## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
16/150,191	10/02/2018	Jingyue Ju	0575/62239- BZA6AE/JPW/BI	8204	
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 03/12/201 TNHAM LLP	EXAMINER			
30 Rockefeller Plaza 20th Floor			RILEY, JEZIA		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2019	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Illumina Ex. 1065



	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
First Action Interview Pilot Program	16/150,191	Ju et al.		
Pre-Interview Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	AIA (FITF) Status	
	JEZIA RILEY	1637	No	
-The MAILING OR NOTIFICATION DATE of this co			-	
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING	OR NOTIFICATION DATE OF THIS	COMMUNIC	CATION.	
This time period for reply is extendable under 3		tional MONT	Ή.	
This communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).  Applicant must, within the time period for reply, file: (1) A letter requesting <u>not</u> to have a first action interview; (2) A reply under 37 CFR 1.111 waiving the first action interview and First Action Interview Office Action; or (3) An Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) electronically via EFS-Web, accompanied by a proposed amendment or arguments, and schedule the interview within 2 months from the filing of the request. A failure to respond to this communication will be treated as a request not to have an interview. If applicant waives the First Action Interview Office Action, the instant Pre-Interview Communication is deemed the first Office Action on the Merits. The next subsequent Office action may be made final if appropriate. See MPEP 706.07(a).				
Status				
1) $\square$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .			
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37</b> (	CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on			
Disposition of Claims				
2) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applica	tion.			
2a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.			
3) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
4) 🗹 Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.				
5) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
7) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
8) The drawing(s) filed on 10/2/18 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b) □ objected to b	y the Examir	ner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Storrection is required if the drawing(s) is	see 37 CFR 1.8 objected to. Se	35(a). ee 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Contact Information Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-0786				
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: 7:30-6:00pm				
Supervisor's Name: Gary Benzion	Supervisor 571-272-0	's Telephone 782	Number:	
Attachment(s)	(DTC 112)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
2) ✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet .	4) Other:			



Continuation of Attachment(s) 2) 2/7/19;11/15/18;10/2/18



## First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
16/150,191	Ju et al.	
Examiner	Art Unit	AIA (FITF) Status
JEZIA RILEY	1637	No

Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

	Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)			
#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection
1	1-4	US 9868985	Double Patenting	Claims 1-4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No.9868985. Although the claims at issue are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other (see below)
2	1-4	N/A	35 USC § 112(b)	The term "small" in claims 1 and 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "small" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably (see below)
3	1-4	N/A	35 USC § 112(a)	Variables R and Y in claims 1 and 3 are defined functionally but lack a clear-cut indication of the scope of the subject matter embraced by the claims. In this case, the specification does not provide the particular structures that accomplish the functions recited in the claims (see below)

Expanded Discussion/Commentary					
1		because they both claim a method for sequencing a nucleic acid which comprises detecting the identity of a nucleotide analogue incorporated into the end of a growing strand of DNA in a polymerase reaction, wherein the nucleotide analogue are of identical formula where R can be MOM (see Figure 7) and therefore not CH2CH=CH2 group; and OR is not a methoxy group, and an ester group; and comprising identical steps.			
2		apprised of the scope of the invention. The specification does not define "small" and provides only two examples: MOM ether and allyl. The skilled artisan would not know which other groups meet the limitation "small."  The definition of R in claim 49 is unclear. The claim also recites some functional characteristics for R and Y. These functional limitations do not set forth well-defined boundaries of the invention because they only state a problem solved or a result achieved.			
3		with the exception of two examples of R and one example of Y. The skilled artisan would not be apprised that the inventors had possession of the full scope of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed because the scope of the claims is much larger than the examples given and the specification does not provide structure-function relationships or guidance for compounds other than those exemplified.			
<b>DATE:</b> 11 March 2019		າ 2019	/JEZIA RILEY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637		

U.S.Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-413FP (Rev. 08-13) First Action Interview Pilot Program - Pre-Interview Communication Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20190305

