UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

LBT IP I LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-01192 U.S. Patent No. 8,421,618

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO AMEND



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED2
III. REQ	THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS MEET ALL THE DUIREMENTS OF 37 C.F.R. § 42.1212
	THE ORIGINAL NON-PROVISIONAL APPLICATION SUPPORTS CH LIMITATION OF THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS4
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART18
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION19
loc of t pov and	wherein the at least one portion of the transceiver circuitry and the ation tracking circuitry is deactivated by placing the at least one portion the transceiver circuitry and the location tracking circuitry in a low wer mode in which the at least one portion of the transceiver circuitry d the location tracking circuitry consumes at least reduced power. Toposed substitute independent claims 25 and 39)
	THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE OR THE PRIOR ART20
	The Proposed Substitute Claims Are Patentable Over The Art At Issue This Proceeding
	The Proposed Substitute Claims Are Also Patentable Over The Alleged aterial Prior Art At Issue During Prosecution24
VIII	. CONCLUSION25



PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit Number	<u>Description</u>
2001	Declaration of Brian S. Seal in support of Patent Owner's
	Unopposed Motion For Pro Hac Vice Admission
2002	Revised Declaration of Brian S. Seal in support of Patent
	Owner's Unopposed Motion For Pro Hac Vice Admission
2003	Transcript of deposition of Scott Andrews
2004	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0174603 (Appl. No. 11/969,905)
2005	Sun, U.S. Patent Number 7,612,663
2006	Syrjarinne et al., U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0113124
2007	Suprun et al., U.S. Patent Number 7,292,223
2008	Croyle et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,862,511
2009	Lau et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,592,173
2010	Tsai, U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0057068
2011	Huang et al., U.S. Patent Number 7,826,968
2012	File history of U.S. Patent Number 8,421,619
2013	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0189807 (Appl. No. 12/419,451)



I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner LBT IP I LLC ("Patent Owner") respectfully moves under 35 U.S.C. § 316(d) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.121 to amend U.S. Patent No. 8,421,618 ("the '618 Patent"), contingent on the outcome of this trial. In the event the Board finds any of claims 1-24 unpatentable, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board grant this motion to amend and issue the corresponding proposed substitute claims presented herein.

As this motion demonstrates, this motion and the proposed substitute claims meet all of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.121. Namely, each contingent amendment is responsive to a ground of unpatentability involved in this proceeding, none of the amendments seeks to enlarge the scope of the claims or introduce new subject matter, each amendment proposes only one proposed substitute claim for each conditionally canceled claim, and the motion clearly shows the changes sought and the support in the original disclosure of the patent for each claim that is added or amended.

Moreover, although Patent Owner should not bear the burden of either persuasion or production regarding the patentability of the amended claims as a condition of allowance, and the Board may not *sua sponte* question the patentability of the proposed amended claims, *see In re Aqua Products, Inc.*, 872 F.3d 1290, 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2017), the instant motion demonstrates that the proposed amended claims



are patentable over the references at issue in this proceeding and the material prior art at issue during prosecution.

II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner respectfully requests preliminary guidance from the Board regarding the proposed substitute claims.

To the extent the Board finds any original claim unpatentable in this proceeding, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board grant this motion to amend with respect to each corresponding proposed substitute claim presented herein. The Board should not consider this motion for each original claim it finds patentable.

III. THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS MEET ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37 C.F.R. § 42.121.

As shown in the attached claims appendix, proposed substitute independent claims 25 and 39 retain all features of the original claims and do not enlarge the scope of the claims in any way. Rather, the contingent amendments add only narrowing features. Specifically, the proposed substitute claims add the following limitation to the original claims: wherein the at least one portion of the transceiver circuitry and the location tracking circuitry is deactivated by placing the at least one portion of the transceiver circuitry and the location tracking circuitry in a low power mode in which the at least one portion of the transceiver circuitry and the location



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

