

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION**

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Ancora,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS INC. and LG  
ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-cv-0034

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Ancora,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-cv-0034

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANTS' RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

**Table of Contents**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. ARGUMENT ..... 2

    A. “using an agent to set up a verification structure in the erasable, non-volatile memory of the BIOS” ..... 2

    B. “set up a verification structure” ..... 7

    C. “memory of the BIOS” ..... 10

        1. The “memory of the BIOS” is not recognized by an operating system as a storage device and does not have a file system.” ..... 11

        2. “memory of the BIOS” is the memory that stores the BIOS. .... 12

    D. “verifying the program using at least the verification structure” ..... 14

        1. The applicants did not limit the OS-level operations to steps performed by the “agent.” ..... 14

        2. The fact that the “verifying the program” step uses the verification structure from the erasable non-volatile memory of the BIOS, does not mean it operates at the BIOS-level. .... 16

    E. “acting on the program according to the verification” ..... 17

        1. The antecedent basis for “the verification” of step 4 is the earlier step of “verifying the program using at least the verification structure from the erasable nonvolatile memory of the BIOS.” ..... 17

        2. The “acting” step checks for a “license” and operates at the OS-level. .... 18

    F. “license”/“license record” ..... 19

    G. Order of Steps ..... 23

    H. “BIOS” ..... 24

    I. “selecting a program residing in the volatile memory” ..... 25

    J. “program” ..... 26

    K. “volatile memory” ..... 28

    L. “first non-volatile memory area of the computer” ..... 29

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Aloft Media, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.</i> , 570 F. Supp. 2d 887 (E.D. Tex. 2008).....	5
<i>Am. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biolitec, Inc.</i> , 618 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	20
<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 11-CV-06357 YGR, 2012 WL 6738761 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 31, 2012) .....	15, 28
<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC Am., Inc.</i> , 908 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	13
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	17
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	19
<i>Biedermann Motech GmbH v. Acme Spine, LLC</i> , No. CV 06-3619 SJO, 2007 WL 6210841 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2007) .....	24, 25, 26
<i>Collaborative Agreements, LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.</i> , No. A-14-CV-356-LY, 2015 WL 2250391 (W.D. Tex. May 12, 2015) .....	5
<i>Digital Retail Apps Inc. v. H-E-B, LP</i> No. 6-19-cv-00167-ADA, 2020 WL 376664, (W.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2020).....	4
<i>Eolas Techs., Inc. v. Adobe Sys., Inc.</i> , 810 F. Supp. 2d 795 (E.D. Tex. 2011).....	5
<i>Flo Healthcare Sols., LLC v. Kappos</i> , 697 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	5
<i>GE Lighting Sols., LLC v. Agilight, Inc.</i> , 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	21
<i>Kaken Pharm. Co. v. Iancu</i> , 952 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	12

<i>Kaneka Corp. v. Xiamen Kingdomway Grp. Co.</i> , 790 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	23, 24
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Wake Forest Univ. Health Scis.</i> , No. SA-11-CV-163-XR, 2013 WL 6164592 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2013) .....	26, 27, 29
<i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	20
<i>Mobile Telecomm. Techs., LLC v. United Parcel Serv., Inc.</i> , No. 1:12-CV-3222-AT, 2014 WL 1274003 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 17, 2014).....	10
<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	24
<i>Poly-Am., L.P. v. API Indus., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	8
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	21
<i>RLIS, Inc. v. Allscripts Healthcare Sols., Inc.</i> , Nos. 3:12-CV-208-209, 2013 WL 3772472 (S.D. Tex. July 16, 2013).....	5
<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR Inc.</i> , 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	9, 10
<i>SecurityProfiling, LLC v. Trend Micro Am., Inc.</i> , No. 3:17-CV-1484-N, 2018 WL 4585279 (N.D. Tex. Sept. 25, 2018) .....	4, 6
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	21
<i>TomTom, Inc. v. Adolph</i> 790 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	20
<i>In re Varma</i> , 816 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	30
<i>Verizon Calif. Inc. v. Ronald A. Katz Tech. Licensing, P.A.</i> , 326 F. Supp. 2d 1060 (C.D. Cal. 2003) .....	7
<i>WhitServe LLC v. GoDaddy.com, Inc.</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00948-WGY, 2014 WL 5668335 (D. Conn. Nov. 4, 2014).....	5

*Williamson v. Citrix Online*  
792 F.3d 1339, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....5, 6

*Zeroclick, LLC v. Apple Inc.*,  
891 F.3d 1003 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... *passim*

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.....4, 5, 6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.