



NIXON PEABODY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NIXONPEABODY.COM
@NIXONPEABODYLLP

Evan H. Langdon

Partner

T -202-585-8436

elangdon@nixonpeabody.com

799 9th Street NW

Suite 500

Washington, DC 20001-4501

202-585-8000

September 14, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission
U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
500 E Street, SW, Room 112-A
Washington, DC 20436

Re: *Certain Active Matrix OLED Display Devices and Components Thereof*,
Inv. No. 337-TA-_____

Dear Secretary Barton:

In accordance with the Commission's Temporary Change to the Filing Procedures, dated March 16, 2020, enclosed for filing on behalf of Complainant Solas OLED Ltd. ("Solas" or "Complainant") are the following documents in support of Solas's request that the Commission commence an investigation pursuant to Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended:

1. One (1) electronic copy of the verified Non-Confidential Complaint and the Public Interest Statement. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.8(a)(1)(i) and 210.8(b));
2. One (1) electronic copy of the Confidential Complaint and the Public Interest Statement. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.8(a)(1)(ii) and 210.8(b));
3. One (1) electronic copy of Solas' letter and certification requesting confidential treatment for the information contained in the Confidential Exhibit Nos. 8C, 9C, 32C, and 33C. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.5(d) and 201.6(b));
4. One (1) electronic copy of the accompanying Non-Confidential Exhibits and public version of the Confidential Exhibits. (19 C.F.R. § 210.8(a)(1)(i));
5. One (1) electronic copy of Confidential Exhibits 8C, 9C, 32C, and 33C. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.8(a)(1)(ii) and 201.6(c));
6. One (1) electronic copy of the certified versions of United States Patent No. 8,139,007 ("the '007 Patent"); United States Patent No. 7,573,068 ("the '068 Patent"); and United States Patent No. 7,868,880 ("the '880 Patent") (collectively, the "Asserted Patents") cited in the Complaint as Exhibits 1–3. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.12(a)(9)(i));

**LG Display
Exhibit 1025**

The Honorable Lisa R. Barton

September 14, 2020

Page 2

7. One (1) electronic copy of the certified versions of each of the assignments for the Asserted Patents cited in the Complaint as Exhibits 4–7. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.12(a)(9)(ii));

8. One (1) electronic copy of the certified versions of the prosecution histories for the Asserted Patents included as Appendices A1, B1, and C1 to the Complaint. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.12(c)(1));

9. One (1) electronic copy of the patent and technical reference documents identified in each of the prosecution histories of the Asserted Patents, included in the Complaint as Appendices A2, B2, and C2. (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.12(c)(2)).

Please contact me with any questions regarding this submission. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,



Evan H. Langdon

*Counsel for Complainant
Solas OLED Ltd.*

Enclosures



NIXON PEABODY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NIXONPEABODY.COM
@NIXONPEABODYLLP

Evan H. Langdon
Partner
T -202-585-8436
elangdon@nixonpeabody.com

799 9th Street NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001-4501
202-585-8000

September 14, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

The Honorable Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission
U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
500 E Street, SW, Room 112-A
Washington, DC 20436

Re: *Certain Active Matrix OLED Display Devices and Components Thereof*,
Inv. No. 337-TA-_____

Dear Secretary Barton:

Pursuant to Commission Rules 210.5(d) and 201.6(b)(1), Complainant Solas OLED Ltd. (“Solas” or “Complainant”) respectfully requests confidential treatment of the business information contained in Exhibit Nos. 8C, 9C, 32C, and 33C (“Conf. Exhibits”) to the Verified Complaint.

The information contained in the Conf. Exhibits qualifies as confidential business information pursuant to Commission Rule 201.6(a) because:

- It is not available to the general public;
- The disclosure of such information would cause substantial harm to Solas and to the competitive position of Solas; and
- Unauthorized disclosure of the information could impair the Commission’s ability to obtain information necessary to perform its statutory function.

Please contact me with any questions regarding this submission. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

The Honorable Lisa R. Barton
September 14, 2020
Page 2

Respectfully Submitted,



Evan H. Langdon

*Counsel for Complainant
Solas OLED Ltd.*

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN ACTIVE MATRIX OLED
DISPLAY DEVICES AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF

Investigation No. 337-TA-_____

CERTIFICATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

I, Evan H. Langdon, counsel for Complainant Solas OLED Ltd. (“Solas” or “Complainant”), declare as follows:

1. I have reviewed Solas’ Verified Complaint and Confidential Exhibit Nos. 8C, 9C, 32C, and 33C (“Conf. Exhibits”) filed concurrently with this Certification.

2. Confidential Exhibit No. 8C is a list of Complainant’s licensees, the identity of which is regarded as Complainant’s confidential business information.

3. Confidential Exhibit No. 9C is the licensing agreement between Solas and its licensee, eMagin Corporation (“eMagin”). The licensing agreement between the Parties contains confidential business information, including confidential information related to the licensing terms between the Parties, which is not available for public dissemination. Disclosure of this information to the public would cause substantial harm to Solas, its competitive position, and its ability to negotiate future licensing agreements.

4. Confidential Exhibit No. 32C is an agreement between Solas and its licensee, eMagin. The agreement between the Parties contains confidential business information, including confidential information related to terms of the agreement between the Parties, which is not available for public dissemination. Disclosure of this information to the public would cause substantial harm to Solas and its competitive position.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.