in electrical engineering from Louisiana State University in 1994. I received M.S. and Ph.D.

degrees in electrical engineering, in 1996 and 1998, respectively, from Stanford University.

5. Throughout the course of my education, including my B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees, I was involved in designing and implementing wireless and high-speed analog systems. For example, during my PhD, I designed RF CMOS radios, including the transistor level design, simulation, layout, and characterization of the same.

6. After completing my Ph.D., I held multiple appointments simultaneously between 1998 and 2000. I served as a Consulting Assistant Professor in the Electrical Engineering Department of Stanford University. I also served as a Visiting Research Engineer in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley, where my research focused on 25nm metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor (MOSFET) design and fabrication. I worked on technologies for high-performance transistor processes, and I published several papers as a direct outcome of this technology development.

7. In 2000, I became an assistant professor at the University of California, Berkeley in the Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences. In 2005, I was promoted to the position of tenured Associate Professor, and in 2011, I was promoted to full Professor. In 2018, I became a full Professor of

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

