Trials@uspto.gov 572-272-7822 Paper 128 Mailed: March 6, 2014

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### ILLUMINA, INC. Petitioner

v.

THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK Patent Owner

> Case IPR2012-00006 Patent 7,713,698 B2

Before SALLY G. LANE, RICHARD M. LEBOVITZ, and DEBORAH KATZ, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEBOVITZ, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKE

Δ

### FINAL WRITTEN DECISION

35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

**Illumina Ex. 1022** IPR Petition - USP 10,435,742

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

### I. BACKGROUND

### A. Introduction

Petitioner, Illumina, Inc. ("Illumina"), filed a petition on September 16, 2012 ("Pet.), for *inter partes* review of claims 1-7, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 17 of U.S. Patent 7,713,698 B2 ("the '698 Patent") pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1 to 42.123. On March 12, 2013, the Board instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1-7, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 17 on three grounds of unpatentability (Paper 28, Decision on Petition ("Dec. Pet.")). Illumina requested rehearing on two of the grounds of unpatentability (Paper 30), which had been denied in the Decision on Petition. Upon reconsideration, the Board instituted *inter partes* review of one of these grounds of unpatentability as to claims 1-7, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 17 (Paper 43, Decision on Rehearing ("Dec. Reh'g")).

After institution of the *inter partes* review, Patent Owner, The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York ("Columbia"), filed a response under 37 C.F.R. § 42.120 to the decision instituting *inter partes* review (Paper 69, "PO Resp."). Columbia also filed a Motion to Amend Claims (Paper 70) and a Motion to Exclude Evidence (Paper 93). Illumina filed a reply to Columbia's response under 37 C.F.R. § 42.120 (Paper 76, "Pet'r Reply") and a Motion to Exclude Evidence (Paper 90 (redacted); Paper 107 (unredacted)). An oral hearing was held on December 17, 2013, with both parties in attendance. (Record of Oral Hearing, Paper 124.)

Among the evidence cited in this proceeding are declarations by George L. Trainor, Ph.D. (Ex. 2033, Trainor Decl.), on behalf of Columbia, and by George Weinstock, Ph.D. (Ex. 1021, Weinstock Decl.), on behalf of

### Case IPR2012-00006 Patent 7,713,698

Illumina. Dr. Trainor has a Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry and experience in DNA sequencing (Exhibit 2033, Trainor Decl. ¶¶ 3 and 6-8), qualifying him to testify on the issues discussed in his declaration. Dr. Weinstock has a Ph.D. in Microbiology and experience in DNA sequencing, including as a director of large-scale genome centers (Ex. 1021, Weinstock Decl. ¶¶ 4, 6, 8, and 9), qualifying him to testify on the issues discussed in his declaration.

The Board has jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This final written decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. Illumina has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1-7, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 17 are unpatentable.

### B. The '698 Patent

The '698 Patent issued May 11, 2010. The named inventors are Jingyue Ju, Zengmin Li, John Robert Edwards, and Yasuhiro Itagaki. The invention of the '698 Patent involves sequencing DNA by incorporating a base-labeled nucleotide analogue into a primer DNA strand, and then determining the identity of the incorporated analogue by detecting the label attached to the base of the nucleotide. A polymerase is used to incorporate the nucleotide analogue into the strand of DNA ('698 Patent, col. 2, ll. 24-28). The method is generally referred to as "sequencing DNA by synthesis," or "SBS," because the sequence of the DNA is determined by identifying the successive additions of labeled nucleotides to a strand of DNA as it is synthesized, using a complimentary DNA strand as a template (*id.* at col. 2, ll. 6-11).

Columbia does not argue the novelty of the steps utilized in the claimed method of "determining the identity of a nucleotide analogue

### Case IPR2012-00006 Patent 7,713,698

incorporated into a nucleic acid primer extension strand... ('698 Patent, cl. 1)," but rather focuses its arguments on the novelty and non-obviousness of the nucleotide analogue utilized in the sequencing method. Nucleotides, which are the building blocks of DNA, comprise a sugar (ribose or deoxyribose), a phosphate attached to the 5'-position of the sugar, and a nitrogen base on the 1'-position of the sugar. During DNA synthesis, the 5'position in the sugar of a new incoming nucleotide is linked by DNA polymerase to the 3'-OH group in the sugar of a preexisting nucleotide in the strand under synthesis. In order to identify the newly incorporated nucleotide, one approach described in the prior art is to attach a detectable label to the nucleotide at its 3'-OH group ('698 Patent, col. 2, ll. 33-37). For reference, the 3'-OH corresponds to 3'-position of the deoxyribose sugar of the nucleotide, and serves as the site where a new nucleotide is added during DNA synthesis.

The approach described in the '698 Patent is to make nucleotide analogues by linking a unique label, such as fluorescent dye, through a cleavable linker to the nucleotide base or to an analogue of the nucleotide base and to use a small removable chemical moiety to cap the 3'-OH group of the deoxyribose to make it reversibly nonreactive ('698 Patent, col. 2, ll. 57-65). The reason the 3'-OH group is made reversibly nonreactive is to allow the sequencing reaction to be terminated after each nucleotide is added in order to determine its identity (*id.* at col. 2, l. 64 to col. 3, l. 2). According to the '698 Patent, the prior art teaches attaching the label to the 3'-OH group. The '698 Patent, in contrast, puts the label on the nucleotide base and the removable chemical moiety on the 3'-OH group. These latter features are at the center of the patentability challenges.

### Case IPR2012-00006 Patent 7,713,698

All the claims at issue in this *inter partes* review involve a nucleotide analogue which comprises 1) a base labeled with a unique label, 2) a removable chemical moiety capping the 3'-OH group, and 3) a base which is deaza-substituted. A deaza-substituted nucleotide is a nucleotide analogue which includes a deazabase as the nitrogen base ('698 Patent, col. 7, ll. 44-63). A deazabase is a nitrogen base in which one of the natural nitrogen atoms in the base ring is substituted with a carbon atom (*id*.). For example, in a 7-deazapurine, the natural 7-position nitrogen in the base ring is replaced with a carbon atom (*id*.).

In summarizing the state of the art in Columbia's Patent Owner Response, Columbia states that, "[d]uring the 1990s, despite some interest in base-labeled nucleotide analogues, efforts focused on including a label on the 3'OH group on the sugar in a nucleotide analogue and on the design and synthesis of new nucleotide analogues that could be incorporated by a polymerase into a primer extension strand." (Paper 69, PO Resp. 8.) Columbia cites paragraphs 30-35 of Dr. Trainor's declaration as evidence that "[r]esults were mixed and it was recognized that new nucleotide analogues were needed [for use in] BASS [sequencing by synthesis; also known as SBS] sequencing." (*Id*.)

As discussed in more detail below, Columbia's characterization of the prior art as having "some interest in base-labeled nucleotide analogues" understates the interest level shown in the prior art. Tsien<sup>1</sup> and Dower,<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roger Tsien et al., WO 91/06678 (May 16, 1991), Exhibit 1002 ("Tsien"). <sup>2</sup> William Dower et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,547,839 (August 20, 1996), Exhibit 1005 ("Dower").

# DOCKET



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

