

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2017-02172  
Patent 7,566,537 B2

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,566,537 B2**

Columbia Ex. 2008  
Illumina, Inc. v. The Trustees  
of Columbia University  
in the City of New York  
IPR2020-01177

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	4
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)) .....	4
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)).....	4
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3)-(4)).....	7
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4)) .....	7
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW .....	8
A. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §42.103).....	8
B. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)) .....	8
C. Identification of Challenge and Precise Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(2)).....	8
IV. THE '537 PATENT AND PRIOR PROCEEDINGS.....	9
A. The '537 Patent .....	9
B. Impact of Prior Proceedings Regarding the '537 Patent.....	12
V. DEFINITION OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	14
VI. THE STATE OF THE ART .....	15
A. Advances in DNA Science.....	15
B. Knowledge of a POSITA Relating to SBS .....	18
1. Use of the solid phase was well known in the art.....	18
2. Labels and linkers were well known in the art. ....	20
3. Enzymes capable of incorporation and conditions for their use were well known in the art. ....	20
4. A POSITA would have known how to select a suitable protecting group and deblocking conditions.....	21

5.	A POSITA would have known other methods to optimize the SBS process.....	22
C.	A POSITA Would Have Appreciated Multiple Uses for Modified Nucleotides.....	23
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	24
VIII.	ALL THE LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS 1-6 & 8 WERE DISCLOSED IN THE PRIOR ART .....	25
A.	The “Azido” and “Azidomethyl” Protecting Groups of Claims 1 and 6 Were Disclosed in Zavgorodny and Greene & Wuts.....	25
1.	Greene & Wuts discloses “azido” and “azidomethyl” protecting groups.....	25
2.	Zavgorodny discloses the “azido” and “azidomethyl” protecting groups of Claims 1 and 6, respectively. ....	25
B.	Tsien Discloses Each Limitation of Claims 1-2, 4-6, and 8 Except the Azido and Azidomethyl Protecting Groups. ....	26
IX.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE PRIOR ART.....	30
A.	Legal Standards for Obviousness.....	30
B.	The Crux of this Petition is the Rationale for a “Motivation to Combine”.....	31
C.	Tsien’s Disclosure Must Be Considered from the Perspective of a POSITA .....	32
D.	Tsien’s Incorporation and Deblocking Criteria Would Have Led a POSITA to Modify Tsien’s SBS Method by Using the Azidomethyl Protecting Group of Zavgorodny and Greene & Wuts.....	38
1.	A POSITA would have appreciated that each of Tsien’s incorporation and deblocking criteria suggest that azidomethyl would be a suitable alternative protecting group for use with Tsien’s SBS method and would therefore have been motivated to use an azidomethyl protecting group. ....	38

i.	The ability of a polymerase enzyme to accurately and efficiently incorporate the dNTPs carrying the 3'-blocking groups .....	38
ii.	Reinitiation of DNA synthesis.....	41
iii.	Mild deblocking conditions.....	41
a.	Zavgorodny's deblocking conditions are sufficiently "mild" .....	42
b.	Greene & Wuts refers to Loubinoux, which provides alternative "mild" deblocking conditions .....	43
c.	A POSITA would have known that alternative "mild" conditions could be used to deblock an azidomethyl protecting group.....	45
iv.	A quantitative or high yield of de-blocked 3'-OH groups .....	46
v.	Rapid deblocking.....	50
2.	The obviousness of combining azidomethyl with Tsien's SBS methods is further supported by the '537 Patent and Illumina's statements in other proceedings .....	52
E.	A POSITA Would Have Recognized Azidomethyl As a Known Element That Could Be Simply Substituted Into Tsien's SBS Method to Yield Predictable Results.....	53
F.	Ground 2: Claim 3 is Obvious Over Tsien in Combination with Greene & Wuts and Zavgorodny, In Further Combination with Prober. ....	55
X.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS DO NOT SUPPORT THE PATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	57
A.	No Nexus Between the Satisfaction of a Long-Felt, Unmet Need and the Claimed Azidomethyl Group .....	57

B. Illumina’s Arguments for New and Unexpected Results Do Not Have a Sufficient Nexus to the Claims and Are Based on Hindsight Bias .....59

C. Evidence of Copying is Completely Absent .....60

D. Praise by Others Was Likely Unrelated to the Claim Limitations.....61

XI. CONCLUSION.....61

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.