

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SOLAS OLED LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.;
SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-307

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
AND SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.**

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. §1 *et seq.*, in which Plaintiff Solas OLED Ltd. (“Plaintiff” or “Solas”) makes the following allegations against Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Display Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Samsung” or “Defendants”):

INTRODUCTION

1. This complaint arises from Samsung’s unlawful infringement of the following United States patents owned by Solas, each of which generally relate to touchscreen technology: United States Patent Nos. 7,573,068 (“’068 Patent”) and 7,868,880 (“’880 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

2. OLED displays are revolutionizing electronic devices today. Devices using OLED displays enhance a user’s viewing experience by allowing for the visual depiction of perfect blacks

**LG Display
Exhibit 1022**

as well as colors with high contrast—without distortion. OLED displays naturally emit light and have the ability to turn off completely. Due to OLED display’s inherent design, devices are thinner, lighter, and more flexible than ever before. This is because OLED displays use fewer components. OLED displays are the trendiest and best displays available on the market today.

3. But just a few decades ago, OLED display technology was in its infancy. OLED displays have since undergone significant improvements to enhance the user experience for consumers throughout the world.

4. Due to the vision of the companies who developed and those who improved on OLED display technology, this technology has enjoyed rapid developments and improvements. Research and development engineers have logged hours and hours of work to push this technology to the forefront of the display market today. Improvements to this technology can be highly technical, for example, they can relate to improved designs to the operation of drive control to improved designs of transistor array substrates. These advancements to the various aspects of the technology—each building a little on a related advancement before it—get us to the highly advanced state we enjoy today.

5. These achievements range from designing the fundamental building blocks, which enable the operation of OLED display technology, to designing critical enhancements, which improves important aspects of the user experience and functionality of the OLED display. This infringement action is about the latter: patented improvements—which took years of research and millions of dollars in investments to develop, and which are infringed by Samsung’s Accused Products here.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Solas Ltd. is an Irish company, having its principal place of business at

Suite 23, The Hyde Building, Carrickmines, Dublin 18, Ireland. Solas is the sole owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the Asserted Patent.

7. Defendant Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. is a publicly traded corporation organized under the laws of South Korea. It has its principal place of business at 129 Samsung-Ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 443-742, South Korea.

8. Defendant Samsung Electronics America, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York. Its principal place of business is at 85 Challenger Rd., Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660.

9. Defendant Samsung Display Co., Ltd. is a corporation organized under the laws of Korea. Its principal place of business is at 1 Samsung-Ro, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-Do, 17113, South Korea.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Samsung in this action because Samsung has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Samsung would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Samsung, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, importing, offering to sell, and selling products that infringe the Asserted Patent.

12. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Upon

information and belief, Defendants have transacted business in this District and have committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing products that infringe the Asserted Patent. Defendants have regular and established places of businesses in this District, including at 1301 East Lookout Drive, Richardson, Texas 75080 and 6635 Declaration Drive, Plano, TX 75023.

13. Furthermore, venue is proper as to a foreign defendant in any district. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3); *In re HTC Corp.*, 889 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2018). Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Display Co., Ltd. are foreign corporations organized under the laws of South Korea, with principal places of business in South Korea.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,573,068

14. Solas realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

15. Solas owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,573,068 (the “’068 Patent”), entitled “Transistor Array Substrate and Display Panel.” The ’068 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 11, 2009. A true and correct copy of the ’068 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

16. On information and belief, Samsung makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products (“Accused Products”), including Galaxy J7, Galaxy J3, Galaxy J2, Galaxy A6, Galaxy A6 Plus, Galaxy S7, Galaxy S7 Edge, Galaxy S7 Active, Galaxy S8, Galaxy S8+, Galaxy S8 Active, Galaxy Fold, Galaxy Z Fold2 5G Galaxy A80, Galaxy A71 5G, Galaxy A71 5G UW, Galaxy A51, Galaxy A51 5G, Galaxy A51 5G UW, Galaxy A50, Galaxy A20, Galaxy S9, Galaxy S9+, Galaxy S10, Galaxy S10+, Galaxy S10 5G, Galaxy S10 Lite, Galaxy S10e, Note

8, Note 9, Note 10, Note 10 5G, Note 10+, Note 10+ 5G, Galaxy S20, Galaxy S20+, Galaxy S20 5G, Galaxy S20 Ultra 5G, Galaxy Note20 5G, Galaxy Note20 Ultra 5G, Galaxy Z Flip, Galaxy Z Flip 5G, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 13-17 of the '068 Patent.

17. Samsung also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of at least claims 13-17 of the '068 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Samsung has had knowledge of the '068 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '068 Patent, Samsung continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '068 Patent. Samsung does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Samsung also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '068 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '068 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

18. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of at least claims 13-17 of the '068 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 13 of the '068 Patent to a representative Accused Product, Samsung Galaxy S20 5G, is attached as Exhibit 2.

19. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Samsung has injured Solas and is liable for infringement of the '068 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

20. As a result of Samsung's infringement of the '068 Patent, Solas is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Samsung's infringement, but in no

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.