

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2020-01184  
U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,411,941**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	1
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES .....	3
IV.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	3
V.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND GROUNDS RAISED.....	3
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL.....	4
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '941 PATENT .....	5
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	6
IX.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	7
A.	Ground 1: Schwartz in View of Yee Renders Obvious Claims 1-2 and 6-17.....	7
1.	Claim 1 .....	7
2.	Claim 2.....	22
3.	Claim 6.....	23
4.	Claim 7.....	23
5.	Claim 8.....	24
6.	Claim 9.....	25
7.	Claim 10.....	26
8.	Claim 11 .....	26
9.	Claim 12.....	27
10.	Claim 13.....	27
11.	Claim 14.....	28

12.	Claim 15.....	28
13.	Claim 16.....	30
14.	Claim 17.....	30
B.	Ground 2: Hasebe in View of Shipman Renders Obvious Claims 1-3 and 11-13.....	31
1.	Claim 1.....	31
2.	Claim 2.....	46
3.	Claim 3.....	47
4.	Claim 6.....	50
5.	Claim 7.....	51
6.	Claim 8.....	52
7.	Claim 9.....	54
8.	Claim 10.....	55
9.	Claim 11.....	56
10.	Claim 12.....	57
11.	Claim 13.....	58
12.	Claim 14.....	58
13.	Claim 15.....	59
14.	Claim 17.....	60
X.	SECTIONS 314(a) and 325(d).....	61
A.	Section 325(d).....	61
1.	Schwartz and Schwartz-Based Grounds.....	61
2.	Hasebe and Hasebe-Based Grounds.....	64

B.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factors—§ 314(a) .....	66
1.	Stay Considerations .....	66
2.	Trial Date and Final Written Decision Considerations.....	67
3.	Investment in the Parallel Proceeding .....	69
4.	Issue Overlap in the Petition and Parallel Proceeding.....	70
5.	Same Party Considerations .....	71
6.	Other Circumstances .....	72
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	73

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex-1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 (“’941 Patent’)
Ex-1002	Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok
Ex-1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Erez Zadok
Ex-1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941
Ex-1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,153,835 (“Schwartz”)
Ex-1006	Ph.D. Thesis of Bennett Yee, “Using Secure Coprocessors”, Carnegie-Mellon University, CMU-CS-94-149 (“Yee”)
Ex-1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,935,243 (“Hasebe”)
Ex-1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,852,736 (“Shipman”)
Ex-1009	Plaintiff’s Opening Claim Construction Brief (Corrected), dated April 9, 2020 in <i>Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:20-cv-00034-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
Ex-1010	Israel Application No. 124,571, filed May 21, 1998 (“the IL’571 application”)
Ex-1011	“Final Claim Constructions of the Court”, Claim Construction Order dated June 2, 2020 in <i>Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:20-cv-00034-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
Ex-1012	Desktop Management BIOS Specification, Version 2.0, March 6, 1996 (“DMI Specification”)
Ex-1013	Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 3rd edition (1997)
Ex-1014	Silberschatz, Operating System Concepts, 5th edition (1997)
Ex-1015	Declaration of Dr. S.D. Hall-Ellis
Ex-1016	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. S.D. Hall-Ellis
Ex-1017	Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the Covid-19 Pandemic, dated June 18, 2020 (W.D. Tex.)
Ex-1018	Prosecution History for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941, filed May 28, 2009, Control No. 90/010,560
Ex-1019	Scheduling Order, dated January 2, 2020, in <i>Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00384 (W.D. Tex.)
Ex-1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900 (“Ginter”)
Ex-1021	U.S. Patent No. 5,748,084 (“Isikoff”)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.