

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

LBT IP I LLC,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2020-01189
U.S. Patent No. 8,497,774

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,497,774**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '774 PATENT	1
	A. DESCRIPTION OF THE '774 PATENT	1
	B. SUMMARY OF UNPATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	2
	C. PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	3
	D. LEVEL OF SKILL OF A POSITA	3
	E. OPINION OF A POSITA	3
III.	THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A)	4
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	5
	A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	5
	B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGED UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.104(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	5
	C. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	6
V.	SHOWING OF ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART	7
	A. <i>SAKAMOTO</i> IS ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART.....	7
	B. <i>HAYASAKA</i> IS ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART.....	8
VI.	GROUND 1: THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>SAKAMOTO</i>	8
	A. SAKAMOTO'S MULTIPLE EMBODIMENTS AND MOTIVATION TO COMBINE THE EMBODIMENTS GENERALLY	9
	B. OBVIOUSNESS OF MODIFYING ELECTRONIC HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE TO BE CIRCUITRY	11
	C. CLAIM 1:.....	12
	1. <i>Limitation 1[Pre]</i>	12
	2. <i>Limitation 1[a]</i>	16
	3. <i>Limitation 1[b]</i>	17
	4. <i>Limitation 1[c]</i>	19
	5. <i>Limitation 1[d]</i>	22
	6. <i>Limitation 1[e]</i>	25
	7. <i>Limitation 1[f]</i>	36
	D. CLAIM 4	38
	E. CLAIM 5	41
	F. CLAIM 6	42
	G. CLAIM 8	44

1.	<i>Limitation 8[pre]</i>	44
2.	<i>Limitation 8[a]</i>	45
3.	<i>Limitation 8[b]</i>	46
4.	<i>Limitation 8[c]</i>	46
5.	<i>Limitation 8[d]</i>	47
H.	CLAIM 10	54
I.	CLAIM 13	54
J.	CLAIM 15	54

VII. GROUND 2: THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF AAPA56

A.	CLAIM 1	56
1.	<i>Limitation 1[Pre]</i>	56
2.	<i>Limitation 1[a]</i>	56
3.	<i>Limitation 1[b]</i>	56
4.	<i>Limitation 1[c]</i>	56
5.	<i>Limitation 1[d]</i>	57
6.	<i>Limitation 1[e]</i>	59
7.	<i>Limitation 1[f]</i>	59
B.	CLAIM 4	59
C.	CLAIM 5	59
D.	CLAIM 6	59
E.	CLAIM 8	60
1.	<i>Limitation 8[pre]</i>	60
2.	<i>Limitation 8[a]</i>	60
3.	<i>Limitation 8[b]</i>	60
4.	<i>Limitation 8[c]</i>	60
5.	<i>Limitation 8[d]</i>	60
F.	CLAIM 10	60
G.	CLAIM 13	60
H.	CLAIM 15	60

VIII. GROUND 3: THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER SAKAMOTO IN VIEW OF HAYASAKA60

A.	CLAIM 1	61
1.	<i>Limitation 1[Pre]</i>	61
2.	<i>Limitation 1[a]</i>	61
3.	<i>Limitation 1[b]</i>	61
4.	<i>Limitation 1[c]</i>	61
5.	<i>Limitation 1[d]</i>	61

6.	<i>Limitation 1[e]</i>	65
7.	<i>Limitation 1[f]</i>	65
B.	CLAIM 4	65
C.	CLAIM 5	65
D.	CLAIM 6	70
E.	CLAIM 8	70
1.	<i>Limitation 8[pre]</i>	70
2.	<i>Limitation 8[a]</i>	70
3.	<i>Limitation 8[b]</i>	70
4.	<i>Limitation 8[c]</i>	70
5.	<i>Limitation 8[d]</i>	70
F.	CLAIM 10	70
G.	CLAIM 13	71
H.	CLAIM 15	71
IX.	CONCLUSION	71
X.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	72
A.	REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST	72
B.	RELATED MATTERS	72
C.	LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL	73

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases:**

<i>Eli Lilly and Co. v. Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst.</i> , 849 F.3d 1073, (Fed. Cir. 2017)	3
<i>Valeo North America, Inc. v. Magna Elec., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00251, Paper (PTAB May 26, 2016)	4

Statutes:

35 U.S.C. §102	4
35 U.S.C. §102(b)	7, 8
35 U.S.C. §103	4,6
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	4

Regulations:

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)	80
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	79
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	72
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	72
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	72
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	73
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	73
37 C.F.R. § 42.24	79
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.105	80

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.