

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

LBT IP I LLC,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2020-01192

U.S. Patent No. 8,421,618

DECLARATION OF SCOTT ANDREWS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	5
II.	LEGAL FRAMEWORK.....	11
	A. ANALOGOUS ART	11
	B. OBVIOUSNESS.....	11
	C. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	17
	D. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
III.	OPINION REGARDING LEVEL OF SKILL OF A PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	19
IV.	BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY	20
	A. GPS TECHNOLOGY	21
	B. ACCELEROMETERS.....	23
	C. DEAD RECKONING	25
	D. COMMUNICATION WITH A MONITORING STATION	30
	E. RELIABILITY OF GPS SIGNALS	31
	F. POWER CONSUMPTION OF GPS RECEIVERS	34
V.	OPINIONS REGARDING THE '618 PATENT AND ANALOGOUS ART	37
	A. OPINIONS REGARDING <i>SAKAMOTO</i>	37
	B. OPINIONS REGARDING <i>LEVI</i>	38
	C. OPINIONS REGARDING <i>CERVINKA</i>	40
	D. OPINIONS REGARDING <i>VAGANOV</i>	41
	E. OPINIONS REGARDING <i>KRASNER</i>	42
	F. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '618 PATENT	43
	G. OPINIONS OF THE COMBINATION OF <i>SAKAMOTO</i> AND <i>LEVI</i>	46
	1. <i>Motivation to Combine Sakamoto and Levi</i>	46
	2. <i>Obviousness of Modifying Electronic Hardware and Software to Be Circuitry</i>	54
	3. <i>Sakamoto's Multiple Embodiments and Motivation to Combine the Embodiments</i>	57
	4. <i>Physical Components Shared by Claim Elements</i>	60
VI.	OPINIONS REGARDING GROUND 1: SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF LEVI.....	61
	A. OVERVIEW OF GROUND 1, CLAIM 1 MAPPING.....	61
	1. <i>Overview of Sakamoto Combined with Levi</i>	61

2.	<i>The '618 Patent's claimed "transceiver circuitry" and "location tracking circuitry"</i>	63
B.	CLAIM 1	66
1.	<i>Claim 1[Preamble]</i>	66
2.	<i>Claim 1(a)</i>	70
3.	<i>Claim 1(b)</i>	73
4.	<i>Claim 1(c)</i>	76
5.	<i>Claim 1(d)</i>	90
C.	CLAIM 3	94
D.	CLAIM 9	96
E.	CLAIM 10	97
F.	CLAIM 11	98
G.	CLAIM 14	99
H.	CLAIM 15	100
1.	<i>Claim 15(Preamble)</i>	100
2.	<i>Claim 15(a)</i>	101
3.	<i>Claim 15(b)</i>	101
4.	<i>Claim 15(c)</i>	101
5.	<i>Claim 15(d)</i>	101
I.	CLAIM 16	101
J.	CLAIM 19	102
K.	CLAIM 20	102
L.	CLAIM 21	102
M.	CLAIM 24	102
VII.	OPINIONS REGARDING GROUND 2: SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF LEVI IN FURTHER VIEW OF VAGANOV	103
A.	CLAIM 4	103
B.	CLAIM 5	104
C.	CLAIM 6	106
VIII.	OPINIONS REGARDING GROUND 3: SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF LEVI IN FURTHER VIEW OF CERVINKA	106
A.	CLAIM 7	106
B.	CLAIM 12	108
C.	CLAIM 13	110
D.	CLAIM 17	111
E.	CLAIM 22	112
F.	CLAIM 23	112

IX. OPINIONS REGARDING GROUND 4: SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF LEVI IN FURTHER VIEW OF KRASNER	112
A. CLAIM 2	112
X. OPINIONS REGARDING GROUND 5: SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF LEVI IN FURTHER VIEW OF CERVINKA AND KRASNER.....	114
A. CLAIM 8	114
B. CLAIM 18	116
XI. CONCLUSION	117

I, Scott Andrews, hereby declare the following:

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. My name is Scott Andrews, and I am over 21 years of age and otherwise competent to make this Declaration. I make this Declaration based on facts and matters within my own knowledge and on information provided to me by others, and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.

2. I have been retained as a technical expert witness in this matter by Counsel for the Petitioner, Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”) to provide my independent opinions on certain issues requested by Counsel for Petitioner relating to the accompanying Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,421,618 (“the ’618 Patent”). I am being compensated at an hourly rate of \$450.00. My compensation in this matter is not based on the substance of my opinions or on the outcome of this matter. I have been informed that LBT IP I LLC is the purported owners of the ’618 Patent. I note that I have no financial interest in LBT IP I LLC or Petitioner, and I have no other interest in the outcome of this matter.

3. I have summarized in this section my educational background, career history, and other qualifications relevant to this matter. I have also included a current version of my curriculum vitae, attached as Appendix B.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.