

Filed: May 5, 2014

Filed on behalf of: Patent Owner Illumina Cambridge Ltd.

By:

<p>Lead Counsel: Robert A. Lawler REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C. 22 East Mifflin Street, Suite 600 Madison, WI 53703 Tel.: 608-229-2217 Fax: 608-229-2100 Email: rlawler@reinhardt.com</p>	<p>Backup Counsel: Brenton R. Babcock William R. Zimmerman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Jonathan E. Bachand KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502 Email: BoxIllumina@knobbe.com</p>
--	---

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00517

U.S. Patent 7,566,537

ILLUMINA'S PATENT OWNER R

Columbia Ex. 2011
Illumina, Inc. v. The Trustees
of Columbia University
in the City of New York
IPR2020-01177

Filed: May 5, 2014

Filed on behalf of: Patent Owner Illumina Cambridge Ltd.

By:

<p>Lead Counsel: Robert A. Lawler REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C. 22 East Mifflin Street, Suite 600 Madison, WI 53703 Tel.: 608-229-2217 Fax: 608-229-2100 Email: rlawler@reinhardt.com</p>	<p>Backup Counsel: Brenton R. Babcock William R. Zimmerman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Jonathan E. Bachand KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502 Email: BoxIllumina@knobbe.com</p>
--	---

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00517

U.S. Patent 7,566,537

ILLUMINA'S PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Illumina’s Claimed Invention Includes a Novel, not Previously Considered 3’-OH Protecting Group for SBS.....	4
III. The Law of Nonobviousness	8
IV. The Person Of Ordinary Skill in the Art	9
V. Grounds Of This Proceeding.....	10
VI. Tsien’s 3’-Azido Group Cannot Be Removed To Expose A 3’-OH Group	11
VII. Tsien’s Three 3’-Blocking Group Criteria Are Incompatible With Zavgorodny’s Azidomethyl Ether And Removal Conditions	12
1. A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected Zavgorodny’s Azidomethyl Group To Be Accurately Or Efficiently Incorporated By A Polymerase.....	14
a. The Prior Art Teaches That The Electrophilic Characteristics Of An Azido Group Would React With Strong Nucleophiles In The Polymerase Active Site.....	15
b. Removable 3’-O-Protecting Groups Were Known To Interfere With Accurate And Efficient Polymerase Incorporation	16
2. A Person of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected Zavgorodny’s Removal Conditions To Meet Tsien’s Requirement for Mild, Rapid, and Quantitative Deblocking.....	18
a. Zavgorodny’s Removal Conditions Are “Triphenylphosphine In Aqueous Pyridine At 20 °C”	18
b. Zavgorodny’s Aqueous Pyridine Removal Conditions Would Denature DNA And, Thus,	

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
Cannot Be “Mild” Within The Context Of Tsien’s DNA Sequencing Method.....	19
i. Zavgorodny’s Removal Conditions Using Pyridine Would Denature The DNA In Tsien’s Sequencing Method.....	19
ii. Zavgorodny’s “Mild” Conditions Would Not Have Been “Mild” In The Context Of Tsien’s DNA Sequencing Method.....	21
c. Zavgorodny’s Removal Conditions Would Not Have Been Expected To Be Quantitative Or Rapid.....	23
i. Loubinoux’s Phenolic Azidomethyl Ether Removal Efficiency Of 60-80% Provides An Expectation That Zavgorodny’s Aliphatic Azidomethyl Ether Would Not Cleave Quantitatively	24
ii. The Prior Art Repeatedly Reports Non-Quantitative Azido Conversion Using Triphenylphosphine In Pyridine And Water, And Provides An Expectation That Zavgorodny’s Azidomethyl Ether Removal Conditions Would Not Be Quantitative.....	26
iii. The Prior Art Data Indicates That Azido To Amino Conversion Using Triphenylphosphine In Pyridine And Water Would Not Proceed Rapidly	29
iv. Zavgorodny’s Removal Conditions Do Not Meet Tsien’s Requirements For Quantitative And Rapid Cleavage	30
3. A Person of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected Zavgorodny’s Azidomethyl Ether To Permit A Polymerase to Reinitiate Synthesis Subsequent To Deblocking	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
a. 3'-O-Protecting Groups Can Reinitiate DNA Synthesis Before (Not After) Deblocking	31
b. Zavgorodny's Removal Conditions Use Pyridine, Which Would Interfere With Reinitiation Of DNA Synthesis	32
VIII. Ju's Protecting Group Requirements Are Incompatible With Zavgorodny's Azidomethyl Group And Removal Conditions	33
1. Zavgorodny's Azidomethyl Group Is Precisely The Type Of Electrophilic Group That Ju Teaches Is Unsuitable For DNA Sequencing	35
2. A Person of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected Zavgorodny's Azidomethyl Ether To Be Efficiently Incorporated By A Polymerase	36
3. A Person of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Expected Zavgorodny's Azidomethyl Group To Be Removed Efficiently Enough To Satisfy Ju's Sequencing Methods	38
4. A Person of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Have Expected Zavgorodny's Pyridine Solution To Denature DNA, And Therefore Be Incompatible With Ju	40
5. IBS's Petition Distorts Dr. Liu's Testimony	40
6. Claims 1-6 And 8 Of The '537 Patent Are Nonobvious Over The Combination Of Ju And Zavgorody	42
IX. Claim 3 Is Nonobvious Over Tsien, Zavgorodny, and Prober	42
X. The Claims are Not Obvious in Light of the Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness	43
1. Illumina's 3'-O-Azidomethyl Protecting Groups For Labeled Nucleotides Met a Long-Felt, Unmet Need	44

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.